

(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization) Website: <u>www.ijircce.com</u> Vol. 5, Issue 4, April 2017

Review On-Workflow Scheduling in Cloud Environment by Distributed Virtual Machine

Pratishtha Gautam¹, Sahil Dadwal²

M. Tech Student, Dept. of CSE, BIMT, Mehli, Shimla (H.P)[,] India¹ Assistant Professor, Dept. of CSE, BIMT, Mehli, Shimla (H.P)[,] India²

ABSTRACT: Distributed computing conditions encourage applications by giving virtualized assets that can be provisioned progressively. The appearance of Cloud registering as another model of administration provisioning in dispersed frameworks, urges specialists to examine its advantages and disadvantages in executing logical applications, for example, work processes. There are a mass of explores on the issue of booking in distributed computing, the greater part of them, notwithstanding, are session work process and employment planning. A cloud work process framework is a sort of stage administration which encourages the robotization of appropriated applications in view of the novel cloud framework. The booking of the work processes is normally performed physically by the IT,In this paper review different cloud and workflow scheduling.

KEYWORDS: Cloud Computing, Scalability, Distributed Computing, Software as a Service (SaaS)

I. INTRODUCTION

Nowadays, the growing area in distributed computing is cloud computing in which adaptable services are delivered dynamically on demand over the internet through hardware and software virtualization. The cloud's biggest advantage is its leasing and releasing flexibility resources as per the requirement of the user. Furthermore, the plans of two type are offered by the cloud provider namely on demand short-term plan and long-term reservation plan. Intelligent infrastructure is in it i.e. Transparency, Scalability, Monitoring and Security [1].

Characteristics of Cloud Computing:

Essential characteristics of cloud computing, that provides qualitative services. These characteristics are given as follows:

- **On-demand self-service:** This self-service mentions to the cloud computing vendors providing this service in which the on demand cloud resources provision is enabled as per there requirement. In self-service on-demand, the cloud services are being accessed by the user through an online control panel.
- **Broad network access:** Computing capabilities are separated by cloud computing from their consumers so that there is no need for the consumers to maintain capabilities by themselves. Over the internet, computing capabilities are accessed as it is located elsewhere as its consequence.
- **Resource pooling:** Information Technology term is resource pooling used in cloud computing environments in which a situation is described that multiple clients, customers or "tenants" are served with provisional and scalable services. Adjusting these services that suits according to the needs of each client's without any changes which are being apparent to the client or end user. Resources examples include processing, storage, memory, and network bandwidth [2].

Advantages of Cloud Computing:

Cloud has numerous advantages so that user can access the data from anywhere at any time from any web-enabled

device such as laptops, smartphones, tablets etc. Some of the benefits are given below:

• Achieve economies of scale Volume yield or profitability of work increments with fewer individuals.



(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Website: <u>www.ijircce.com</u>

Vol. 5, Issue 4, April 2017

- **Reduce spending on innovation foundation** Maintain simple access to your data with negligible forthright spending. Pay as you go (week by week, quarterly or yearly), in light of interest.
- Globalize workforce for barely anything People worldwide can get to the cloud if they have an Internet association.
- Streamline forms get more work done in less time with fewer individuals.
- **Reduce capital expenses** there's no compelling reason to spend enormous cash on equipment, programming or permitting charges [3].

Cloud Computing Service Models:

The three service models in which cloud computing model is distributed in: Software as a Service (SaaS), Infrastructure as a Service (IaaS), and Platform as a Service (PaaS). An organization may acquire any grouping of these service models depending on their specific needs.

- Software as a Service (SaaS): Software as a Service or SaaS depicts any cloud administration where buyers over the web can get to programming applications. The applications are facilitated in "the cloud" and for an extensive assignments variety cane be utilized for both people and associations. SaaS sample are Google, Twitter, Facebook, and Flicker, with any web-empowered gadget means the clients ready to get to the administrations. Software as Service users, however, subscribes to the product instead of procurement it, more often than not on the premises of a month to month. Applications are obtained and with records spared utilizing online as a cloud part instead of an individual PCs.
- **Platform as a Service (PaaS):** Platform as a Service permits clients to make programming applications utilizing instruments supplied by the supplier. PaaS administrations can comprise of preconfigured elements that clients can subscribe to; they can incorporate the components that meet their necessities while disposing of those that don't. A sample of PaaS is Google App Engine [4].

II. RELATED WORK

In [2]paper describes their experiences in the cloud while running a scientific workflow application. The application for the processing astronomy data is being developed that is released by the Kepler project, and a NASA mission for Earthlike planets orbiting other stars search. The multiple clouds are deploying this workflow with the use of Pegasus Workflow Management System. Several sites are included in the clouds use within the Future Grid, NERSC's Magellan cloud, and Amazon EC2.

In [1] paper, Cloud computing is defined and for the Clouds creation, architecture is provide with market-oriented resource allocation by technologies leveraging such as Virtual Machines (VMs). Also, market-based resource management insights are provided strategies in which both customer-driven service management and computational risk management are encompassed for sustaining Service Level Agreement (SLA)-oriented resource allocation. The difference between High-Performance Computing (HPC) workload and Internet-based services workload is highlighted and a meta-negotiation infrastructure is described for the global Cloud exchanges and markets establishment, and `Storage Clouds' harnessing case study is illustrated for the delivery of high-performance content. Finally, the convergence need of competing is concluded for IT paradigms for delivering our 21st-century vision.

In [3]paper, they proposed an adaptive penalty function that is for the strict constraints as compared to other genetic algorithms. Moreover, for adjusting the crossover and mutation probability uses the coevolution approach that has the ability to accelerate the convergence and prematurity prevention. Their algorithm is also compared with baselines such as Random, Heterogeneous Earliest Finish Time, particle swarm optimization, and genetic algorithm on four representative scientific workflows in a Workflow Sim simulator. Shown in the results that has better performance in comparison to other stateoftheart algorithms in both criterion the deadlineconstraint that meets the probability and the execution cost in total.

In [4]paper, the comparison and contrast Cloud Computing with Grid Computing is discussed from various angles which gives insights of both essential characteristics. This paper shows the commonality of Clouds and Grids in their vision, architecture, and technology, but also has some differences in terms of various aspects such as security, business model, programming model, compute model, applications, data model, and abstractions. Also, challenges and opportunities are identified in both fields. Such comparison can help in understanding, sharing and evolving two



(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Website: www.ijircce.com

Vol. 5, Issue 4, April 2017

communities' infrastructure and technology across and within, Cloud computing acceleration from early prototypes to production systems.

In [5] paper, firstly the cloud workflow application survey is given and the architecture of the cloud-based workflow is presented for Smart City. Then, the workflow scheduling algorithms variety is reviewed. This paper purpose is to make taxonomy in a cloud environment for workflow scheduling and management, and this architecture of cloud-based workflow is applied also to Smart City environments, further in this area, challenges of several research is present. The further related research work challenges, with the workflow complexity and scale being increased greatly, already a single cloud do not satisfies its requirement. The suitability of the most existing algorithms is for the single cloud environment. On Inter- Cloud in the future, the workflow management system running can be searched in it. The solution of the primary challenge is needed is finding an approach appropriate in Inter-Cloud environment for service orchestration that should be considered about several criteria to meet the requirements of QoS and service orchestration optimization under the cost constraint as well as deadline.

In[6]paper, heuristic based particle swarm optimization (PSO) is present to cloud resources for scheduling applications taken into account the both data transmission cost and computation cost and with the variation in its computation and communication costs, a workflow application is experimented. The cost savings is compared when using the existing 'Best Resource Selection' (BRS) algorithm and PSO. The results shown in the methodology that PSO can achieve: a) In comparison to the BRS as much as three times savings cost, and b) the workload good distribution onto resources.

In [7] paper, some difficulties are highlighted, and model the problems of workflow scheduling are modelled in which both cost and make span as a Multi-objective Optimization Problem (MOP) is optimized for the Cloud environments. The proposed methodology solves this problem of workflow scheduling by an Evolutionary Multiobjective Optimization on an Infrastructure as a Service (IaaS) platform. For problem specific fitness evaluation, encoding and population initialization, and genetic operators, novel schemes are proposed in this algorithm.

In [8] paper work, an evaluation of the current cloud computing services usefulness for scientific computing is presented. The Amazon EC2 platform performance is analysed with the use of micro-benchmarks and kernels. While still clouds are changing, indicated in their results is the need of current cloud services having an order of magnitude to be useful in performance improvement to the scientific community. The work of the methodology work with additional other services analysis offered by Amazon: database (SimpleDB), Storage (S3), Private Cloud, queue service (SQS), and their inter-connection and also the performance evaluation results are extended by similar experiments running on other IaaS providers and also clouds on other real large-scale platforms, such as commodity and grids clusters.

In [9]paperdescribes that mainly the workflow scheduling (WFS) for achieving the desired workload balancing focuses on task allocation by pursuing the available resources optimal utilization. At the same time, the criteria for relevant performance and considering the system distribution structure to solve WFS specific problems in cloud computing by different services provided to users of cloud on-demand and pay-as-you-go basis. In the literature, WFS execution cost being affected by the various challenges of the WFS have been discussed. However, collectively such challenges are not considered by prior work.

In [10] Explains all the quality of services challenges that are most noticeable and services of the cloud computing gets affected. Several factors are considered to improve the quality of services and one of them is workflow scheduling for suitable cloud computing resources. If accurately scheduled are the cloud computing resources, the services response time gets affected, cloud resources total cost also gets affected, the consumption of energy is reduced, the emission of CO2 is reduced and the whole cloud system performance is enhanced.

III. CONCLUSION

The cloud's greatest leeway is its renting and discharging flexibility resources according to the prerequisite of the client. Besides, the arrangements of two sort are offered by the cloud supplier to be specific on demand here and now arrange and long haul reservation arrange. Shrewd infrastructure is in it i.e. Straightforwardness, Scalability, Monitoring and Security. In this paper audit the diverse cloud and workflow scheduling. Processing capabilities are isolated by cloud registering from their buyers so that there is no requirement for the customers to keep up capabilities without anyone else's input. Over the web, figuring capabilities are gotten to as it is found somewhere else as its outcome.



(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Website: www.ijircce.com

Vol. 5, Issue 4, April 2017

REFERENCES

- [1] R. Buyya, C. S. Yeo, S. Venugopal S, J. Broberg J, and I. Brandic "Cloud computing and emerging IT platforms: Vision, hype, and reality for delivering computing as the 5th utility." *Future Generation computer systems* 25.6, 599-616, (2009)
- [2] J. S. Vöckler, G. Juve, E. Deelman and M. Rynge "Experiences using cloud computing for a scientific workflow application." ACM Proceedings of the 2nd international workshop on Scientific cloud computing., 2011.
- [3] L. Liu, M. Zhang, R. Buyya. "Deadline-constrained co evolutionary genetic algorithm for scientific workflow scheduling in cloud computing." *Concurrency and Computation: Practice and Experience* (2016).
- [4] I. Foster, Y. Zhao, I. Raicu, S. Lu, "Cloud computing and grid computing 360-degree compared", Grid Computing Environments Workshop, 2008. GCE'08. IEEE, 2008.
- [5] L. Liu, M. Zhang, Y. Lin, L. Qin, "A survey on workflow management and scheduling in cloud computing." Cluster, Cloud and Grid Computing (CCGrid), 2014 14th IEEE/ACM International Symposium on. IEEE, 2014.
- [6] S. Pandey, L. Wu, S. M. Guru, R. Buyya, "A particle swarm optimization-based heuristic for scheduling workflow applications in cloud computing environments." Advanced information networking and applications (AINA), 2010 24th IEEE international conference on. IEEE, 2010.
- [7] Z. Zhu, G. Zhang, M. Li and X. Liu, "Evolutionary Multi-Objective Workflow Scheduling in Cloud," in *IEEE Transactions on Parallel and Distributed Systems*, vol. 27, no. 5, pp. 1344-1357, May 1 2016.
- [8] S. Ostermann, A. Iosup, N. Yigitbasi, R. Prodan, T. Fahringer, and D. Epema, "A performance analysis of EC2 cloud computing services for scientific computing." *International Conference on Cloud Computing*. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2009.
- [9] E. N. Alkhanak, E. Nabiel, S. P. Lee, and S. U. R. Khan. "Cost-aware challenges for workflow scheduling approaches in cloud computing environments: Taxonomy and opportunities." *Future Generation Computer Systems* 50, 3-21 (2015).
- [10] S. Shukla, A. K. Gupta, S. Saxena and S. Kumar "An Evolutionary Study of Multi-Objective Workflow Scheduling in Cloud Computing." International Journal of Computer Applications (0975–8887) Volume (2016).