

(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization) Vol. 3, Issue 11, November 2015

# Foreign University Ranking Based On Educational Criteria Using Artificial Intelligence

Mohammed Shadir Fakir Mohammed, Ashiq Ahamed Abdul Subhan, Baig Mannan Rehman, Shaikh Abdul Alim Jamilur Rehman, Prof Javed Sheikh

B.E Student, Dept. of Computer Engineering, AIKTC, New Panvel, Mumbai University, India

B.E Student, Dept. of Computer Engineering, AIKTC, New Panvel, Mumbai University, India

B.E Student, Dept. of Computer Engineering, AIKTC, New Panvel, Mumbai University, India

B.E Student, Dept. of Computer Engineering, AIKTC, New Panvel, Mumbai University, India

Assistant Professor, Department of Computer Engineering, AIKTC, New Panvel, Mumbai University, India

**ABSTRACT**: From the medieval age university quality has been widely known by writings of students, Great names of professors and academic reputation of universities. But since the last quarter of thetwentieth century, university ranking systems have widely observed international universitiesand updated world's universities ranking annually. During recent years, university rankingshave gained a considerable importance not only among the academia but also amongst students, parents, industry and businesses. Common stakeholders, the students and their parents, may notbe aware of the intricacies of ranking processes and elements / criteria of rankings but they aredefinitely keen to know the position of the University of their Interest in the ranking lists. Thispaper will review the trend and existing approaches of the most common and popular universityranking systems and evaluations and describe various Quantitative / Qualitative criteria used todetermine the rankings. The process involves various surveys besides using statistics and rankingsare conducted on national, regional and global levels for institutions, departments, schools or specific academic programs. It is opined that although university rankings are considered inherently controversial for Not being absolutely objective.

**KEYWORDS**: Data Analytics, Statistics Bi-dimensional Ranking, Performance Indicators Naive Bayes' Classifier, Webometrics, Probability Factor (pf).

#### I. INTRODUCTION

A qualitative evaluation shall be utilized for this research project leveraging subjective methodssuch as interviews and observations to collect substantive and relevant data. These interviewsshall be conducted with practicing diplomats from any University as well as visiting diplomats other universities conferences. Such a qualitative approach is valuable here due to thevarying experiences of the diplomats in any country.

As per our own research efforts, we will have the opportunity to implement by interculturaleducation expertise and develop a ground-breaking and full pledged university ranking system. Our software will rank the universities according to the prescribed qualification of any studentfor choosing the best university for him/her. Since in today's generation, it's not only important to decide what to study. What degree to pursue but also where to study. A minor degree from a reputed outstanding university can be more rewarding than a major degree from an averageuniversity. Hence choosing where to pursue your education also plays an important role in yourfuture career as it exposes you to the competitive world to expand your horizons.



(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

#### Vol. 3, Issue 11, November 2015

#### II. RELATED WORK

In [1] Author describe about during recent years, university rankings have gained a considerable importance not only among the academia but also amongst students, parents, industry and businesses. Common stakeholders, the students and their parents, may not be aware of the intricacies of ranking processes and elements / criteria of rankings but they are definitely keen to know the position of the University of their Interest in the ranking lists. The paper will review the trend and existing approaches of the most common and popular university ranking systems and evaluations and describe various quantitative / qualitative criteria used to determine the rankings. The process involves various surveys besides using statistics and rankings are conducted on national, regional and global levels for institutions, departments, schools or specific academic programs.

In[3] Author describesWeb based systems have become the common medium of delivering software applications. Thus, developing web based systems has become a major concern for developers due to the large number of technologies, tools and techniques available today. Developers are often faced with the dilemma of choosing the best technology for their projects especially when developing a high quality system that must comply with certain standards. Enterprise developers often use development frameworks to simplify development job, and to insure that their code follows proven development methodologies. In this paper we describe our approach for developing an intelligent university program ranking system, using a JEE web application framework that has been developed utilizing industry standards and best practices. The development has been done using a well-designed JEE web application framework and Representational State Transfer (REST) web services to minimize the development time and effort. The system which uses the powerful Adaptive Neuro-Fuzzy Inference System (ANFIS) algorithm for its AI engine is developed using the SEAM framework and Resteasy web services framework.

In [4] Author describe about Globalization has made a remarkable impact on the university education system, and the Internet has become the core resource for universities to make their facilities and opportunities available globally. University Web sites are increasingly used for a wide variety of purposes. The evaluation of universities based on their Web performance was introduced by Cyber metrics Lab as the "Webometric Ranking of World Universities" (WRWU), and this ranking system has become a popular way of measuring university excellence.

#### III. PRESENTATION OF THE MAIN CONTRIBUTION OF THE PAPER/SCOPE OF THE RESEARCH

Our scope of the project is to provide software which will not only rank universities but also enlist all the possible universities a student can get admitted to with respect to his educational qualification and overall profile. We are serving students the outlook of how foreign universities differ fromIndian universities and how not only the educational marks but also the overall profile whichmatters. We are providing a full pledged functional application that will integrate itself with realtime structured database of past students data who have got admission in foreign universitieswhich will be useful to compare with the current scenario and predict accordingly whether astudent having corresponding marks and profile will be able to get admission in that university

or not.

#### IV. PROPOSED METHODOLOGY & DISCUSSION

Our Project is divided into three main functional Categories:

1) Data Acquisition: Administrator of our system will first gather all the relevant data from real time structured database, Excel Sheets, User Inputs (Comments and research surveys) and Text files which will be uploaded in our main database warehouse which will be directly connected to cloud technology.



(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization) Vol. 3, Issue 11, November 2015



### 2) Cloud Data Storage:

For implementing this Integrated DBMS Software we will be using Cloud technology to keep the system Online, accessible to all potential customers. Our Database Warehouse will be connected to every module of the system using Cloud data Storage, databases will be accessed Online making the system dynamic as well as ready to serve anytime, anywhere and at any place.

### 3) Data Analytics:

Using statistics and data science tools, we will analyze data which will be feeded to our system. With the help of trained data, we will compute the probability factor which will be used for ranking of the universities as well as predicting whether a student will be able to get admission in his interested university or not with respect to his/her educational qualification and overall profile.

#### V. IMPLEMENTATION OF METHODOLOGY

The proposed system will be implemented as a Cloud based Application Software. Our application will be built using Open Source Programming Languages Like Python v2.7 or Java 2 SDK, Standard Edition, Version 1.6.0 or later. It will be basically an Integrated DBMS application which will be using MySQL server or Oracle Version 10 or higher, along with Cloud Storage and Domain (hosting)+Apache Server Latest. The primary aim of the Ranking the System is to help students make informed comparisons ofleading universities around the world. In order to provide such information we will calculateProbability factor(pf) based upon below six performance indicators, usingthis rankingsare designed to assess universities in four major areas: research, teaching, employability and internationalization.



(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

#### Vol. 3, Issue 11, November 2015

#### 1. Academic reputation

Academic reputation is measured using a global survey, in which academics are asked to identify the institutions where they believe the best work is currently taking place within their own field of expertise. The aim is to give prospective students a sense of the consensus of opinion within the international academic community. Only participants' most recent responses are used, and they cannot vote for their own institution. Regional weightings are applied to counter any discrepancies in response rates.

#### 2. Employer reputation

The employer reputation indicator is also based on a global survey, The survey asks employersto identify the universities they perceive to be producing the best graduates. This indicator is unique among international university rankings. The purpose of the employer survey is to give students a better sense of how universities areviewed in the Graduate jobs market. A higher weighting is given to votes for universities thatcome from outside of their own country, so this indicator is especially useful for prospective. Students seeking to identify universities with a reputation that extends beyond their nationalborders.

#### 3. Quality of Education

This is a simple measure of the number of academic staff employed relative to the number of students enrolled. In the absence of an international standard by which to measure teaching quality, this indicator aims to identify the universities that are best equipped to provide small class sizes and a good level of individual supervision.

#### 4. Citations per faculty

This indicator aims to assess universities' research impact. A 'citation' means a piece of research being cited (referred to) within another piece of research. Generally, the more often apiece of research is cited, the more influential it is. So the more highly cited research papers auniversity publishes, the stronger its research output is considered. The latest five complete years of data are used, and the total citation count is assessed inrelation to the number of academic faculty members at the university, so that larger institutionsdo not have an unfair advantage.

#### 5. International faculty/Student ratio

This indicators aim to assess how successfully a university has been in attracting student and academics from other nation. This is based on number of international faculty employed to the university and number of student taking admission per year.

#### VI. SIMULATION RESULTS

Our main focus in this project is to use a smart efficient algorithm based on Naïve Bayes Classification Algorithm.A Naive Bayes classifier is a simple classifier. However, although it is simple, Naive Bayes can outperform more sophisticated classification methods. Besides that it has also exhibited high accuracy and speed when applied to large database which we have in store of our server. We also found that it is very fast for both learning and predicting. Its learning time is linear in the number of examples and its prediction time is independent of the number of examples. With respect to the experiments carried out, we found that Naïve Bayes classifier is also fast, consistent, easy to maintain and accurate in the classification of attribute data. And from computation point of view, Naïve Bayes is more efficient both in the learning and in the classification task than Decision Tree.

Our experiments show that Naïve Bayes has the fastest classification time followed by Decision Tree and k-Nearest Neighbor. In fig 2, the differences between classification time of Decision Tree and Naïve Bayes also between Naïve Bayes and k-NN are about an order of magnitude. Based on Precision, Recall, F-measure, Accuracy, and AUC, the performance of Naïve Bayes is the best as shown in the figures below. It outperforms Decision Tree and k-Nearest Neighbor on all parameters.



(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

#### Vol. 3, Issue 11, November 2015





Fig 4.Classification Accuracy of KNN, Naïve Bayes and Decision Tree

### VII. CONCLUSION

In this project, we are trying to solve every student's problem who wish to pursue higher educationin foreign universities but don't have any idea regarding which options he/she have withrespect to his/her overall profile and also haven't quite exposed to the procedure how studentsget admitted at foreign universities. Unlike Indian Universities, Marks isn't the only criteria onwhich basis admission takes place, it's all about what have you done in your college life exceptfor attending lectures and sitting exams i.e.extracurricular activities and Internships etc. weare mainly focusing on,providing the masses a software application which gives a total comprehensive solution for selecting the best universities according to their preferences & their ownparameters for their further education.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I would like to take the opportunity to express my sincere thanks to my guide Prof. Javed Sheikh, Assistant Professor, Department of Computer Engineering, AIKTC, School of Engineering, Panvel for his invaluable support and guidance throughout my project research work. Without his kind guidance & support this was not possible.

We are grateful to him for his timely feedback which helped me track and schedule the process effectively. His time, ideas and encouragement that he gave is help me to complete my project efficiently.

#### REFERENCES

- 1. Al-Juboori, A.F.M.A., "University ranking and evaluation: Trend and existing approaches", Next Generation Information Technology (ICNIT), 2011 The 2nd International Conference on Gyeongju, pp. 137 142,2011.
- Chowdhury, S.R., "Profile and practices of Indian premier institutes compared to global standards on the basis of QS World Universities Ranking 2013–14", Business and Information Management (ICBIM), 2014 2nd International Conference on Durgapur, pp. 74 - 78, 2014.



(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

#### Vol. 3, Issue 11, November 2015

- 3. Al-Kubati, M., "The design and development of intelligent university program ranking system using JEE frameworks", Open Systems (ICOS), 2012 IEEE Conference on Kuala Lumpur, pp. 1 6, 2012.
- 4. Edirimanna, C., "Study on Webometric Ranks of Sri Lankan State Universities", Potentials, IEEE Volume: 33, Issue: 6,pp. 37 44, 2014.
- 5. Tariq, M., "Use, purpose and usage ranking of online information resources by university research students", Emerging Trends and Technologies in Libraries and Information Services (ETTLIS), 2015 4th International Symposium on Noida, pp. 257 263, 2015.
- 6. Ahmad Ashari, "Performance Comparison between Naïve Bayes, Decision Tree and k-Nearest Neighbor in Searching Alternative Design in an Energy Simulation Tool", (IJACSA) International Journal of Advanced Computer Science and Applications, Vol. 4, No. 11, 2013.

#### BIOGRAPHY

**Mohammed Shadir Fakir Mohammed**is pursuing Final Year in Computer Engineering from Anjuman-I-Islam's Kalsekar Technical Campus, New Panvel, Mumbai University, India. Interested in Programming, Working with Databases, and hand-held web applicationand software development.

Ashiq Ahamed Abdul Subhan is Pursuing Final Year in Computer Engineering from Anjuman-I-Islam's Kalsekar Technical Campus, New Panvel, Mumbai University, India. Interested in programming and hand-held application development.

**Baig Mannan Rehman** is Pursuing Final Year in Computer Engineering from Anjuman-I-Islam's Kalsekar Technical Campus, New Panvel, Mumbai University, India. Interested in Java Development & Web Development.

Shaikh Abdul Alim Jamilur Rehmanis Pursuing Final Year in Computer Engineering from Anjuman-I-Islam's Kalsekar Technical Campus, New Panvel, Mumbai University, India. Interested in Java Development & Web Development.

**Javed Sheikh**is Assistant Professor in Computer Department, Anjuman-I-Islam Kalsekar Technical Campus,New Panvel,Mumbai University. Interested in Discrete Structures, Web development, Data Analytics and Java Development and have a teaching experience of more than 4 years.