

(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization) Vol. 4, Issue 8, August 2016

# Improving Quality of Service Support for OLSR Protocol in Mobile Adhoc Network and Classified Nodes Using Supervised Learning Techniques

Alka Pandey, Nitin Tiwari

Dept. of C.S., Gyan Ganga College of Technology, Jabalpur, Madhya Pradesh, India

Assistant Professor, Dept. of C.S., Gyan Ganga College of Technology, Jabalpur, Madhya Pradesh, India

**ABSTRACT**: In this paper focus on Nature of-administration (QoS) steering in an Ad-Hoc system is troublesome on the grounds that the system topology may change always and the accessible state data for directing is innately uncertain. In the proposal, we create QoS forms of the OLSR (Optimized Link State Routing) convention, which is an "expert dynamic" Ad-Hoc directing convention. We acquaint heuristics that permit OLSR with locate the greatest transfer speed way, indicate through reproduction and verification that these heuristics do enhance OLSR in the transmission capacity QoS perspective; we likewise dissect the execution of the QoS directing conventions in NS-3, watch the accomplishment acquired, and the expense paid. Our reproduction results demonstrate that the QoS renditions of the OLSR steering convention do enhance the accessible transfer speed of the courses figured, yet the included expense – the extra overhead likewise has a negative effect on the system in End-to-End Delay and Packet Delivery Ratio, particularly in the rapid development situation and we detect packet dropper nodes for refining results by using support vector machine(SVM) learning technique for classification of dropper and normal nodes.

**KEYWORDS**: SVM, OLSR, QOS, NS-3.

## I. INTRODUCTION

A Mobile Ad-Hoc system (MANET) [17] is an element multi-jump remote system that is built up by a gathering of portable hubs on a mutual remote channel. The hubs are allowed to move haphazardly; the system's topology changes quickly and eccentrically. The Ad-Hoc system may work standalone, or might be associated with the bigger Internet. An illustration utilization of Ad-Hoc system is that a gathering of troopers move in outside while speaking with each other through the radios. Without a focal controller to control the interchanges in the system, without an altered topology, the most troublesome undertaking the Ad-Hoc system countenances is directing. Much work has been done on steering in specially appointed systems, however a large portion of them concentrate just on best-exertion information activity. Nonetheless, as of late, due to the rising prominence of interactive media applications and potential business utilization of MANETs, QoS support in Ad-Hoc systems has turned into a theme of extraordinary enthusiasm for the remote zone.

## 1.1 Motivation

Nature of-administration (QoS) directing in an Ad-Hoc system is troublesome in light of the fact that the system topology may change continually and the accessible state data for steering is intrinsically uncertain. To bolster QoS, the connection state data, for example, delay, transmission capacity, jitter, cost, misfortune proportion and mistake proportion in the system ought to be accessible and reasonable. Nonetheless, getting and dealing with the connection state data in a MANET is by all methods not inconsequential in light of the fact that the nature of a remote connection changes with the encompassing situation. Besides, the asset impediments and the versatility of hosts add to the many-sided quality. Regardless of these troubles, a few conventions on QoS steering in MANETs have been



## (An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

#### Vol. 4, Issue 8, August 2016

proposed, for example, CEDAR [2] or ticket-based examining [5]. These conventions give on-interest steering, where a course is discovered in view of the pre-known QoS prerequisites.

There are some best-exertion directing conventions focusing on ace dynamic steering, however moderately little work has been done on genius dynamic QoS steering. In any case, the capricious way of Ad-Hoc systems and the essential of energetic reaction to QoS coordinating solicitations make the thought about a proactive tradition more suitable. At the point when a solicitation arrives, the control layer can undoubtedly check if the pre-figured ideal course can fulfill such a solicitation. In this way, misuse of system assets when endeavoring to find infeasible courses is kept away from. Taking into account this thought, in the theory, we contemplate the methodology of professional dynamic QoS steering, and alter a best-exertion genius dynamic directing convention OLSR [12] for QoS purpose1. The QoS prerequisite considered in the postulation is the transmission capacity imperative.

Numerous QoS segments ought to cooperate to bolster QoS in Ad-Hoc systems [7]: a QoS model indicates which sorts of administrations to be incorporated into the system; a QoS steering plan seeks a way with agreeable assets characterized by the QoS model; a QoS MAC convention takes care of the issues of medium dispute; a QoS flagging convention performs the asset reservation along the way figured by the QoS directing conventions. Among every one of these segments, QoS directing is a key issue.

The objectives of QoS steering are 1) selecting one or more system ways that have adequate assets to meet the QoS necessity of associations, 2) give asset data of the way for confirmation control (call acknowledgment) component, and 3) accomplishing worldwide proficiency in asset usage.

The issue of QoS steering in Ad-Hoc system is troublesome. To begin with, to bolster QoS, the connection state data, for example, delay, transfer speed, jitter, cost, misfortune proportion and mistake proportion in the system must be accessible and sensible. In any case, getting and dealing with the connection state data in MANET is by all methods not unimportant on the grounds that the nature of a remote connection changes with the encompassing condition. The bigger the extent of the system, the more troublesome it is to accumulate the up and coming data. Second, the asset limitations and the mobility of hosts make things more complicated.

The issue of QoS steering in Ad-Hoc system is troublesome. To begin with, to bolster QoS, the connection state data, for example, delay, transfer speed, jitter, cost, misfortune proportion and mistake proportion in the system must be accessible and sensible. In any case, getting and dealing with the connection state data in MANET is by all methods not unimportant on the grounds that the nature of a remote connection changes with the encompassing condition. The bigger the extent of the system, the more troublesome it is to accumulate the up and coming data. Second, the asset limitations and the mobility of hosts make things more complicated.

#### II. RELATED WORK

In [2] authors used average residual battery level of the entire network and it was calculated by adding two fields to the RREQ packet header of a on-demand routing algorithm: i) average residual battery energy of the nodes on the path ii) number of hops that the RREQ packet has passed through. According to their equation retransmission time is proportional to residual battery energy. Those nodes having more battery energy than the average energy will be selected because its retransmission time will be less. Small hop count is selected at the stage when most of the nodes have same retransmission time. Individual battery power of a node is considered as a metric to prolong the network lifetime in [3].

The critical research on QoS Routing for Ad-Hoc networks can be known into two categories: QoS route information and QoS route computation. QoS route information provides the QoS details of the overall path the objective it constructs via traditional best-effort routing algorithms. Such information helps the connection node to fulfill the "call admission" task. QoS route computation calculates efficient routes based on particular QoS requirements.



(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Vol. 4, Issue 8, August 2016

#### 2.1 QoS Route Information

Chen et al. [6] propose a bandwidth-constrained routing algorithm. Each node calculates the most available bandwidth over the wireless connections to the destination. Such bandwidth information is piggybacked in the "Destination Sequence Distance Vector" (DSDV) routing algorithm [19]. Thus, each node knows the bottleneck bandwidth over the paths calculated by DSDV to all known destinations.

Lin and Liu [5] have a similar approach using DSDV. Focusing on bandwidth act, bandwidth reference is imprisoned in the nodes' routing tables and sent to the neighbors. Upon interested a routing fare from a fellow gang member, a node updates it's imprison routing blue plate and that a way bandwidth information. With the bandwidth idea, a node can call a spade a spade whether or not it should carry out a polished connection pitch based on the bandwidth requirement of that connection.

These kinds of routing protocols are truly traditional best-effort Ad-Hoc routing guideline, and they do not speculate to meet face to face routes mutually satisfactory QoS conditions. The unaccompanied difference is that the QoS situation information (ex. estuary bandwidth) around the orientation computed individually best-effort routing guideline is ready to be drawn, and draft admission approach (the main node decides whether a new direct should be granted or not based on the requested QoS conditions) gave a pink slip be driven out.

Such an control is trivial to recognize and implement. However, the aspect that the critical best-effort routing guideline computes does not permanently have copious resources to meet the QoS requirement. Connection requests manage to be left out in the cold mistakenly if there is another objective in the conglomerate that can be a match for the QoS requirement. As a demonstrate, the incorporate resource is not appropriately used.

## 2.2 QoS Route Computation

The work done in "QoS routing computation" addresses two basic QoS routing tasks defined in [4] – "link-constrained routing" and "link-optimization routing"

## 2.2.1 Link-Constrained Routing

The basic idea of link-constrained routing is "on-QoS-demand" routing. The task of QoS routing algorithms is to find a feasible route that meets the predefined QoS requirement. Chen-Nahrstedt Algorithm.

Chen and Nahrstedt [5] propose a "ticket-based examining" calculation. A ticket is an authorization to hunt down a way. At the point when a source needs to discover a QoS way to a specific destination, it issues various tickets in light of the accessible state data. More tickets are issued for associations with more tightly prerequisites. Tests (steering messages) are sent from the source towards the destination to look for a minimal effort way, which fulfills the QoS necessity. At middle of the road hubs, a test that conveys more than one ticket can part into numerous ones, each looking an alternate sub-way.

In view of its neighborhood state data, the transitional hub chooses how and where the got test ought to be part and sent. A test can just keep going along the way if the QoS condition along the way does not damage the QoS prerequisite, and it conveys no less than one ticket. At the point when the destination host gets a test message, an attainable way is found. In the methodology of way seeking, a test likewise collects the expense of the way it crosses. In the event that there are numerous tests touching base at the destination, the way with the slightest expense is chosen as the essential way; the others are kept as auxiliary ways, and will be utilized if the essential way is broken because of the hubs development. As a test can just inquiry a way with a substantial ticket, the directing overhead is limited by the tickets issued.

#### III. **PROPOSED** ALGORITHM

## Packet Dropping in OLSR

OLSR uses Multipoint Relays (MPRs) which are exist of neighbouring nodes that are liable for prostrate the local match state whisper to the entire network for optimization. The standardize state is broadcasted every now and then over Topology Control (TP) messages. Each node in OLSR selects its MPR fit from its one bump neighbours a well known that it can absolutely reach en masse its two bound neighbours mutually minimum abode of retransmissions.



(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

## Vol. 4, Issue 8, August 2016

Selection of the MPR anticipate the place of business of two ricochet neighbours reachable through the Candidate node and its "Willingness figure obtained from "Hello" statement which indicates The bounteousness of a node to onward packets of its neighbours.

Through periodic exchange of link state, each node senses its neighbours and disseminates the Network topology. Each node constructs a partial topology graph of the network from broadcasted TC messages which allows it to establish routes to non-neighbouring nodes. For a packet dropping attack, a malicious node may send a TC message claiming to be a MPR of Nodes although it may not. As the network depends on the MPRs for routing services, the malicious node may decide to drop packets passing through it.

Author approach is based on the following idea: Let A, B and C be three nodes which succeed in the data path. The node A holds the value  $\in$  pre-calculated from values  $\alpha$  (owned by A),  $\beta$  (owned by B) and  $\mu$  (owned by C). To acknowledge the message msg sent from A through B, the node C sends back its value  $\in$  to B, and B sends back the received value  $\in$  and its value  $\beta$  to A. When A receives  $\beta$  and  $\in$  it recalculates  $\in$  from  $\alpha$  (its own value), $\beta$  and  $\mu$ . If the recalculated value  $\in$  is the same that already held, so msg was well delivered by B, else B is a possible dropper node. Packet Dropper Detection Algorithm

Following steps we follow for classification of packet dropper node and normal node: we have store the routing table in XML file and after that we will apply XML as a input to SVM:

Step 1. Generates randomly an initial population of size based on routing table of nodes generated by ns3 simulator.

Step 2.Training SVM Classifier. SVM classifier is trained by training set with feature subset selected and variable value of parameters.

Step 3. For each set of the population, train SVM Classifier for computing fitness of each 2 subset of features.

Step 4. Select individuals from population directly based on fitness values and regenerate new individuals from old ones.

Step 5. If the maximum number of iteration is not yet reached, we proceed with the next generation operation. The termination criteria are that the max generation number reached or the fitness function value does not improve during the last generations return to step 2.

Steps 6. Select the best fitness as optimal subset feature in this step we got the result of packet dropper node and normal node.

Steps 7. Apply the optimal feature to dataset (routing table). for better approximation of dropping node we have choose following metrics to conjunction with threshold metrics [ $\mathbf{\xi} \alpha, \beta, \mu$ ], they are listed below-

Packet Delivery Ratio (pd) Packet Modification Ratio (pm) Packet miss routed ratio (pm\_r) Residual Energy (re)

Now authors [1] metric will be modified and calculated using above metrics (assuming A, and C is MANET Node)-  $\leftarrow \rightarrow f(pd, pm, pm_r, re)$ and same for other metrics  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ ,  $\mu$ .

Fundamentally we will find packet dropper node with normal node and simulation setup on NS3.



(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Vol. 4, Issue 8, August 2016

#### **IV. SIMULATION RESULTS**

Our simulation done in NS-3 the ns-3 simulator is a discrete-event network simulator targeted primarily for research and educational use. The ns-3 project, started in 2006, is an open-source project developing ns-3. The purpose of this tutorial is to introduce new ns-3 users to the system in a structured way. It is sometimes difficult for new users to glean essential information from detailed manuals and to convert this information into working simulations. In this tutorial, we will build several example simulations, introducing and explaining key concepts and features as we go.



Fig 4.1 Comparison graph of 2ACK algorithm with Packet dropper method

#### **Simulation Parameter:**

Our proposed method will be tested under NS-3.20 on Ubuntu 14.04 system Steps:

Processor and	SA 1100
capabilities	
Power for a	
node	Single 3.4v dc
Simulation	1000*1000 Meter
area	
Data	1 mb/s up to 10 meter
Transmission	
renge	
Data Packet	2500 byte
size	
Data flow rate	20 kb/se
Mobility	Random way point mobility
model(topolog	model
y)	
Routing	OLSR
protocol	
Nodes	25,50,75,100



This shows the number of nodes perform simulation and communicate to each other using NS-3 Simulator and genrate routing table.



(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

## Vol. 4, Issue 8, August 2016

#### V. CONCLUSION

In this paper focus on the added overhead is the main cost that affects the QoS routing algorithm's performance, we have applied SVM learning techniques for refinement of result in term of classification of dropper and normal nodes and the future work on QoS routing in Ad-Hoc networks may be focused on how to reduce the overhead. The above future work targets on QoS version of OLSR. However, it is also interesting to design and implement the pro-active QoS routing based on other best- effort Ad-Hoc network routing protocols to see their performance. Thus, we may get an idea which kind of the QoS routing protocol is more suitable for Ad-Hoc network, link-constrained routing or link-optimization routing.

#### References

- 1] G. S. Ahn, A. T. Campbell, A. Veres and L. H. Sun, "SWAN: Service Differentiation in Stateless Wireless Ad-Hoc Networks", IEEE Infocom 2002, pages 457-466, June, 2002
- [2] G. Apostolopoulos, R. Guerin, S. Kamat, and S. K. Tripathi, "Quality of Service Based Routing: A Performance Perspective", Association for Computing Machinery's Special Interest Group on Data Communication '98, pages 17-28, September 1998
- [3] S. Chen and K. Nahrstedt, "Distributed Quality-of-Service Routing in High-Speed Networks Based on Selective Probing", IEEE Local Computer Networks (LCN), pages 80-89, October 1998
- [4] S. Chen, and K. Nahrstedt, "An Overview of Quality-of-Service Routing for the Next Generation High-Speed Networks: Problems and Solutions", IEEE Network Magazine, Vol.12, No.6, pages 64-79, November 1998
- [5] S. Chen, and K. Nahrstedt, "Distributed Quality-of-Service Routing in Ad-Hoc Networks", IEEE Journal On Selected Areas In Communications, Vol.17, No.8, pages 1488-1505, August 1999
- [6] T.-W. Chen, J.T. Tsai and M. Gerla, "QoS Routing Performance in Multihop, Multimedia, Wireless Networks", IEEE International Conference on Universal Personal Communications '97, Part 2, pages 451-557, October 1997
- [7] E.W. Dijkstra, "A Note on Two Problems in Connection with Graphs", Numerical Analysis 1, pages 269-271, October 1959
- [8] M. Gerla and J. Tsai, "Multicluster, Mobile, Multimedia Radio Network", ACM- Baltzer Journal of Wireless Networks, pages 255-265, 1995
- [9] R. Guerin, and Orda. Willimas, "Qos Routing Mechanisms and OSPF Extensions", draft-qos-routing-ospf-00.txt, Internet-Draft, Internet Engineering Task Force, November 1996
- [10] A. Iwata, C. C. Chiang, G. Pei, M. Gerla and T. Chen, "Scalable Routing Strategies for Ad-Hoc Wireless Networks", IEEE Journal on Selected Areas in Communications, Vol.17, No.8, pages 1369-1379, August 1999
- [11] P. Jacuet, P. Minet, P. Muhlethaler and N. Rivierre, "Increasing Reliability in Cable-Free Radio LANs Low Level Forwarding in HIPERAN", Wireless Personal Communications, Vol. 4, No. 1, pages 51-63, January 1997
- [12] P. Jacquet, P. Muhlethaler, A. Qayyum, A. Laouiti, L. Viennot, and T. Clauseen, "Optimized Link State Routing Protocol", draft-ietf-manetolsr-0.6.txt, Internet Draft,
- Mobile Ad-Hoc Networking Working Group, March 2002
- [13] D. B. Johnson and D. A. Maltz, "Dynamic Source Routing in Ad-Hoc Wireless Networks", Mobile Computing, Kluwer Academic Publishers, ISBN: 0792396979, Chapter 5, pages 153-181, 1996
- [14] L. Kleinrock and K. Stevens. "Fisheye: A Lenslike Computer Display Transformation", Technical report, UCLA, Computer Science Department, UCLA, CA Tech Report, 1971

#### **BIOGRAPHY**

Alka Pandey is a Student of Department of Computer Science and Engineering, College of Gyan Ganga College of Technology, R.G.P.V University. She is pursuing Master of Technology (M.Tech.) from Gyan Ganga College of Technology, Jabalpur, Madhya Pradesh, India. Her research interests are Computer Networks (Ad-hoc network), Network Security, etc.