

(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Vol. 4, Issue 6, June 2016

Interbred Book Recommender System, In a Distributed way

Kanchana S.S¹, Dr.Mohamed Rafi²

M.Tech Student, Department of Studies in Computer Science and Engineering, UBDT, Davanagere, Karnataka, India¹

Professor and Chairman, DOS in Computer Science and Engineering, UBDT, Davanagere, Karnataka, India²

ABSTRACT: Now-a-days E-Commerce sites bear the cost of items online in which purchasing for customers looking for such tremendous kind and various of items is troublesome ,which made it troublesome for buyers to purchase the items that the consumer likes on their interested area, for example, books or some other and services they require. To defeat this information over-burden, and to spare the surfing time recommender engines are utilized to give recommendations on items, for example, books for buyers. This methodology goes for giving expectations to consumers utilizing consolidated methodologies of Collaborative filtering technique, content based filtering and Apriori calculation .In this methodology,predictions are made taking consumers preferences in considering into transactions data made by different users. Taking into account this books are anticipated to which they are attached to or from comparative sort of users who like the same sort of books in view of appraisals, book characteristics that constructs its calculation in light of Item based recommender. By utilizing Hadoop as structure, the pre-processing steps are made in map-reduce programming method.

KEYWORDS: Collaborative filtering;Content based filtering; Association rule ;Apriori algorithm;Hadoop; Mapreduce.

I. INTRODUCTION

Recommendation systems, a hugely utilized framework these days for prescribing things to the users that are much pertinent for them. Online book offering sites today are adapting to one other by numerous methods. This framework is one of the most strong tools in picking up benefit furthermore in purchaser retaining.

Normally individuals will have a tendency to prefer toward and like the things which might be in alike to alternate items they effectively preferred. There are two routes here to foresee, in view of consumer ,what sort of things are preferred by comparative users .And taking into account things ,the thing relished by the consumer and what things are greatly allied to this thing to anticipate suggestions.

A.Content-based systems:

This methodology makes utilization of gathering of discrete attributes or elements of a thing to anticipate products with alike properties. Its thought is on characteristics of a thing and its ratings. For instance, for prescribing books in light of publisher of the book or it may be on publishing year. In the same way on various categories of books

B. Collaborative filtering systems:

This methodology prescribes products or any things in comparability measures amongst users as well as items. The things proposed to a user are those favoured by comparable users.

II. RELATED WORK

In 2015 according to [1] presented a review made on Book Recommendation framework considering the components like content based filter, association rules algorithmand collaborative filter based proposals where it will consider measures like quality, content of the book by performing collaborative filter of ratings by the other user's profile who are purchasers of book. The consolidated features of those three are elaborated. In the year 1993 according to [2], they



(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Vol. 4, Issue 6, June 2016

brought into the issue of association rule mining in a large database of user transaction between the arrangements of things. They discover rules that have least confidence and least support. In the year 2013[4]In this paper, they showed a recommendation technique in light of opinion mining to propose top situated books on different request of the software engineering. In light of the need of the users and the ratings obtained from them, they have sorted components for the books. Examination is done on the components on the reason of a couple characteristics that they have sorted and reviews of the users. Weights are considered out to characterized components according to their centrality and use, .Finally, best ten positioned books are recorded. In 2014, accordingly in [5] this paper, hypothesis extract, gather and sort all the user review of book. This paper proposes a book recommendation method on reason of opinion mining and Naive Bayes classifier to suggest buyers on top situating books. This paper in like manner took into record the fundamental segment like expense of the book while proposal and showed a successful system for prescribing books to the buyer, especially when the buyer enters first time to the site. In the year 2015, asper [8], This production uncovers the learning on Recommendations engines that are customized. A greater amount of rising e-business sites gives things or items in view of expense and different viewpoints which may confuse buyers and it might be an over-burden as transaction develops. To defeat this, personalisation of recommender engines consolidated .Current suggestions don't investigate the information and performance wise they are degrading. To overcome them, Hadoop innovation is utilized which is alleged structure for performing distributed calculations on clusters where each has storage (local) and calculation Map reduce in Hadoop plays out the above appropriated computation..





Fig.1.Design of the interbredbook recommender system in a distributed way

A .Item based collaborative filtering:

In an Item-Item Collaborative method Recommenders, the similarities between things are utilized as a part of request to make suggestions. As opposed to building aneighbourhood and making suggestions in view of comparative users, correlation is created between things preferences. For instance, to prescribe another item to user m, the majority of the things for which u have ratings are compared with every other thing i utilizing a similarity calculation. The instinct is that m will be prescribed things that are most likely things m has as of rated in light of past ratings .Item-Item Collaborative filtering can be beneficial due to the small size of items; for instance, items have a tendency to develop at a slower pace than users and items additionally change less after some time over users..



(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Vol. 4, Issue 6, June 2016

B.Association Rule algorithm:

Association principle mining decides association and connection relationship among immense information set of items. Market basket analysing well picked as a commonplace occurrence of association rules mining. In business sector this analysis on shopper obtaining propensity is identified for distinguishing relationship between different things user keep together in their shopping basket.

Let I={item 1,item 2,...item m} be a collection of m binary attributes called items, D={t1,t2,...tm} be set of transactions. A rule defined as Q=>R where Q,R \subseteq I and Q \cap R=Null

The measures for support and confidence are described as stated in.

- Support: The support value of Q with respect to T is defined as the proportion of transaction in the database which contains item Qmeans how often the item-set appears in database Support (QUR) = P(QUR)
- Confidence: The confidence value of a rule Q=>R with respect to set of transactions T is the proportion of transactions that is holding Q which also has R.Conf(Q=>R)=support(Q U R)/Support(Q)

An Apriori based algorithm:

Apriori is a classic algorithm for learning association rules. Apriori is designed to operate on databases containing transactions. As is common in association rule mining, given a set of itemsets, the algorithm attempts to find subsets which are common to at least a minimum number C of the itemsets. Apriori uses a "bottom up" approach, where frequent subsets are extended one item at a time (a step known as candidate generation), and groups of candidates are tested against the data. The algorithm terminates when no further successful extensions are found.

C. Working of the system:

The Interbred book recommender comprise web application which interact with the database comprising of data in regards to book preference, book, user, transaction information. The intake to the Recommender engine would be in Comma Separated Values (CSV). The database tables will be exported and stored as CSV records and sustained as input to the Map-reduce in Recommender engine where data clean happens and utilizing machine learning usage methods like collaborative filter, in that which utilizes Item-based collaborative filter, and association rules which utilizes apriori calculation and then predictions for user are created. The output is suggestions which incorporates userid, bookid and figured closeness ratings. At that point the suggestions got is composed to document as CSV record. Here the calculations all are done disconnected from the net(offline). The document is transported into database and dumped to it for giving suggestions while the user signs in. Here the calculations all are carried offline

IV. PSEUDOCODE

A. Apriori pseudocode:

 $\begin{array}{l} & \mbox{Apriori}(Trans, \mathcal{E}) \\ & \mbox{$L_{1\leftarrow}$} \{ Large \ 1-itemsets \ that \ identified \ in \ much \ of \ the \ \mathcal{E} \ transactions \} \\ & \mbox{$k\leftarrow2$} \\ & \mbox{While } L_{k-1} \neq \mbox{Null} \\ & \mbox{$C_{k\leftarrow}$ generate \ (L_{k-1})$} \\ & \mbox{For \ transactions \ t\in \ Trans} \\ & \mbox{$Ct\leftarrow$ Subset(C_k,b)$} \\ & \mbox{For \ candidates \ c \in C_t$} \\ & \mbox{Count}[c]{\leftarrow}\mbox{count}[c] + 1 \\ & \mbox{$L_{k\leftarrow}$} \{c \in C_k| \ count}[c] \geq \mathcal{E} \} \end{array}$



(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Vol. 4, Issue 6, June 2016

 $k \leftarrow k+1$ return $\bigcup_k L_k$

Consider I= $\{i1,i2,...,im\}$ be items.D be a transactions collection where each of the Transaction Trans is set of the items that is Trans I. Let Q be the set of items in I, if Q T, we say Trans is holdingQ. An association rule has rule of the form Q \Rightarrow R Where Q \subset I,R \subset I and Q \cap R=Null.As described above support and confidence Given Transactions D, to determine association rules whose confidence and support is higher than least support and least confidence.

Let $Q, R \subseteq I$ be itemsets, if $Q \subseteq R$, then support(Q)>=support(R) that leading to two corollaries,

1. If Qbe frequent, then any other $R \subseteq Q$ is also frequent.

2. If Q is not frequent, any superset $Q \subseteq R$ will not be frequent.

Itemset mining algorithm can be improvized by reducing the number of candidates generated that is limiting them to only those that will be potentially frequent. First it is stopped to generate supersets of candidate once it is found to be infrequent, Second, avoiding any candidate that has infrequent subset.

- Finding frequent set L_{k-1}
- Join step C_k is generated by joining L_{k-1} with itself
- Prune step: Any k-1 itemset that are not frequent would notbe a subset of a frequent k itemset, hence it should be removed.

Where,

 C_k is candidate itemset of size k, L_k frequent itemsetof size k

V. RECOMMENDER TECHNIQUE

A.Pearson Correlation coefficient-based similarity:

Pearson correlation is a numerical that ranges in between -1 and 1. If this tendency appears to be high then correlation is 1,otherwise then it is close to 0. If numbers in one series are highest exactly when numbers in other series will be low, the value will be -1. The Pearson Correlation Coefficient (PCC) determines the similarity between two users or items by measuring the tendency of two series of preferences to move together in a proportional and linear manner .It attempts to find each users' or items' deviations from their average rating while identifying linear dependencies between two users or items. The formula uses actual preference values, in our case the book rating value, to find correlation between users or items, and gives larger weights to users or item. The PCC similarity calculation:

The Pearson correlation coefficient r, the formula is as follows:

$$r = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} l_i m_i - \sum_{i=1}^{n} l_i^{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} m_i}{n}}}{\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{n} l_i^2 - \frac{(\sum_{i=1}^{n} l_i)^2}{n}} \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{n} m_i^2 - \frac{(\sum_{i=1}^{n} m_i)^2}{n}}} (\text{eq.1})$$

Here r refers Pearson correlation coefficient, $l_{1,2,3,4,...i}$ are the evaluations given by user a to the book, in the same wayfor m_i .

VI. EXPERIMENTAL RESULT

The combined approaches using collaborative filtering which makes utilization of item-based recommender considers attributes like publisher, author, genre(category) of books which makes prediction accuracy to increase .The computational time will be less as data pre-processing will be done in Map-reduce technique in Hadoop Distributed File System environment.Here the computations all are done offline.



(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Vol. 4, Issue 6, June 2016

Books Rated by y	/ou		
HOMER HICKAM OCTOBER SKY	October Sky: A Memoir 1999 Homer Hickam Dei memoir 9.0	GRISHAM PAINTED HOUSE	A Painted House 2001 John Grisham Dell Publishing Company 8.0
Recommendations fo	r you		
GRISHAM CHAMBER	The Chamber 1995 John Grisham Dell Publishing Company 10.9	Caraba	House of Sand and Fog 2000 Andre Dubus III Vintage Books 10.0
A Ught the Attice	A Light in the Attic 1981 Shel Silverstein HarperCollins 10.0		Lamb : The Gospel According to Birf, Christ's Childhood Pai 2003 Christopher Moore Perennia 10.0
THE RULE OF FOUR	The Rule of Four 2004 Ian Caldwell Dial Books 10.0	JOHN GRISHAM FIRM	The Firm 1992 John Grisham Bantam Dell Publishing Group 10.0
JOHN GRISHAM PELICAN	The Pelican Brief 1993 John Grisham Dell 10.0	Ann Patchett bel	Bel Canto 2001 Ann Patchett HarperCollins Publishers 10.0

Fig .2. The Generated book recommendations for registered user based on his ratings

The Experimental outcome looks like this as shown in fig 2. Once the user logs in the books rated by him will be displayed including the name of the book, author, publisher and the publishing year and the recommendations for that user based on the similarity measures on characteristics of author, publisher, genre of books measuring the item to item similarity based on preferences given by the user. The testing is done taking the Evaluator class having functions to take the interbred recommender (includes collaborative filtering, content based filtering , apriori based algorithm, input having user and ratings ,,and the function to take percentage of training data and testing data. Based on this the score is generated to that says the accuracy of the recommender.

VII. CONCLUSION

As E-commerce sites are developing massively, consumers surpassing in millions tend and stick to purchase the things they require. Keeping in mind the end goal to make their buy go in straightforwardness and ease and make their agreeable items show up effortlessly on the window and to make their search simpler, recommendations are made through recommender engine. Online book recommenders is one of them.

The overall note is that the combinedprocedures of machine learning systems that is executed on Mahout open source like collaborative filter, Association rules and content based filter are utilized to design a precise recommender for books in light of mining the dataset information which is as one called Interbred Book recommender. This methodology as a base uses Hadoop's HDFS and Map reduce programming model for information pre-process. The feature to be considered are preference of the book given by different consumers, properties like author, distributer, class of books referring to content based filtering.



(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Vol. 4, Issue 6, June 2016

VIII. ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I would like to express my gratitude to all who helped me directly and indirectly to achieve this work, specially Dr.Mohamed Rafi(Head of the Department) for his continuous guidance and support. He as a mentor assisted in issues for which I was unaware and he helped to find solutions and guided me in giving directions.

I would like to show gratitude to my colleagues who helped and assisted in preparation of report an. And I am thankful to all staff and non -staff membersof Department of Computer Science and Engineering who are indirectly involved in my task completion.

REFERENCES

- 1. Ms.Sushama Rajpurkar, Ms .Darshana Bhatt, Ms Pooja Malhotra "Book recommendation system"-International Journal For Innovative Research in Science and Technology (IJIRST), Vol.1, Issue 11, pp. 314-316, April 2015.
- 2. Agarwal R,Imielinski, T.Swamy A.N."Mining association rules between sets of items in large databases". International Conference of Management of Data,Vol.22, Issue 2,pp. 207-216, June 1993
- 3. G.Adomaviius and ATuzhilin (June 2005) "Toward the next generation of recommender systems: A survey of the state-of-the-art and possible extensions", IEEE transactions on Knowledge and data Engineering, Vol.17, Issue 6, pp.734-749, June 2005
- 4. Shahab Saquib Sohail, Jamshed Siddiqui, Rashid Ali, "Book recommendation system using opinion mining technique," International Conference on Advances in Computing Communication and Informatics (ICACCI),pp.1609-1614,IEEE,2013.
- 5. Anand Shanker Tewari ,Tasif Sultan ,Ansari Asim, Gopal Barman "Opinion Based Book Recommendation Using Naïve Bayes Classifier",International Conference on Contemporary Computing and Informatics(IC3I) pp.139-144, IEEE,2014.
- 6. Jun Yang, Zhonghua Li, Wei Xiang ,"An improved apriori algorithm based on features", Ninth International Conference on Computational Intelligence and Security,pp.125-28,IEEE,2013.
- Uma Sahu, Amiya Kumar Tripathy, Apurva Chitnis, Karen Aubrey Corda, Sharon Rodrigues,"Personalised Recommendation engine using Hadoop" 2015 International Conference on Technologies for Sustainable Development (ICTSD-2015), Feb. 04-06, 2015, Mumbai, India
- 8. Robin anil, Ted dunning, Ellen friedman"Mahout in action by Sean Owen", co. Greenwich, CT, USA, Manning Publications, 2011.

BIOGRAPHY

Mohamed Rafi is Professor and Chairman in Department of Studies in Computer Science and Engineering, UBDT college, Davanagere,Karnataka.He is a PhD holder and having expertise in Digital Image processing and various other domains.

Kanchana S. Sis a final year M.Tech student in Computer Science and Engineering, UBDT, Davanagere, Karnataka.Her area of interests is in DBMS, web application and big data technology.