ISSN(Online): 2320-9801
ISSN (Print) : 2320-9798

International Journal of Innovative Research in Computer and Communication Engineering

(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Vol. 4, Issue 6, June 2016

Review On-Asymmetric Event-Driven Node Localization in Wireless Sensor Networks

Pratishtha Gautam[1], Sahil Dadwal[2]

M. Tech Student, Dept. of CSE, BIMT, Mehli, Shimla (H.P), India[1]

Assistant Professor, Dept. of CSE, BIMT, Mehli, Shimla (H.P), India[2]

ABSTRACT: Wireless sensor networks are tremendously being used in different habitat to perform diversified auditoring responsibility such as search, rescue, disaster relief, target tracking and a number of tasks in crafty environments. There are countless applications in wireless sensor networks which desire sensor nodes to obtain their geographical positions. Localization is defined as the position of a sensor node. Localizing a node remains one of the most difficult challenges in research. There are different techniques for finding the position of node. In this paper reviews on sensors localization is done and different techniques are also discussed

KEYWORDS: WSN, localization, sensor node, RSSI.

I. INTRODUCTION

Wireless sensor networks (WSNs) can quietly be defined as the wireless sensor network (WSN) in which the sensor nodes are like as mobile. WSN is a shorter, emerging field of research in disparity to their well established predecessor. WSNs are more versatile than the static sensor networks as they can be deployed in any type of scenario and cope with in hasty topology changes. However, abounding of their applications is complementary, such as environment auditory or surveillance. More often than the nodes are consist of a radio transceiver and a microcontroller powered by a battery. As well as a few kind of sensor for detecting light, heat, humidity, temperature, etc. Since there is no immovable topology in these networks, one of the terrible challenges is routing testimony from its source to the destination. Generally these routing protocols draw inspiration from two fields; WSNs and mobile ad hoc networks (MANETs). WSN routing protocols hand over the required functionality but cannot stem the high frequency of topology changes. Whereas, MANET routing protocols are can deal with mobility in the network but they are designed for two way communication, which in sensor networks is often not required.
Initial deployment of the WSN may not be assurance finish coverage of the sensing area and connectivity of the all network. Generally, sensor locations may scattered in hostile location of the aircraft or with the robots. Though, this around deployed sensors couldn't assurance to cover of the whole area and may partitioned into many non-connected sub networks, even although we spread an enormous amount of locations. Furthermore, the randomly change state of area of interest and the entity of hamper could make the problem change into more abstruse.
This paper reviews various approaches and techniques used for localization in wireless sensor networks. This paper is further divided into different sections Section II consists of literature survey and in section III techniques are discussed that can be used for further research. Section IV concludes the entire paper.

Localization
The performance analysis of localization scheme is also provided and it is based on information transmitted from node which is equipped with Global Positioning System or any other method.

- Localization error: the distance between original and Spotlight-produced locations.
- Localization span: the time duration between the first and last incident.
- Localization dimension: the highest distance between the Spotlight equipment and the sensor nodes.
- Localization tendency: used to observe the effectiveness of the adjustment agenda. If, for example, all of calculated points are biased in the west direction, a calibration factor can be used to atone.
- Sources of localization fault using the Point scan EDF (Event Distribution Function) were examined by varying incident size and scanning speed. The trade-off between localization exactness and time is interesting. Robustness

ISSN(Online): 2320-9801
ISSN (Print) : 2320-9798

International Journal of Innovative Research in Computer and Communication Engineering

(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Vol. 4, Issue 6, June 2016

is generally predominant. Though, outline demanding stealthiest or where mobile platforms perform various tasks may be consider time predominant.

- The interpretation for this need is the entity of tendency in the point predicted (a tendency factor was preamble in order to best asses the main point of event which have a massive size; a massive incident is recognized when these edge triggers the sensor).

.

II. RELATED WORK

This section provides the brief knowledge of research work which has been done in the field of wireless sensor network. Researchers have been doing work in this field and the main obstacle faced by them is to localize a node in mobile network.

In literature [1], location fingerprinting (LF) technique is discussed, which connects location information with characteristics of received signal strength and these characteristics are used to infer sensor node location. Locations within the entire area of interest are usually expressed as a set of rectangular grid points. Fingerprinting based positioning is divided into two phases: training phase and online phase. In the training phase, a fingerprint testimony base is built. In the online phase, a sensor node measures the fingerprint vector of RSSIs from different anchor nodes. The fingerprint vector is then compared with fingerprints stored in the fingerprint testimony base for determining the location of the sensor node. It is expensive for building fingerprint testimony base in the training phase and the testimony base needs to be re-established when the application scenario changes.

Literature [2] presents an energy efficient localization algorithm for wireless sensor networks using a mobile anchor node. It is based on the distance measurement with extra hardware. The mobile node is equipped with a GPS receiver, RF (radio frequency) and ultrasonic transmitter. Each stationary sensor node is equipped with a RF and ultrasonic receiver. The mobile node periodically broadcasts its location information, and stationary sensor nodes take the current position of the mobile node as a virtual anchor point. The location of a sensor node is computed by measuring the distance to the virtual anchor point using TDOA (time difference of arrival) method.

Luis Javier García Villalba, Ana Lucila Sandoval Orozco, [3]. He purposed Routing Protocols in Wireless Sensor Networks. The applications of wireless sensor networks comprise a wide variety of scenarios. In most of them, the network is composed of a significant number of nodes deployed in an extensive area in which not all nodes are directly connected. Then, the testimony exchange is supported by multi-hop communications. Routing protocols are in charge of discovering and maintaining the routes in the network. However, the appropriateness of a particular routing protocol mainly depends on the capabilities of the nodes and on the application requirements. This paper presents a review of the main routing protocols proposed for wireless sensor networks. Additionally, the paper includes the efforts carried out by Spanish universities on developing optimization techniques in the area of routing protocols for wireless sensor networks.

K. Padmanabhan, Department of Computer Applications, [4]. He purposed A Study on Energy Efficient Routing Protocols in Wireless Sensor Networks. Recent developments in the sensor networks field initiated for designing new protocols for wireless sensor networks (WSNs). The routing protocols designed for wireless sensor networks cannot be used for other adhoc networks due to its battery powered nodes. These sensor nodes have some constraints due to their limited energy, storage capacity and computing power. The energy efficiency is an significant issue in WSN. Routing protocols makes the transmission in an efficient manner and ensures reliable delivery over multiple-hop relay in WSN. This paper analyses the routing protocols and its classifications.

Yu [5] proposed a localization algorithm using a mobile anchor node. In their algorithm, maximum RSSI values and corresponding locations are recorded, which is defined as beacon points, where the sensor node has the smallest error probability in receiving a packet from the mobile anchor node.

ISSN(Online): 2320-9801
ISSN (Print) : 2320-9798

International Journal of Innovative Research in Computer and Communication Engineering

(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Vol. 4, Issue 6, June 2016

III. TECHNIQUES USED

Received Signal Strength Indication (RSSI)

In telecommunication, the RSSI (received signal strength indicator) is a power measurement present in a received signal. It is consistently hidden from the user of the receiver device. Nevertheless, as the strength of signal may alter immensely and the impact range of capabilities in wireless networking (IEEE 802.11) devices generally make the standards accessible to users. Received Signal Strength Indicator is generally performed in the intermediate frequency phase before the IF amplifier and earlier the baseband amplifier, in the zero-IF structure it is accomplished in the baseband signal chain. Output of RSSI is generally a DC analog level. In an IEEE 802.11 system, it is the comparative strength of received signal in wireless surroundings in erratic units. The received signal strength indicator is an implication of the power level which is being received by the antenna. For that reason the higher the number of RSSI [6], the stronger the signal. It may also be utilised internally in a wireless networking card for making decision when in the channel the value of radio energy is beneath a certain fixed threshold level that indicate the network card is CTS (clear to send) and once the card is CTS than the packet containing information can be transmitted. The receiver end will observe this RSSI value.

Spotlight System

The essence concept of the Spotlight localization scheme is the generation of controlled appearances appreciable by deployed sensor nodes. Incidents like light and sound, with very well characterized spatiotemporal properties and appreciable with simple sensing hardware, perform well in this system. By aligning a sensor node's detection time of a generated event, a spatial tie between the sensor node and the event generator can be inferred. The following sections properly designate the localization problem in the Spotlight scheme describe and analyze divergent types of events (primitives, as well as hybrid solutions) that can be engendered by Spotlight.

Spotlight is a localization system that distributes high-location estimation accuracy at low cost. Using an asymmetric architecture along with all sophisticated hardware and computation in a single material, Spotlight overture assorted techniques that allow users to balance time and accuracy to glean results tailored to requirements. In entire cases, the only limiting factor is the total size of the sensor field. Any number of sensors may be localized within period of a covered area at no supplementary cost, making Spotlight good enough for large-scale deployments.

Network Model

We assume two-tier network architecture with static anchor nodes manually deployed in a certain region A and a mobile sensor node moves in A. Anchor nodes, which are pre-deployed in the region A, know their locations and remain stationary. The anchor nodes were deployed in triangular style, to ensure that the mobile sensor node can be covered by at least three anchor nodes at any time. The mobile sensor node can move in arbitrary direction to collect event information.

On-line Revise Technique

In our proposed localization scheme, the network setup, where the anchor node positions are known. In the process of on-line revise the anchor nodes broadcast location information. To avoid signal communication collision, random transmission delay is adopted. After an anchor node receives the location of a sensor node, it records its RSSI value and coordinates.

Location Determination

If a mobile sensor node S needs to localize itself at time T, it will broadcast location request to its neighbor anchor nodes. The anchor node AS1, AS2, and AS3 receive the message and send out the information (x1T, y1T, RSSI1T, IF1T), (x2T, y2T, RSSI2T, IF2T), and (x3T, y3T, RSSI3T, IF3T) to the mobile node. The mobile node records the position information, impact factor (IF), and RSSI.

Event Distribution Function Analysis

Point skim, Line skim, and Area mask EDFs all localize sensor nodes. Yet, they diversify in localization time, communication overhead, and energy consumed (delineate as like Event Overhead). Assume that all the sensor nodes are located in a square form with size of edge is D, and the Spotlight device can provoke N events (e.g., Point, Line, and Area mask events) every second, and that maximum tolerable localization error.

ISSN(Online): 2320-9801
ISSN (Print) : 2320-9798

International Journal of Innovative Research in Computer and Communication Engineering

(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Vol. 4, Issue 6, June 2016

Fingerprinting
A network of anchor nodes and a mobile node which is using finger printing technique, must able to locate position by using power readings obtained from message sent by nodes [7].

III. CONCLUSION

Wireless sensor network is one of the emerging fields in communication. There are many aspects on which researchers are working but main challenge in sensor network is located the exact position of the mobile nodes. This paper reviews the WSN with different approaches for estimating position of nodes. All the approaches available have advantages of their own.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

Thanks to my Guide and family member who always support, help and guide me during my dissertation. Special thanks to my father who always support my innovative ideas.

REFERENCES

[1] N. Swangmuang and P. Krishnamurthy, “An effective location fingerprint model for wireless indoor localization”, Pervasive and Mobile Computing, pp. 836-850, 2008.
[2] B. Zhang and F. Yu, “An energy efficient localization algorithm for wireless sensor networks using a mobile anchor node”, International conference on information and automation, June 2008.
[3] Luis Javier García Villalba, Ana Lucila Sandoval Orozco, “A Review on Energy Efficient Secure Routing For Data Aggregation In Wireless Sensor Networks”, International Journal of Engineering Trends and Technology, 2009.
[4] K. Padmanabhan, “Energy-efficient Routing Protocol for Wireless Sensor Networks”, International Journal of Computer Applications (0975 – 8887) Volume 38– No.11, January 2012.
[5] G. Yu, F. Yu, and L. Feng, “A three dimensional localization algorithm using a mobile anchor node under wireless channel”, IEEE world congress on computational intelligence, June, 2008.
[6] Jonathan Bachrach and Christopher Taylor, “Localization in Sensor Networks”, Computer Science and Artificial Intelligence Laboratory Massachusetts Institute of Technology Cambridge, 2005.
[7] Cherntanomwong P and Suroso D J, "Indoor localization system using wireless sensor networks for stationary and moving target", Information, Communications and Signal Processing (ICICS) 2011 8th International Conference, pp.1-5, 13-16 Dec. 2011.
[8] B. Zhang and F. Yu, “A high energy efficient localization algorithm for wireless sensor networks using directional antenna”, International conference on high performance computing and communications, June 2009.
[9] J.Li, J. Jannotti, D. S. J. DeCouto, D. R. Karger and R. Morris, “A Scalable Location Service for Geographic Ad-Hoc Routing”, in Proceedings of Sixth Annual International Conference on Mobile Computing and Networking, August 2000, Boston, Massachusetts, USA, pp. 120-130.
[10] K. Amouris, S. Papavassiliou, M. Li, “A Position-Based Multi- Zone Routing Protocol for Wide Area Mobile Ad-Hoc Networks”, in Proceedings of IEEE Vehicular Technology Conference (VTC ’99), May 1999, Houston, Texas, USA, Vol. 2, pp.1365-1369.
[11] M. Mauve, J. Widmer and H. Hartenstein, “A Survey on Position Based Routing in Mobile Ad-hoc Networks”, IEEE Network Magazine, vol. 15, no. 6, pp. 30–39, November 2001.
[12] K.F. Su, C.H. Ou, and H.C. Jiau, “Localization with mobile anchor points in wireless sensor networks,” IEEE Trans, vol. 54, no. 3, pp. 1187-1197, May 2005.
[13] Munir S.A., Biao Ren, Weiwei Jiao, Bin Wang Dongliang Xie and Jian Ma, "Mobile Wireless Sensor Network: Architecture and Enabling Technologies for Ubiquitous Computing", Advanced Information Networking and Applications Workshops, vol.2, pp.113-120, 21-23 May 2007.
[14] Lourenco P., Batista P., Oliveira P., Silvestre C. and Chen P., "A received signal strength indication-based localization system", Control & Automation (MED), pp.1242-1247, 25-28 June 2013.
[15] Waltenegus Dargie and Christian Poellabauer, “Fundamentals of wireless sensor networks: theory and practice”, A John Wiley and Sons Ltd., Publication, 2010.