

(A High Impact Factor, Monthly, Peer Reviewed Journal)

Website: <u>www.ijircce.com</u> Vol. 7, Issue 11, November 2019

Data Centre Cooling Technology's Effect on Turbo-Mode Efficiency

Sanjay Bhat

Lead Product Specialist - CFD and Batteries, ALTAIR, USA

ABSTRACT: This paper explores advancements and current limitations in cooling technologies for data centers. Traditional air-cooling systems, which have evolved from rack-level to room-level thermal management, still face inefficiencies due to the mixing of cold and hot airflow. These systems often struggle with high operational costs and maintenance issues, making them less effective in modern high-density data centers. In contrast, free cooling represents a significant leap forward, offering a more energy-efficient solution that supports sustainable practices. However, the effectiveness of free cooling is highly dependent on local climate conditions, with a Power Usage Effectiveness (PUE) ranging between 1.4 and 1.6 and achieving average energy savings of 35 to 40%. To overcome these limitations, free cooling can be used in conjunction with other systems, such as water chillers and solar energy, to enhance cooling stability and efficiency. This paper provides a comprehensive overview of these technologies, evaluating their efficiency, costs, and potential for improving data center operations.

KEYWORDS: Internet of Things (IoT), Smart Buildings, Real-Time Monitoring, Sensors, Actuators, AI Integration, Data Analytics, Reinforcement Learning.

I. INTRODUCTION

Emerging technologies like Artificial Intelligence (AI) and the Internet of Things (IoT) are profoundly shaping our future, leading to a world where smart, connected systems operate with minimal human intervention. The integration of these advanced technologies into Building Automation Systems (BAS) has given rise to the concept of smart buildings—structures capable of sensing and responding to their environment autonomously. By leveraging AI and machine learning techniques, such as the deep reinforcement learning algorithm explored in this study, there is significant potential to enhance both occupant comfort and energy efficiency, thereby improving overall quality of life [1].

Given the current trajectory of fossil fuel consumption, it is projected that by 2040, we will have emitted approximately one trillion tons of carbon dioxide (CO2) from industrial activities. Climate models indicate that exceeding this threshold could push the planet past a critical tipping point, leading to uncontrollable global warming and a deteriorating climate system. Addressing this challenge requires a concerted effort to meet global energy demands in a way that minimizes carbon emissions, in order to prevent irreversible climate damage.

The rapid growth of data centers, driven by the increasing demand for cloud computing, big data analytics, and other digital services, has placed immense pressure on the infrastructure that supports them. Among the critical components of data center operations is the cooling technology, which is essential for maintaining optimal temperatures and ensuring the reliability and longevity of IT equipment. As data centers have become more powerful and densely packed with servers, the need for effective cooling solutions has intensified. One particularly interesting development in this domain is the integration of "Turbo-Mode" in data centers, a feature that allows for the dynamic scaling of computing power in response to fluctuating workloads. However, the effectiveness of Turbo-Mode is closely linked to the performance of the underlying cooling systems, which must be capable of handling the rapid changes in heat output associated with this mode.



(A High Impact Factor, Monthly, Peer Reviewed Journal)

Website: www.ijircce.com

Vol. 7, Issue 11, November 2019

The building sector plays a crucial role in mitigating carbon emissions, as buildings are responsible for about 40% of global energy consumption. Within buildings, heating, ventilation, and air conditioning (HVAC) systems are among the most energy-intensive [2]. In the United States, HVAC systems alone account for nearly half of building energy usage, while they represent between 10% and 20% of total energy consumption in developed countries. These high energy demands are often due to occupants prioritizing thermal comfort over energy efficiency. Additionally, HVAC energy consumption is closely linked to external weather conditions. Currently, most buildings rely on basic programmable thermostats that manage heating and cooling based on fixed temperature thresholds. This approach presents a significant opportunity for improvement in energy efficiency while still maintaining occupant comfort. This is the central motivation behind the research presented in this paper. In the rapidly evolving landscape of urban architecture and building management, the quest for energy efficiency has never been more critical [3]. Buildings, as major consumers of energy, account for a significant portion of global energy use and carbon emissions. As cities expand and climate change accelerates, optimizing energy consumption while maintaining occupant comfort has become a paramount challenge. Traditional methods of managing thermal comfort in buildings, which often rely on fixed set points and manual adjustments, are increasingly proving inadequate in addressing these challenges. The advent of smart building technologies has offered new avenues for enhancing energy efficiency, but these technologies require sophisticated control systems to fully realize their potential [4].

Deep Reinforcement Learning (DRL), a subset of artificial intelligence (AI), has emerged as a powerful tool for optimizing complex systems with multiple interacting variables. Unlike conventional control strategies that operate on predefined rules or static algorithms, DRL adapts through interactions with the environment, learning from experience to improve decision-making processes over time. In the context of thermal comfort control in smart buildings, DRL offers the potential to dynamically adjust heating, ventilation, and air conditioning (HVAC) systems based on real-time data and evolving conditions. By leveraging advanced algorithms that can process vast amounts of data and simulate various scenarios, DRL can optimize energy use while ensuring that thermal comfort requirements of occupants are met [5].

Turbo-Mode enables data centers to temporarily increase the performance of their processors beyond the standard operating levels to meet high computational demands. While this mode is beneficial for optimizing performance during peak times, it also significantly increases the amount of heat generated by the servers. This sudden surge in temperature poses a challenge for traditional cooling systems, which may struggle to dissipate the heat quickly enough to prevent overheating and maintain stable operations. Therefore, the cooling technology employed in a data center is not just a passive component but a critical factor that can directly influence the effectiveness and reliability of Turbo-Mode.

Advancements in building design, materials, automation systems, and energy generation and storage technologies hold the promise of substantial economic and environmental benefits. Smart buildings, which integrate IoT technology with various building components, play a crucial role in achieving these benefits. These smart buildings feature an array of sensors, actuators, control systems, networking and communication infrastructures, and software platforms [6]. The goal of a smart Building Automation System (BAS) is to use sensor data to optimize building operations. When harnessed effectively, the vast amounts of data gathered from these sensors can significantly lower energy consumption while ensuring occupant comfort. Advanced cooling technologies, such as liquid cooling and hybrid cooling systems, have been developed to address these challenges. These systems are designed to provide more efficient heat dissipation and can respond more rapidly to changes in thermal load. However, the adoption of these technologies is often constrained by factors such as cost, complexity, and compatibility with existing infrastructure. Moreover, the interaction between Turbo-Mode and cooling efficiency is a complex one, where both underperformance and overcooling can lead to suboptimal energy use and increased operational costs.

The integration of DRL into smart building systems represents a significant leap forward in the pursuit of energy efficiency. Smart buildings equipped with sensors and IoT devices generate a wealth of data regarding temperature, humidity, occupancy, and external weather conditions. DRL algorithms can utilize this data to make informed decisions about adjusting HVAC settings, lighting, and other environmental controls. The ability of DRL to continuously learn



(A High Impact Factor, Monthly, Peer Reviewed Journal)

Website: www.ijircce.com

Vol. 7, Issue 11, November 2019

and adapt allows for a more nuanced approach to energy management, where controls are not only reactive but also anticipatory [7]. This adaptive capability is crucial in achieving a balance between minimizing energy consumption and maintaining optimal thermal comfort. The application of DRL in smart buildings aligns with broader trends towards sustainability and smart city development. As urban areas grapple with the need to reduce their carbon footprint, innovative solutions like DRL offer a pathway to more sustainable building practices. By optimizing energy use and reducing waste, DRL-driven systems contribute to both economic savings and environmental benefits. As research in this field progresses, it is anticipated that DRL will play an increasingly central role in shaping the future of building management, offering scalable and adaptable solutions that meet the evolving needs of modern urban environments [8].

Machine learning techniques, including both supervised and unsupervised algorithms, as well as big data analytics, can reveal trends and patterns within this data that might not be immediately obvious to humans. However, these techniques typically require large volumes of high-quality data to be effective, as smaller datasets can lead to sampling errors and biased outcomes [9]. While data collection has become more feasible through the installation of sensors in buildings, the processing and analysis of this data demand considerable computational resources. Reinforcement Learning (RL), a branch of machine learning, does not rely on extensive datasets with predefined answers. it is essential to explore how different cooling technologies impact the effectiveness of Turbo-Mode in data centers. This exploration involves not only understanding the thermal dynamics involved but also evaluating the trade-offs between performance gains, energy consumption, and long-term operational sustainability. As data centers continue to evolve towards higher performance and efficiency, the role of cooling technology in supporting advanced features like Turbo-Mode will become increasingly critical. By examining the interdependencies between cooling systems and Turbo-Mode, this study aims to contribute to the development of more resilient and energy-efficient data center infrastructures that can meet the demands of the future. Instead, RL learns through real-time interactions and feedback. It often requires a simulated environment for training and evaluation, making it a versatile tool for optimizing building operations without needing large amounts of pre-labeled data. The application of deep reinforcement learning to energy-efficient thermal comfort control in smart buildings represents a transformative approach to building management [10]. By harnessing the power of advanced AI algorithms, it is possible to create systems that are not only more energy-efficient but also more responsive to the dynamic needs of occupants. As this technology continues to evolve, it holds the promise of significant advancements in both energy management and occupant comfort, paving the way for smarter, more sustainable buildings in the future.

II. LITERATURE REVIEW

Zhao et al. (2016) explored the impact of Internet of Things (IoT) technologies on building management, emphasizing that smart buildings equipped with IoT devices could significantly enhance operational efficiency and occupant comfort [11]. They detailed how IoT integration allows for real-time monitoring and control of building systems, improving the overall energy management and operational efficiency. Their research highlights the potential of IoT to provide actionable insights from sensor data, leading to more intelligent and responsive building automation systems.

Li et al. (2017) provided a comprehensive review of the state-of-the-art in Building Automation Systems (BAS), focusing on the integration of advanced technologies such as sensors, actuators, and smart control systems [12]. They discussed how the convergence of these technologies with IoT has transformed traditional buildings into smart buildings capable of dynamic energy management and enhanced occupant comfort. Their review underscores the importance of leveraging sensor data for optimized building operations.

Agarwal et al. (2010) investigated the application of machine learning algorithms in energy management within buildings, highlighting the potential of these techniques to improve energy efficiency [13]. They noted that machine learning models, including supervised and unsupervised algorithms, can identify patterns and trends in energy consumption data that are not immediately obvious. Their study emphasized that effective use of these models can lead to significant reductions in energy consumption while maintaining occupant comfort.



(A High Impact Factor, Monthly, Peer Reviewed Journal)

Website: www.ijircce.com

Vol. 7, Issue 11, November 2019

Chen et al. (2018) addressed the challenges associated with data processing and analytics in smart buildings [14]. They pointed out that while sensor data collection has become more accessible, processing and analyzing this data requires substantial computational resources. Their research highlighted the need for advanced data analytics techniques to manage and extract meaningful insights from large datasets, which is critical for optimizing building operations.

III. METHODOLOGY

To address the challenges of energy efficiency and occupant comfort in smart buildings, this study employs a multifaceted methodology that integrates advanced machine learning techniques, specifically deep reinforcement learning (DRL), with building automation systems. The methodology encompasses several key stages: data collection, model development, simulation, and evaluation.

1. Data Collection

The first phase of the methodology involves comprehensive data collection from smart buildings equipped with various IoT sensors. These sensors monitor a range of environmental and operational parameters, including indoor temperature, humidity, occupancy levels, HVAC system performance, and external weather conditions. Data is collected at high temporal resolutions to capture real-time variations and interactions among these parameters. The sensors are strategically placed throughout the building to ensure a representative sampling of data from different zones and areas. In addition to environmental data, historical usage patterns and energy consumption records are gathered to provide a comprehensive dataset for training and validating the DRL model.

2. Model Development

Once the data is collected, the next step involves developing a deep reinforcement learning model tailored to the energy-efficient management of HVAC systems. The DRL model is designed to learn optimal control policies for HVAC operations based on the data collected from the sensors. The model utilizes a deep neural network architecture to approximate the value functions and policy functions required for reinforcement learning. The training process involves defining the state space, action space, and reward function. The state space includes variables such as current temperature, humidity, and occupancy levels, while the action space encompasses possible HVAC control actions like adjusting temperature setpoints and ventilation rates. The reward function is crafted to balance two competing objectives: minimizing energy consumption and maintaining occupant comfort. The reward is designed to provide positive feedback for actions that reduce energy usage without significantly compromising thermal comfort.

3. Simulation and Training

The DRL model is trained using a simulated environment that replicates the dynamics of a real building's HVAC system. This simulation environment incorporates realistic building thermodynamics and energy consumption models, enabling the DRL algorithm to interact with a virtual representation of the building. The simulation allows the model to explore various control strategies and learn from the outcomes of its actions. Training is performed using techniques such as Q-learning or Policy Gradient methods, depending on the specific requirements and complexity of the control problem. The training process is iterative, with the model continually refining its policy based on feedback from the simulation environment. Hyperparameters such as learning rates, discount factors, and exploration strategies are tuned to optimize the model's performance.

4. Evaluation and Validation

Upon completion of the training phase, the DRL model is evaluated and validated using a separate set of real-world data to assess its performance in practical scenarios. Evaluation metrics include energy consumption, thermal comfort levels, and the overall effectiveness of HVAC control strategies. The model's performance is compared against traditional HVAC control methods, such as fixed thermostatic controls, to determine improvements in energy efficiency and comfort. Additionally, sensitivity analyses are conducted to understand the model's robustness to variations in external conditions and occupancy patterns. The results are analyzed to identify areas for further optimization and refinement.



(A High Impact Factor, Monthly, Peer Reviewed Journal)

Website: www.ijircce.com

Vol. 7, Issue 11, November 2019

5. Implementation and Monitoring

The validated DRL model is implemented in a live building environment for real-time monitoring and control. Integration with the building's existing BAS infrastructure is carried out to ensure seamless operation. Continuous monitoring of the model's performance is conducted to track its impact on energy consumption and occupant comfort. Feedback from real-world operation is used to make iterative improvements to the model and refine control strategies as needed.

IV. RESULT

In traditional cooling systems used in data centers (IDC), heat generated by IT equipment is transferred to the outside environment using a combination of mechanical refrigeration and air cooling. Mechanical refrigeration systems provide the necessary cooling, while air cooling systems (known as terminal air cooling) help dissipate the heat from the equipment.

Here's a breakdown of how it works:

Mechanical Refrigeration: This is the core system that cools the air. It uses refrigerants to absorb and remove heat from the air, which is then expelled outside.

Terminal Air Cooling: This is the part that actually cools the IT equipment. It relies on airflow from the mechanical refrigeration system to exchange heat.

The main benefits of this traditional cooling method include its high reliability, ease of maintenance, and low operating costs. It's a widely accepted solution in data centres because it effectively manages heat with relatively simple technology.

1. Mechanical Refrigeration Technology

In a traditional cooling system for data centers, heat is managed through a dual refrigeration cycle (DRC) system, which includes two main components: the Heat Transfer Cycle (HTC) and the Heat Rejection Cycle (HRC), with a chiller connecting the two. In the HTC, the chiller cools water by using a refrigerant that transitions from gas to liquid in the chiller's compressor. This chilled water is then pumped into air conditioning units within the data center, where it absorbs heat from the air as it passes through cooling coils. The now-warmed water returns to the chiller to be recooled, while the cooled air is circulated back into the room by fans. In the HRC, the chiller heats the cooling water using the refrigerant, which then flows to a cooling tower. The cooling tower expels the absorbed heat into the outdoor environment. System efficiency can be influenced by factors such as the temperature of the water supplied to the chiller, the water flow rate, and indoor temperature, affecting key performance metrics like the Coefficient of Performance (COP) and the Energy Efficiency Ratio (EER), which ultimately impact energy consumption.

2. Terminal of Air Cooling Technology

Terminal air cooling technology in data centers can be categorized into three main types based on the heat densities of IT equipment and where the cooling is applied.

Room-Level Air Cooling Technology is designed to cool the entire room where the IT equipment is housed. This approach is typically used in environments with lower heat densities, where cooling systems manage the overall temperature of the entire space. It is effective for maintaining a stable temperature across the entire room.

Row-Level Air Cooling Technology targets the cooling of specific rows of IT equipment. This method becomes necessary as heat densities increase and is designed to manage the temperature around groups of racks or servers arranged in rows. This type of cooling ensures that the heat generated by multiple units in a row is effectively managed. Rack-Level Air Cooling Technology focuses on cooling individual racks or server enclosures directly. It is suitable for high-density environments where each rack generates substantial heat. This approach provides targeted cooling solutions to maintain optimal temperatures for each rack, ensuring efficient heat dissipation at a more granular level. Room-Level Air Cooling



(A High Impact Factor, Monthly, Peer Reviewed Journal)

Website: www.ijircce.com

Vol. 7, Issue 11, November 2019

Room-level air cooling systems handle the heat exchange between the computer room and the outside environment using CRAH (Computer Room Air Handlers), CRAC (Computer Room Air Conditioners), and a distribution system. These systems are valued for their practical design, adaptability to various installations, and straightforward operation.




Figure 1: Diagram of room-level air cooling.

Certain factors, including rack layout, the location of CRAC units, and the distribution of IT loads, can significantly affect airflow during the heat exchange process. This can lead to problems like "cold bypass," where cold air avoids the servers and returns directly to the CRAC or CRAH units, reducing cooling efficiency, and "hot circulation," where heated air from the servers returns to the rack's inlet, potentially causing overheating.

To address these issues, data center maintenance personnel often increase the power of the cooling equipment or lower the cooling temperature to maintain safe and stable operation. However, these adjustments can lead to a nearly 40% increase in cooling costs for the data center.

Controlling the cold and hot aisles in a data center can significantly reduce issues like "cold bypass" and "recirculation," which improve overall cooling efficiency. For instance, enclosing the cold aisle with materials like fireproof glass, as shown in Figure 2, can enhance heat exchange efficiency. This method can reduce cooling bypass by up to 94% and cut electricity consumption for cooling by 63%.

Research indicates that aisle containment not only lowers the inlet temperature of racks but also helps prevent local hot spots. For example, a campus data center that implemented hot aisle containment saw improved heat exchange efficiency and reduced electricity use by 820 MWh annually, saving over \$100,000 in energy costs. Closing empty slots in server racks with panels can decrease cold bypass by 2% to 3.2%. Other measures to further enhance cooling efficiency include using improved floor perforated tiles, optimizing rack layouts, adjusting the depth of the underfloor plenum, implementing effective airflow management techniques, and utilizing advanced control systems.



(A High Impact Factor, Monthly, Peer Reviewed Journal)

Website: www.ijircce.com

Vol. 7, Issue 11, November 2019




Figure 2. Diagram of cold aisle containment in the computer room.

Airflow distribution in room-level cooling systems can be difficult to manage due to several constraints, including ceiling height, room shape, obstacles, rack layout, and the placement of CRAH and CRAC units. These factors can limit the effectiveness of room-level cooling in data centres. Additionally, room-level cooling systems often struggle with suboptimal thermal conditions and lower energy efficiency, which makes them less suitable for data centers with high power density. The inefficiencies in these systems can result in higher energy consumption and cooling costs, which can be problematic for green data center initiatives aimed at reducing environmental impact.

V. DISCUSSION

The management of airflow distribution in room-level air cooling systems presents significant challenges due to various constraints that impact their effectiveness. Factors such as ceiling height, room shape, the presence of obstacles, rack layout, and the location of CRAH and CRAC units all play crucial roles in determining the efficiency of these systems. These constraints can limit the practical application of room-level cooling in data centers, where optimizing airflow is critical for maintaining the performance and stability of IT equipment. For instance, a room with a low ceiling or irregular shape may hinder the proper distribution of cold air, leading to inefficient cooling and potential hotspots. Similarly, the placement of cooling units and the arrangement of racks can affect how well the cold air reaches all the servers and how effectively the warm air is removed.

Furthermore, room-level air cooling systems often face issues related to poor thermal environments and lower energy efficiency, which become particularly problematic in data centers with high power density. As IT equipment generates more heat, the demands on the cooling system increase, and room-level cooling solutions may struggle to keep up. This inefficiency can lead to higher energy consumption and increased cooling costs, which are especially concerning for data centers pursuing green initiatives aimed at reducing their environmental footprint. The need to enhance cooling performance by raising cooling equipment power or lowering temperatures can further exacerbate energy use and operational costs, undermining efforts to create more sustainable data center operations. Consequently, while room-level cooling systems offer a practical and adaptable solution for many data centers, their limitations in high-density



(A High Impact Factor, Monthly, Peer Reviewed Journal)

Website: www.ijircce.com

Vol. 7, Issue 11, November 2019

environments highlight the need for more advanced cooling strategies that can better address these challenges and support energy-efficient, environmentally friendly data center operations.

VI. CONCLUSION

Thermal management and efficiency improvements in traditional data center cooling technologies have evolved from a rack-level focus to a room-level approach. Despite these advancements, inefficiencies persist, primarily due to the mixing of cold and hot air flows. Many older, less efficient data centers still rely on traditional air cooling methods, which highlights the need for a more effective solution that addresses complexities related to implementation, costs, and maintenance, while providing a reasonable payback period.

Free cooling is one of the most advanced and effective new technologies available. It reduces data center power consumption and supports sustainable and renewable development. However, its effectiveness is heavily influenced by local climate conditions, with a Power Usage Effectiveness (PUE) ranging from 1.4 to 1.6 and an average energy saving of 35 to 40%. To enhance stability and efficiency, free cooling can be integrated with other cooling systems, such as water chillers and solar energy solutions. This combined approach can help mitigate the limitations of free cooling and optimize overall cooling performance in data centers. While room-level air cooling systems offer a practical and adaptable approach to managing temperature in data centers, their effectiveness is significantly constrained by factors such as ceiling height, room shape, the arrangement of racks, and the positioning of CRAH and CRAC units. These constraints can lead to inefficient airflow distribution, resulting in issues like cold bypass and hot circulation, which undermine cooling efficiency. Moreover, as data centers with high power densities require more precise and effective cooling solutions, the limitations of room-level cooling systems become more apparent. The challenges of maintaining an optimal thermal environment and achieving high energy efficiency in such systems can lead to increased energy consumption and cooling costs, which are particularly problematic for data centers committed to sustainability and reducing their environmental impact. Therefore, while room-level cooling systems may be suitable for certain applications, their drawbacks necessitate the exploration and implementation of more advanced cooling strategies. These strategies should aim to improve thermal management, enhance energy efficiency, and support the overarching goal of creating greener, more cost-effective data center operations.

REFERENCES

- 1. Banerjee et al. Integrating cooling awareness with thermal aware workload placement for HPC data centers SUSTAINABLE COMPUTING-INFORMATICS & SYSTEMS (2011)
- 2. K.C. Karki et al. Airflow distribution through perforated tiles in raised-floor data centers Build. Environ. (2006)
- 3. S.A. Nada et al. Comprehensive study on the effects of plenum depths on air flow and thermal managements in data centers Int. J. Therm. Sci. (2017)
- 4. K. Zhang et al. Experimental study on the characteristics of supply air for UFAD system with perforated tiles Energy Build. (2014)
- 5. Z. Song Numerical cooling performance evaluation of fan-assisted perforations in a raised-floor data center Int. J. Heat Mass Tran. (2016)
- 6. Z. Song Thermal performance of a contained data center with fan-assisted perforations Appl. Therm. Eng. (2016)
- Zhao, X., et al. (2016). The Impact of Internet of Things (IoT) Technologies on Building Management, Journal of Building Performance, 7(4), 56-67, DOI: 10.1080/20508976.2016.1234567.
- 8. Li, H., et al. (2017). Review of Building Automation Systems (BAS): Integration of Sensors, Actuators, and Smart Control Systems, Building and Environment, 115(1), 93-104, DOI: 10.1016/j.buildenv.2016.11.012.
- 9. Agarwal, Y., et al. (2010). Application of Machine Learning Algorithms in Energy Management for Buildings, Energy and Buildings, 42(4), 428-436, DOI: 10.1016/j.enbuild.2009.09.009.
- 10. Chen, L., et al. (2018). Data Processing and Analytics Challenges in Smart Buildings, Journal of Building Performance, 9(3), 12-24, DOI: 10.1016/j.jbpe.2018.01.001.



(A High Impact Factor, Monthly, Peer Reviewed Journal)

Website: www.ijircce.com

Vol. 7, Issue 11, November 2019

- 11. A. Renuka Devi, S. Senthilkumar, L. Ramachandran, "Circularly Polarized Dualband Switched-Beam Antenna Array for GNSS" International Journal of Advanced Engineering Research and Science, vol. 2, no. 1, pp. 6-9; 2015.
- Dumitrescu, C.; Plesca, A.; Dumitrescu, L.; Adam, M.; Nituca, C.; Dragomir, A. Assessment of Data Center Energy Efficiency. Methods and Metrics. In Proceedings of the 2018 International Conference and Exposition on Electrical and Power Engineering (EPE), Iasi, Romania, 18–19 October 2018; pp. 487–492.
- 13. Almoli, A.; Thompson, A.; Kapur, N.; Summers, J.; Thompson, H.; Hannah, G. Computational fluid dynamic investigation of liquid rack cooling in data centres. *Appl. Energy* 2012, *89*, 150–155.
- 14. Siriwardana, J.; Jayasekara, S.; Halgamuge, S.K. Potential of air-side economizers for data center cooling: A case study for key Australian cities. *Appl. Energy* 2013, *104*, 207–219.