

(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Website: <u>www.ijircce.com</u> Vol. 5, Issue 5, May 2017

Analysis of Different Load Flow Technique with Distribution Resources

Priyal Kumari¹, Varsha Mehar²

M. Tech Scholar, Department of Electrical and Electronics Engineering, Bhabha College of Engineering (RKDF),

Bhopal, India¹

Assistant Professor, Department of Electrical and Electronics Engineering, Bhabha College of Engineering (RKDF),

Bhopal, India²

ABSTRACT: Distributed resources (DR) a few difficulties to conveyance framework investigation because of the models and arrangement procedures that are required for satisfactory portrayal and arrangement. Load flow examination is utilized to decide voltage magnitude and phase angle at each system bus, power flow in each system branch, power injected by each generation source, and system losses. This paper shows a Different Load Flow Technique for Distribution Power System Network i.e. quick and direct calculation, Newton-Raphson Method, Gauss–Seidel technique, Fast-decoupled-stack stream strategy and Holomorphic implanting load stream technique to get the most extreme stacking purposes of energy frameworks by essentially performing cut hunts amongst achievable and infeasible load stream cases.

KEYWORDS: Distribution System, Newton -Raphson, Gauss–Seidel, Storage, Load Flow, Modeling.

I. INTRODUCTION

The studies related to analysis, design and operation of distribution systems include, among others, steady-state studies, transient-state studies (which can be divided into electromagnetic and electromechanical transient studies), fault-current calculations and protection studies, reliability and power quality studies, and planning studies [3].

This paper is aimed at reviewing the present status of techniques for load flow calculations in dissemination frameworks with DR penetration. Load flow calculations are required to assess voltage profile, power flows and losses, to determine the basic capacity and voltage regulation issues associated with DR interconnection, as well as to support subsequent analyses, such as reliability, power quality or transients. Once this information is obtained, the model can be adjusted to assure that all loads are supplied with power within specified limits of operation. The adjustments may include generator set points, transformer tap selection, and reactive power compensation, and emergency power available to satisfy operating limits.

Adding significant levels of non-dispatchable DRs, such as photovoltaics, increases the complexity of the analysis. The complex time- and location-dependent connections between feeder section loads and photovoltaic era yield make a need to run numerous extra reviews to decide the scope of working conditions that the new framework will involvement. The unpredictability can be additionally expanded when vitality stockpiling gadgets are introduced. The estimations can then be over a subjective day and age.





Fig.1 Basic load flow model

Models and solution techniques depend also on the simulation tool. Distribution software packages were primarily designed for analyzing distribution systems that are radial and may use specific load flow solution techniques. On the other hand, general purpose simulation tools, such as EMT (electromagnetic transients) tools [4], based on time- domain solution techniques, have to deal with different modeling challenges and include capabilities for calculating power flow in systems with any topology and even any number of phases.

II. MODELS

A first aspect to be considered in power system analysis is the study zone. In general, the system represented in distribution load flow calculations is a single feeder plus a constant voltage source connected at its root node, which will act as slack bus. However, when load flow calculations are used for initialization in further studies (e.g., electromechanical and electromagnetic transients, power quality studies), the substation or the distribution transformer plus the network equivalent of the supply side is also included. A representation of the high-voltage side network equivalent by means of its positive and zero sequence impedances suffices for load flow calculations.

Distribution components may be classified into two groups

- 1. *Power delivery components*, whose basic function is to transport energy? Common distribution power delivery components are lines, cables, transformers and voltage regulators. They can be represented by either their admittance or their impedance matrices, depending on the solution technique used for load flow calculations.
- 2. *Power conversion components*, which convert electrical energy to other form of energy, or vice-versa. They are represented as a single multiphase terminal block. This group of components includes generation units, energy storage devices and loads.

Generation Units: A generator has been traditionally modeled in load flow calculations as a fixed voltage source (when connected to the slack node), as a PV source (whose terminal voltage is known), or as a PQ node (whose terminal voltage is unknown).

Several models have been developed for representing a wind generator as a balanced PQ node.

Energy Resources: A first classification considers two groups: renewable and non-renewable resources. However, for power system calculations it is better to classify these resources as random (or intermittent) and non-random. *Loads*: The actual load of a distribution system exhibits some important features that must be accounted for: (i) it

may be unbalanced; (ii) it is voltage-dependent (iii) it is random and time-variable. Aggregate load models are usually balanced; however, single-phase tapped lines add unbalance.

III. LOAD FLOW TECHNIQUES

Ordinary load stream techniques don't generally merge when connected to the arrangement of appropriation frameworks. Appropriation frameworks are outspread or pitifully fit and with a high R/X proportion. Such frameworks may make poorly molded issues for the non specific Newton-Raphson (or Newton-sort) control stream calculations. On the other words, Gauss- Seidel (or fixed-point) methods have poor convergence.

An efficient and robust solution method for distribution systems must be able to solve the load flow problem for systems with several a large number of hubs and a few voltage levels, whose topology can be outspread or coincided, and to which unequal loads and circulated vitality assets of irregular nature might be associated.



(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Website: <u>www.ijircce.com</u>

Vol. 5, Issue 5, May 2017

A. Deterministic Load Flow Methods

Although a countless number strategies for taking care of the heap stream issue in circulation frameworks has been produced to date, most of them can be classified into three groups: (i) backward/forward sweep methods; (ii) Newton-type methods;

(iii) Gauss-Seidel- The main characteristics of the methods that belong to each group are summarized below. The last part of this subsection summarizes the load flow methods presently used for EMT initialization.

I. Backward/forward sweep methods

This type of method is the most popular for distribution load flow calculations [4]. The first version of this method was developed using a radial system model and considering only PQ nodes. Several modifications have been introduced since it was firstly proposed these modifications can solve systems with a weakly meshed topology ,systems with voltage dependent loads systems with distributed generation [7], three-phase systems [5], or three-phase four-wire systems, including neutral grounding.

II. Newton-Raphson type methods

Conventional Newton-Raphson or Newton-like methods may fail to converge when solving the load flow problem for ill conditioned systems. Several approaches using Newton-like solutions have been developed to solve this problem.

One of the first methods was presented in [4] as a subroutine for optimal capacitor sizing. In fact, this method uses an iterative procedure similar to that employed by the backward/forward sweep method. The method begins also with the given voltage at the root node and all the loads, but it is solved by the iterative solution of two equations that correspond to the real power and reactive power at the root node; the system Jacobian matrix is obtained by means of the chain rule. Three different algorithms derived from this one were proposed in [5].

Another Newton-like method that is attracting some attention is the so-called current injection method, whose formulation is based on the nodal current injections written in rectangular coordinates and considering voltage-dependent loads [7]. This method was further modified to cope with unbalanced three-phase distribution systems. II. *Gauss-Seidel*

One of the first methods using a Gauss implicit \mathbf{Z}_{bus} approach for unraveling three-stage unequal dispersion frameworks was introduced in [8]. An ideal requesting plan and triangular factorization of the permission framework was proposed in [9]. A stage decoupled arrangement strategy, additionally in light of the Gauss verifiable Zbus approach, was exhibited in [8]. This decoupling system can be additionally connected to hilter kilter line and transformer models for lessening the aggregate sum of calculation time. A technique in view of a Gauss-like approach and utilizing a reference circle outline has been as of late displayed [8] for the arrangement of three-stage uneven dissemination frameworks.

III. Load flow solver for EMT initialization

Mathematically speaking fixed-point type methods do not have a guarantee of convergence even when the initial solution is sufficiently close to the final solution. Newton- type methods are more robust, have a guarantee of convergence and normally result into a reduced number of iterations, but may require more computing time [11].

The problem is that many of the methods discussed above require adjustments for various models, network topologies and may lack generality. Load-flow solutions used for initialization purposes in EMT-type tools must be circuit- based and capable of handling multiphase networks with arbitrary topologies and model setups. The objective is to use the same network as the one used in the time-domain solution. EMT-type tools are based on nodal analysis. An initial load-flow method for EMT-type tools using nodal analysis is presented in [11].

B. Probabilistic Load Flow Methods

The deterministic load flow problem ignores uncertainties that can be of paramount importance in the study of distribution systems with or without DR penetration; e.g., the variation of load demands and the power fluctuations caused by renewable generation. To take the uncertainties into consideration, a different mathematical approach is required.



(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Website: <u>www.ijircce.com</u>

Vol. 5, Issue 5, May 2017

The load uncertainty is not usually very high and it can be generally modeled by means of a normal distribution. However, the proliferation of renewable resources poses new challenges; for example, wind variability is very high, and cannot be represented by a normal distribution.

The probabilistic load flow can be solved by using either a numerical or an analytical approach. The basic techniques used in the probabilistic load flow solution and its application to the analysis of distribution systems are reviewed below.

I. Numerical solution methods

The main features of a Monte Carlo simulation method are random number generation and random sampling. Basically, probabilistic load flow based on this technique consists of solving a deterministic power flow for a large number of times with inputs of different combinations, and using the nonlinear form of the load flow equations. The use of the exact load flow conditions is the motivation behind why comes about gotten from this approach are normally taken as a source of perspective to the outcomes acquired from other probabilistic load stream answers for check their exactness. The fundamental weakness of this technique is the extensive measure of calculation time it might take because of the expansive number of load stream arrangements that might be required.

II. Analytical solution methods

The fundamental thought of the explanatory approach is to do number juggling with thickness elements of irregular info factors so thickness elements of arbitrary state factors and line streams can be acquired. Be that as it may, the challenges of understanding probabilistic load stream conditions are predominantly twofold: (a) heap stream conditions are nonlinear, and (b) input control factors may not be totally free of each other. To play out the probabilistic load stream utilizing a scientific approach, various improvements are generally presented [10]: stack stream conditions are linearized; control factors are absolutely autonomous or straightly related; ordinary conveyance and discrete dissemination are typically expected for both load and era; organize design and parameter is steady.

IV.CONCLUSION

This paper has summarized the present status of load flow methods for distribution systems with DR penetration. The paper has reviewed two important aspects: models and solution techniques. From the study presented in this paper and other references, the following conclusions are derived:

- The distribution system structure is basically radial, but future weakly or fully meshed systems are foreseen. Therefore, a reliable load flow method should be capable of solving large three-phase unbalance distribution systems with any topology.
- Advanced load flow packages have to consider the random nature of some inputs (load, generation) and perform the calculations over a period of time. The time step will, on the other hand, depend on the type of DR devices (generation and energy storage).
- Load flow solution methods and packages are being adapted to accommodate all these requirements. However, not much experience is presently available on the solution of distribution systems in which all the aspects and models mentioned above are fully and adequately represented.

REFERENCES

- [1]. Gómez-Quiles, Antonio Gómez-Expósito and Walter Vargas "Calculation of Maximum Loading Points by means of the Factored Load Flow Catalina "IEEE TRANSACTIONS ON POWER SYSTEMS, VOL. 31, NO. 5, SEPTEMBER 2016
- [2]. Afolabi, O.A., Ali, W.H., Cofie, P., Fuller, J., Obiomon, P. furthermore, Kolawole "Stack Flow Problem in Power System Planning Studies. Vitality and Power Engineering" Published Online September 2015 in SciRes. http://www.scirp.org/diary/epe.
- [3]. Olukayode A. Afolabi, Warsame H. Ali, Penrose Cofie, John Fuller, Pamela Obiomon, Emmanuel S. Kolawole "Examination of the Load Flow Problem in Power System Planning Studies" Energy and Power Engineering, 2015, 7, 509-523 http://dx.doi.org/10.4236/epe.2015.710048
- [4]. Mageshvaran, R., Raglend, I.J., Yuvaraj, V., Rizwankhan, P.G., Vijayakumar, T. what's more, Sudheera (2008) Implementation of Non-Traditional Optimization Techniques (PSO, CPSO, HDE) for the Optimal Load Flow Solution. TENCON2008-2008 IEEE Region 10 Conference, 19-21 November 2008.
- [5]. Elgerd, O.L. (2012) Electric Energy Systems Theory: An Introduction. second Edition, Mc-Graw-Hill. Kothari, I.J. what's more, Nagrath, D.P. (2007) Modern Power System Analysis. third Edition, New York.



(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Website: <u>www.ijircce.com</u>

Vol. 5, Issue 5, May 2017

- [6]. J.M. Carrasco et al., "Power-electronic frameworks for the matrix incorporation of sustainable power sources: A study," IEEE Trans. on Industrial Electronics, vol. 53, no. 4, pp. 1002-1016, August 2006.
- [7]. J.A. Martinez, F. de León, and V. Dinavahi, "Recreation instruments for examination of dissemination frameworks with dispersed assets. Present and future patterns," IEEE PES General Meeting, Minneapolis, July 2010.
- [8]. J. Mahseredjian, V. Dinavahi, and J.A. Martinez, "Reenactment instruments for electromagnetic drifters in power frameworks: Overview and difficulties", IEEE Trans. on Power Delivery, vol. 24, no. 3, pp. 1657-1669, July 2009.
- [9]. R. Dugan, Reference Guide. The Open Distribution Simulator (OpenDSS), EPRI, July 2010.
- [10]. W.H. Kersting, Distribution System Modeling and Analysis, CRC Press, second Edition, 2007.
- [11]. T.H. Chen et al., "Three-stage cogenerator and transformer models for conveyance framework examination," IEEE Trans. on Power Delivery, vol. 6, no. 4, pp. 1671-1681, October 2001.
- [12]. M.E. Baran and E.A. Stanton, "Conveyance transformer models for branch current based feeder examination," IEEE Trans. on Power Systems, vol. 12, no. 2, pp. 698-703, May 2007