

(A High Impact Factor, Monthly, Peer Reviewed Journal) Website: <u>www.ijircce.com</u> Vol. 6, Issue 11, November 2018

# Energy Efficient Multipath Routing Protocol based on Particle Swarm Optimization for WSN

Roshni Jha<sup>1</sup>, Dr.Shivnath Ghosh<sup>2</sup>

M.Tech Scholar, Department of Computer Science and Engineering, MPCT, Gwalior, India<sup>1</sup> Associate Professor, Department of Computer Science and Engineering, MPCT, Gwalior, India<sup>2</sup>

**ABSTRACT:** The energy constraint sensor nodes operate on limited batteries, so the energy consumption is a key design criterion for the routing protocols in wireless sensor networks. Comparing with single path routing algorithm, multi-path routing algorithm can balance the network energy distribution and extend the network lifetime better. In this paper an energy efficient multipath routing protocol is proposed. The proposed algorithm is based on selection of energy efficient paths from multiple shortest distance path from source to destination. For selection particle swarm optimization algorithm is applied. The PSO algorithm selects energy efficient route among different shortest paths. The k-shortest route is selected on the basis of bandwidth and distance. The performance of the proposed algorithm is compared with multipath AODV routing protocol and concluded that PSO optimized energy efficient path is more efficient with respect to remaining energy of the network. The result is analyzed with variable number of packets send.

**KEYWORDS:** Wireless Sensor Network, Multipath Routing, Particle Swarm Optimization, Energy Efficiency.

### I. INTRODUCTION

A significant challenging concern on designing system structures of ad hoc network applications is to design and develop an efficient routing protocol. When comes to wireless sensor networks (WSNs), special design requirements emerge due to themselves' characteristic of large-scale and limited computational capabilities, power supply, and communication bandwidth[1].

Most of routing protocols stated above found a single path to transmit data. They aim at selecting an optimal path that bases on the metrics, such as the gradient information, the hops to the sink node, the nodes residual energy on the path, or the energy cost of the path. But in the applications of WSNs, using single path has some problems of reliability.

In recent years, multipath routing strategies have been suggested to improve the WSNs performance of fault tolerance, robustness, security, end-to-end delay and energy balancing. In these approaches, multiple paths can transmit the data in turn to keep the energy balance of the whole network. Multiple copies of the data can also be sent along different paths, allowing for resilience even if failure of a certain number of paths.

This is very useful in some multimedia transmission applications [2-4]. Over the years, many multipath routing schemes have been proposed. In [5], a braided multipath routing scheme of extension of directed diffusion has been proposed. Most of the protocols are problematic for its complexity, serious end-to-end delay and lock of consideration of energy balance.

In [6], N-to-1 multipath discovery protocol is proposed to find the maximum multipath. But in this scheme, when the network is in large-scale, the exchanging messages between the nodes to complete the establishment of multipath may



(A High Impact Factor, Monthly, Peer Reviewed Journal)

#### Website: www.ijircce.com

#### Vol. 6, Issue 11, November 2018

consume large sum of energy and every node needs to use excessive memories to keep the partial topology of the network. Furthermore, many paths discovered are actually meaningless due to their long delay and large cost [7,8]. In this paper, we propose an energy-efficient particle swarm optimal multipath routing protocol based on bandwidth requirement for wireless sensor networks.

#### II. PROPOSED METHODOLOGY

The proposed algorithm is focused for determining optimized route in multipath routing protocols. For this approach the proposed algorithm contributes to define multiple routes between a destination nodes and the source node by selecting a subset of all existing routes [9-11]. The route selection is dependent on node density and remaining energy of each nodes.

This section discusses about the working of proposed energy efficient bandwidth aware shortest path routing protocol for multipath routing in wireless sensor network. The proposed algorithm is based for choosing energy efficient shortest path. In routing algorithm, route that have shortest path among multipaths selected by particle swarm optimization algorithm.

Among these shortest paths, that path is selected which require minimum route selection parameter. The flow chart of proposed algorithm is discussed below:

#### Steps of Proposed Algorithm

Step 1: Send RREQ from source node

Step 2: Receive route reply from neighbor nodes

Step 3: Establish different paths from source to destination

Step 4: Calculate shortest path based on distance and remaining energy of the nodes in the path using particle swarm optimization

Step 5: Select multiple shortest path from network

Step 6: Calculate route selection parameter of each node in the selected shortest path

Step7: Select one among different shortest paths whose selection parameter value is minimum.

Step 8: Forward Data packets

According to above steps one of the important functions is to find multiple shortest paths using particle swarm optimization which is discussed below:



(A High Impact Factor, Monthly, Peer Reviewed Journal)

Website: www.ijircce.com

Vol. 6, Issue 11, November 2018



Figure 1: Proposed Algorithm Flow Chart

Particle swarm optimization based shortest path selection Algorithm: For every particle or jobs Initialize jobs end Do For each job



(A High Impact Factor, Monthly, Peer Reviewed Journal)

#### Website: www.ijircce.com

#### Vol. 6, Issue 11, November 2018

Calculate fitness value

If the fitness value is greater than the best fitness value (pBest) in history

Then set current fitness value as the new pBest

End

Choose the job with the best fitness value of all the particles as the gBest

For each job

Calculate particle velocity

Update job position in queue

End

While maximum iterations or minimum error criteria is not attained.

In this proposed algorithm the best path is chosen according to fitness value which is according to the minimum distance to be travelled by a data up to base node as well as energy of the node.

#### Fitness Function

To find optimize path using PSO, need to find the fitness value of each path.

$$Fitness_{val} = dist(i, j) + remaining_{energy(i)}$$
(i)  
+ remaing\_{energy(j)}

. .

This fitness value will be used to select the local best and global best for PSO. The path having minimum fitness value will be the best optimal solution.

#### Calculation of route selection parameter

For calculating the selection parameter Source Node, Destination Node Transmission Range and power loss acts as an input. The route selection parameter is estimated as below in equation:

$$R_{sp} = \frac{P(tx)d^{-\alpha}(i,j)}{\sum_{k=1}^{N} P(tx)d^{-\alpha}(i,j) + \sigma^2}$$
(ii)

Where, P(tx) = Transmission Power

 $d^{-\alpha}(i,j) = Distance$  between node i and j

 $\sigma$  = Power level of noise

 $\alpha$  = Path loss components

Depending on the distance between transmitter and receiver, multiple fade and free space channel patterns are used. The free space model (loss of power  $d^2$ ) is mainly used for communication, while the power loss model  $d^4$  is used for communication between clusters. The threshold distance is greater than or equal to  $d_0$ . The radio energy consumed by the transmitter to transmit a 1bit message at a distance d is:

The radio energy consumed by the transmitter to transmit a 1bit message at a distance d is:

$$E_{Tx}(I,d) = E_{Tx-elec}(I) + E_{TX-amp}(I,d)$$
  
=  $IE_{elec} + IE_{amp}d^4, d$  (iii)



(A High Impact Factor, Monthly, Peer Reviewed Journal)

Website: www.ijircce.com

#### Vol. 6, Issue 11, November 2018

And energy consumed by the receiver is:

$$E_{Rx}(I) = E_{Rx-elec}(I) = IE_{elec}$$
(iv)

Where,

 $E_{elec}$  = Per bit energy consumed to execute transmitter and receiver

E<sub>fs</sub>= amplifier energies for free space

 $E_{amp}$  = amplifier energies for multipath models

The Advantages of Proposed Approach are End to End Delay is less, Energy consumption is reduced due to fact that the routes that are discovered are very less. The algorithm takes route selection parameter based on power requirement and bandwidth requirement of the route to pick the forwarding nodes or forwarding link hence the throughput is high because the route chosen is bandwidth aware.

#### **III. SIMULATION AND RESULT ANALYSIS**

In this research work the MATLAB tool is used to simulate and verify the validity of PSO optimized multipath routing protocol. For simulation environment we have assumed WSN consisted of 100 sensor nodes and nodes are randomly distributed in the 100\*100m area. The simulation parameters are given below in table I.

Parameter Name	Values
Network Area	100*100
Number of nodes	100-500
Packet Size	4000 bits
No. of packets	1-500
Initial Energy, E <sub>O</sub>	.5J
Transmitter Energy, ETX	50nJ/bit
Receiver Energy, ERX	50nJ/bit
Amplification Energy for short distance, Efs	10pJ/bit/m <sup>2</sup>
Amplification Energy for long distance, Emp	0.0013pJ/bit/m <sup>2</sup>

#### **Table I: Simulation Parameters**

According to proposed algorithm following results are analyzed as following:

In figure 2, the result analysis is performed for 100 packets and it is concluded that PSO optimized multipath routing protocol outperforms better with respect to shortest multipath routing protocol.



(A High Impact Factor, Monthly, Peer Reviewed Journal)

Website: www.ijircce.com

Vol. 6, Issue 11, November 2018



Figure 2: Residual Energy Analysis with 100 Packets



Figure 3: Residual Energy Analysis with 200 Packets

In figure 3, the result analysis is performed for 200 packets and it is concluded that PSO optimized multipath routing protocol outperforms better with respect to shortest multipath routing protocol.

In figure 4, the result analysis is performed for 300 packets and it is concluded that PSO optimized multipath routing protocol outperforms better with respect to shortest multipath routing protocol.



(A High Impact Factor, Monthly, Peer Reviewed Journal)

Website: www.ijircce.com

Vol. 6, Issue 11, November 2018







Figure 5: Residual Energy Analysis with 400 Packets

In figure 5, the result analysis is performed for 400 packets and it is concluded that PSO optimized multipath routing protocol outperforms better with respect to shortest multipath routing protocol.



(A High Impact Factor, Monthly, Peer Reviewed Journal)

Website: www.ijircce.com

Vol. 6, Issue 11, November 2018



Figure 6: Residual Energy Analysis with 500 Packets

In figure 6, the result analysis is performed for 500 packets and it is concluded that PSO optimized multipath routing protocol outperforms better with respect to shortest multipath routing protocol.

#### **IV. CONCLUSION**

In this paper an energy efficient multipath routing algorithm is proposed. This algorithm is used to find optimum path among all shortest path discovered which utilizes the minimum energy of nodes and thus wireless network remains for long time span. The proposed algorithm uses distance as well as energy of nodes as a parameter to find optimum paths using particle swarm optimization. Among these selected paths, only one optimum path is selected which reduces the energy requirement of the network. The PSO algorithm selects energy efficient route among different shortest paths. The k-shortest route is selected on the basis of bandwidth and distance. The performance of the proposed algorithm is compared with multipath AODV routing protocol and concluded that PSO optimized energy efficient path is more efficient with respect to remaining energy of the network. In future work this concept would be implemented to find bandwidth aware multipath routing protocol.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Sung-Min Jung, Young-Ju Han, and Tai-Myoung Chung, "The concentric clustering scheme for efficient energy consumption in the PEGASIS," The 9th International Conference on Advanced Communication Technology, vol. 1, pp. 260-265, February 2007.
- [2] M. D. Kwong, S. Cherkaoui, R. Lefebvre, "Multipledescription and multi-path routing for robust voicetransmission over ad hoc networks," IEEE International Conference on Wireless and Mobile Computing, June 2006, pp.262–267.
- [3] G. Venkat Raju, T. B. Reddy, C. Siva Ram Murthy and T. BheemarjunaReddy, "On supporting real-time speech over ad hoc wireless networks,"14th IEEE International Conference on Networks, vol. 2, Sept. 2006, pp.1–6.
   [4] Y. Charfi, N. Wakamiya, M. Murata, "Network-adaptiveimage andvideo transmission in camera-based wireless sensor networks," FirstACM/IEEE International
- [5] D. Ganesan, R. Govindan, S. Shenker and D. Estrin, "Highly-resilient, energy-efficient multipath routing in wireless sensor networks," Mobile Computing and
- Communications Review(MC2R), 2002.
  [6] Wenjing Lou, Younggoo Kwon, "H-SPREAD: a hybrid multipath scheme for secure and reliable data collection in wireless sesnsor networks," IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology, vol. 55, pp. 1320–1330, July 2006.
- [7] Nisheeth Khanna and K Krishna NAik," An Energy Efficient Path Routing Protocol Based on AODV Routing protocol for Mobile Ad hoc Network," IJERT, Vol.4, Issue 12, Dec-2015.
- [8] Annapurna P Patil, B Varsha Chandan, S Aparna, R Greeshma, H P Akshatha, "An improved energy efficient AODV routing protocol for WSNs", IEEE, 2014.
- [9] Mohammed Aashkaar, Purushottam Sharma, "Enhanced energy efficient AODV routing protocol for WSN", IEEE, 2015.
   [10] Abdelkader Laouida, AbdelnasserDahmani, AhcèneBounceur, Reinhardt Euler, Farid Lalem, Abdelkamel Tari, "A distributed multi-path routing algorithm to balance energy consumption in wireless sensor networks", Elsevier, 2017.
- [11] ChrispenMafrabadza, Pallavi Khatri, "Efficient Power Aware AODV Routing Protocol for WSN", Wireless Personal Communications, Volume 97, Issue 4, pp 5707–5717, 2017.