

(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization) Website: <u>www.ijircce.com</u> Vol. 5, Issue 7, July 2017

Face Recognition Techniques: A Survey

Vishnu Ganesh Phaniharam¹, Bagadi Ravikiran², Tusar Kanti Mishra³

M. Tech Student, Dept. of C.S.E, ANITS, Visakhapatnam, India¹

Senior Assistant Professor, Dept. of C.S.E, ANITS, Visakhapatnam, India²

Associate Professor, Dept. of C.S.E, ANITS, Visakhapatnam, India³

ABSTRACT: This paper presents a review on various facial recognition techniques which exists in today's world and summarizing the differences between them. Searching for robust descriptors has been an important research in computer vision. On a particular database, the accuracy of these facial recognition techniques differs from one algorithm to another. So, the researchers are in a dilemma to use which of these facial descriptors to get the desired results. Hence, I present the list of facial descriptors such as Eigen faces, Fisher faces, Gradient faces, Local Binary Patterns(LBP), Binary Gradient Patterns(BGP) and their corresponding accuracies on different facial databases. These facial descriptors uses different methods to perform facial recognition such as Principal component analysis(PCA), Image gradient orientation, Local binary patterns, Histogram of oriented gradients(HOG). Through this paper, I present a brief explanation of these facial descriptor algorithms and their performances on AT&T database.

KEYWORDS: Local binary patterns(LBP), Principal component analysis(PCA), Histogram of oriented gradients(HOG).

I. INTRODUCTION

Face recognition is a process of identifying a person's face in a digital image or a video. It mainly works on the principle of object recognition, i.e., where a system can recognize and discriminate between different objects, it has been trained to recognize. It uses computer vision and machine learning to its maximum advantage. It is one of the most active topics in Image processing and Object recognition. Object recognition is a sub discipline of pattern recognition. Face recognition has many applications out of which commonly used are video surveillance, to match the faces in the footage to the existing facial database. It also used as biometric passwords in smart phones as fingerprint passwords used. Recently, it is used in social networking sites to tag the pictures of people automatically. There are a lot of challenges in facial recognition such as illumination, Variations in facial expression, angle between face and camera, wearing glasses, changing facial hair and hairstyles, Noise and Occlusion.



Figure 1. Training a face recognition classifier.

To recognize a face, we need to train a system to extract feature of human faces from a facial database and draw conclusions from that database. From these conclusions, one need to model the classifier which discriminate the facial features to other features.



(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Website: <u>www.ijircce.com</u>

Vol. 5, Issue 7, July 2017



Figure2. A typical face recognition system.

After training the model, if we pass an input frame then the face is detected by cropping the other portion of photo than that of the face. Then the face is registered and the features of the particular face are extracted and it is matched against the faces in the face database. If the features of the registered face are matched with any of the faces in the database, then we can assure that both faces be owned by the same person. This is how facial recognition process works.

II. LITERATURE SURVEY

Face recognition has lot of applications in various disciplines such as Law enforcement, Entertainment, Smart cards, Information security etc. In law enforcement, it used to track suspects using CCTV control. In Entertainment, it is used for human-robot interaction. In Smart cards, it is used in the process voter registration and driving license. So, a key solution lies in facial representation but a great deal of effort is needed. Deep learning mechanisms helps in building well defined, discriminative facial descriptors, which still plays a dominant role in face recognition applications. Searching for robust descriptors has been an important research in computer vision. A list of past adopted approaches is being discussed here. Eigen faces and Fisher faces are facial descriptors where faces are represented by pixel intensities. They are proposed using linear PCA and have been enhanced by nonlinear PCA. But they are sensitive to Illumination, Variation, Noise and occlusion. Gradient face is a novel descriptor which uses IGO [image gradient orientation] instead of pixel intensities to achieve strong invariance to illumination. But they are sensitive to Local deformation, Rotation, Spatial scale, thus prone to facial expression and poses. Gabor wavelets descriptor extracts micro textual details, fusing these local features can provide global shape information, making it robust to local distortions. It is inefficient to real time applications. Local Binary Patterns (LBP) features are simple and efficient and provide good invariance to illumination. It is limited by extreme lighting. BGP uses features of both IGO domain and LBP, presents a new local facial descriptor. It measures relationships between local pixels in the image gradient domain and encodes the local structures into set of binary strings. Local features extracted by BGP shows stronger orientational power than LBP and Gabor descriptors. It increases discriminative power, simplifies Computational capacity and Scale selectivity.

Table1.	Application	s of Face	Recognition
---------	-------------	-----------	-------------

Area	Application
Law enforcement	CCTV control, suspect tracking
Entertainment	Human-robot interaction
Smart cards	Voter registration, driver license
Information security	Smart phone login, Secure trading terminals

III. FACE RECOGNITION TECHNIQUES

A. Eigenfaces and Fisherfaces: The aim is to represent a face image as a linear combination of a set of eigenvectors, given training set of 'M' images and an unknown face, all of same size. These Eigen faces (eigenvectors) are in fact the principal components of the training set of face images generated after reducing the dimensionality of the training set. To reduce the dimensionality of the training dataset, it uses a method called PCA. PCA is proposed by KarlPearson in 1901. It is mostly used tool in exploratory data analysis and for making predictive models(example: face recognition). PCA is used to reveal internal structure of data by explaining the variance in data. In Eigen faces, the principal component is referred to Eigen face, data point or variable is referred to image and dataset is referred to training set of



(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Website: <u>www.ijircce.com</u> Vol. 5, Issue 7, July 2017

matrix(vector) form. The number of Eigen faces is always less than or equal to the number of original images, i.e.,

images. PCA is mathematical procedure that uses orthogonal transformation to convert a set of M face images into a set of K uncorrelated variables called Eigen faces. PCA does not work directly on images; it first converts them to



Figure 3. Selection of eigenvectors from a training dataset [4].

This transformation is defined in such a way that the first Eigen face shows the most dominant "feature" of the training set of images. And each succeeding Eigen face in turn shows the next most possible dominant "feature", under the condition that the faces are uncorrelated. To reduce the calculations needed for finding these Eigen faces, the dimensionality of the original training set is reduced before they are calculated. Since Eigen faces show the features in the training dataset and each proceeding Eigen face shows less features and more noise. Only few first Eigen faces (K) are selected whereas the rest of the last Eigen faces are discarded. These K Eigen faces can safely represent the whole training dataset because they depict the major features that make up the entire dataset. Therefore, each image in the original training set can be represented in terms of these K Eigen faces. Representing an image this way reduces the number of values needed to recognize it from M to K. This makes the face recognition process faster and free of 'error caused by noise'. PCA can be done by eigenvalue decomposition of a data covariance matrix. The results of a PCA are usually discussed in terms of Eigen face weights(Eigen face proportions) and weight vectors(the weight by which each image in K should be multiplied to get Eigen face proportions)[10,11]. When an unknown face comes for recognition, it is also represented in terms of the selected Eigen faces. The Eigen faces representation of unknown face is compared with that of each training set face image. The distance between them is calculated. If the distance is above a specific threshold, then recognize the unknown face of that person otherwise the face of recognized person is displayed[11,12]. The only difference between Eigen faces and fisher faces is the process of reducing the dimensionality of training dataset. Eigen faces uses PCA, where fisher faces uses linear discriminant analysis. The linear discriminants obtained on a training datasetare called fisher faces. LDA is used to reduce a dimensionality of dataset with good class separability. Although these two algorithms used for facial recognition, they have their own limitations in illumination, variation, noise and occlusion.

K<M[8,9, 10].



(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Website: <u>www.ijircce.com</u>

Vol. 5, Issue 7, July 2017

S.no.	Author	Title	Advantages	Limitations
1.	Rajib saha	Face Recognition using	Extracts useful features by reducing	Prone to other facial
	et al.	Eigen faces	the dimensionality [8].	poses[8].
2.	Magali segal	Recognition using class	Best in handling variation in	Prone to variation in
	et al.	specific linear projection	lighting and expressions [9].	facial expression
			~	[9].
3.	M. Turk	Eigen faces for Face	Gives good results in orientation	Prone to variation in
	et al.	Recognition	variation [10].	sizes[10].
4.	Marijea	Face Recognition Using	Provides better dimensionality	Prone to variation in
	Slavkvic	Eigen face Approach	reduction [11].	illumination [11].
	et al.			
5.	Pentland	Eigen faces and Eigen	Gives modular Eigen faces [12].	Prone to variation in
	et al.	modules		orientation
				[12].
6.	Peter N.	Eigen faces vs. Fisher faces:	Insensitive to large variation in	Prone to cluttered
	Belhumeur	Recognition Using Class	lighting direction and facial	backgrounds [22].
	et al.	Specific Linear Projection	expression [22].	
7.	Xiaofei He	Face Recognition Using	Preserves the local structure of the	It does not deal with
	et al.	Laplacianfaces	image space [23].	biometric
				characteristics [23].
8.	Alex	View-Based and Modular	Use in face recognition	Insensitive to
	Pentland	Eigen spaces	under variable pose [24].	substantial variations
	et al.	for Face Recognition		in light direction[24].
9.	Ming –	Kernel fisher faces vs Eigen	Use higher order statistical	Insensitive to
	hsuan yung	faces: face recognition using	relationships among the pixels for	substantial variations
	et al.	kernel methods	facial recognition [25].	in face pose [25].
10.	Keun-	Face recognition using a	Reduced sensitivity to variations in	Prone to cluttered
	ChangKwak	fuzzy fisher face classifier	illumination and viewing directions	backgrounds [26].
	et al.		[26].	

Table2. Comparison of previous works on Eigen faces and Fisher faces.

B. Gradient faces and Histogram of gradient faces:To reduce the illumination problem in facial recognition systems, a new facial descriptor called gradient face is proposed. It uses image gradient orientation (IGO) instead of pixel intensities to achieve strong invariance to illumination. The directional change in the intensity or color of an image is called as image gradient, which is used to extract information from the image. This IGO used to detect edges of a particular image. This HOG descriptor is used to obtain facial features by the distribution of image gradients on the image. Here the image is divided into small connected regions called cells and a histogram of image gradients for pixels within the cell is calculated. Combining these cells of the particular image together forms a facial descriptor. To improve the accuracy, we can divide the larger portion of the image into blocks, in which in turn we can divide them into cells. This process is called 'Normalization', which results in better invariance in illumination and shadowing. These algorithms are sensitive to Local deformation, Rotation, Spatial scale, thus prone to facial expression and poses.



(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Website: <u>www.ijircce.com</u>

Vol. 5, Issue 7, July 2017

Table3. Comparison of previous works on Gradient faces and Histogram of gradient faces.

S.no.	Author	Title	Advantages	Limitations				
1.	M. Ishiwaka	Selection of Histograms of	Classifies the given input	Fails to differ between human				
	et al.	Oriented Gradients	into pedestrian/	like animals such as bear etc,				
		Features for Pedestrian	Non -pedestrian [4].	[4].				
		Detection						
2.	N. Dalal	Histograms of Oriented	Provides better invariance to	Prone to cluttered				
	et al.	Gradients for	illumination and	backgrounds				
		Human Detection	Shadowing [13].	[13].				
2	Chandrasakhar	CHoC: Compressed	Provides repust object	Propa to wide range of				
5.	ot al	Histogram of Gradients	discrimination [14]	poses[14]				
	Ct al.	- A low bit rate feature	discrimination [14].	poses[14].				
		descriptor						
4.	Stanley	Image representations	Improves accuracy of object	Prone to noisy databases[15].				
	Bileschi et al.	beyond histograms of	detection by using Gestalt					
		gradients: The role of	descriptors [15].					
		Gestalt	-					
		descriptors						
5.	O. Deniz	Face recognition using	Provides invariance to	Prone to variation in				
	et al.	Histograms of Oriented	occlusions, pose and	discrimination [27].				
		Gradients	illumination changes [27].					
				~				
6.	Qiang Zhu	Fast Human Detection	Provides features to achieve	Sensitive to local deformation				
	et al.	Using a Cascade of	fast and accurate human	[28].				
		Histograms of Oriented	detection system [28].					
7	Tomolri	Gradients	Used to detect pedactrions	Dropa to differentiation				
7.	Watanaba	of Oriented Gradients for	from images [20]	between humans and animals				
	et al	Pedestrian Detection	from images [29].					
8.	F. Suard	Pedestrian Detection using	Used for pedestrian	Prone to spatial scale [30].				
	et al.	Infrared images and	detection applied to infrared					
		Histograms of Oriented	images [30].					
		Gradients	8[]-					
9.	Xiaodong	Recognizing actions using	Used to recognize human	Prone to variations in facial				
	Yang et al.	depth motion maps-based	actions from sequences of	occlusion [31].				
		histograms of oriented	depth maps [31].					
		gradients						
10.	Alexander	A Spatio-Temporal	Presents a novel local	Prone to cluttered				
	Klaser et al.	Descriptor Based on 3D-	descriptor for video	backgrounds [32].				
		Gradients	sequences [32].					

C. Local binary patterns:LBP is a visual descriptor which is described in 1994. It classifies the image or pixels of the images using the texture classification. It is proved that when we combine Local binary patterns with HOG, we can assure high accuracy in face recognition. In LBP, an image is divided into cells. For each pixel in a cell, compare the pixel value to its 8 neighboring pixels in clockwise or anti-clockwise. When the center pixel value is greater than the neighboring pixel, write the value as"0", otherwise write the value as"1". This pattern gives us a 8-digit binary number. Now, compute the histogram of these values and normalize it. Combining the all normalized values of all cells gives us the facial features of the image [7].



(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Website: <u>www.ijircce.com</u>

Vol. 5, Issue 7, July 2017

Table4. Comparison of previous works on Local Binary Patterns and Binary Gradient Patterns.

S.no. Author Title Advar	ntages Limitations
1. Md. Abdur Rahim Face Recognition using Provides	similarity Prone to facial occlusions
et al. Local Binary Patterns (LBP) measure betw	ween images [16].
[10	6].
2. Timo Ahonen Face Description with Local Provides r	obustness Prone to pose and lighting
et al. Binary Patterns: against face	localization variations
Application to Face error	[17]. [17].
Recognition	
3. Timo Ojala Multiresolution Gray Scale Provides very	y powerful Prone to expression and age
et al. and Rotation Invariant tool for rotation	on invariant variations [18].
Texture Classification texture analys	sis [18].
with Local Binary Patterns	
4. Marko Heikkila et Description of Interest Very robust	to occlusion Prone to extreme lighting [19].
al. Regions with Local and illumina	tion changes
Binary Patterns [19	9].
5. Weilin Huang Robust face recognition with Provides i	invariance Yet to use in real-time
et al. structural binary gradient against both	illumination applications [1].
patterns. and local dis	tortions. [1].
6. Semin kim Image based coin Provides r	Prone to variation in size of
et al. recognition using rotation against rotat	tion and has the objects [20].
invariant region binary high accuraci	ies for image
patterns based on gradient based coin	recognition
magnitudes [20	0].
7 Nie i'm Codiethedleine Deside for	Windowski i terreta
7. Ning jiang Gradient local binary Provides feat	window size used here is
et al. patterns for numan detection for numan det	
8 Cuestine altre Demonite Tenture It anotides la	[21].
8. Guoying znao Dynamic Texture It provides for	Limited by extra lighting [55].
Dinery Detterns with on monotonic are	
Diffar y Patterns with an infoliotoffic gra	ay-scale
Expressions	
CAPIESSIONS Company Line at Learning Multi-scale Pleak Provides Multi-	ti soala Ploak Prono to lighting variations
7. Sheligeal Liao et Leanning Multi-scale Diock Flovides Mult	Pottorn [34]
ai. Eocal binary ratterns for Eocal binary	
10 Caifeng shan et Robust facial expression Used in real y	world Prone to local deformation
al recognition using local applications w	
	where only [35]
binary natterns low-resolution	vhere only [35].

D. Binary gradient patterns:BGP uses features of both IGO domain and LBP [1], presents a new local facial descriptor.It measures relationships between local pixels in the image gradient domain and encodes the local structures into set of binary strings. Local features extracted by BGP shows stronger orientation power than LBP and Gabor descriptors. It increases discriminative powerby simplifying computational capacity [1].BGP is implemented in 3 steps. Computing image gradient from multiple directions is the first step. Encoding them into binary strings is the second step. Division of structural and non-structural patterns is the final step [1].



(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization) Website: <u>www.ijircce.com</u>

Vol. 5, Issue 7, July 2017



Figure4. Steps of BGP [1].

The basic BGP descriptor is computed from four directions in a square neighbourhood of side length of two units. Similar to LBP-based descriptors, the capability of BGP can be further improved by increasing the number of gradient directions and by enlarging the neighbourhood. It can be seen that certain labels have meaningful structures where four bits of "1" are located consecutively. These are called "structural BGPs".

1	1	0		1	0	0	C)	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	SP00: 0 0 0 0
1		0		1		0	1			0	1		0	0		1	0		1	0		1	0		1	SP01: 0 0 0 1
1	0	0		1	1	0	1		0	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	1	1	1	1	SP03: 0 0 1 1
000	0(5	P00)		000	1(SI	P01)	00)10	(NS	P02)	001	1(5	P03)	010	0(N	SP04)	010	1(N	SP05)	011	0(N	SP06	011	1(S	P07	SP07: 0 1 1 1
4	4	4		4	0				4	4		0	4	4	4		4	0		0	4		0		4	SP08: 1 0 0 0
1	1	1		1	0	1	C	,	1	1	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	0	1	1	0	0	1	SP12-1100
1		0		1		0	1			0	1		0	0		1	0		1	0		1	0		1	0F12.1100
0	0	0		0	1	0	C)	0	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	SP14: 1 1 1 0
100	0(5)	P08)	1	1001	(NS	P09)	100	01(NSP	P10)	1011	(NS	P11)	110	0(5	P12)	110	1(N	SP13)	111	10(5	P14)	111	1(5	P15	SP15: 1 1 1 1
]	Fi	gui	re5.	Div	isi	on c	of str	uct	ural	and	no	n-str	uctu	Iral	pat	tern	s[1].	

Table5. Corresponding accuracies of above algorithms on different facial databases.

S.no	ALGORITHM	DATABASE	No. of training samples	ACCURACY
1.	Eigen faces [2]	AT&T	225	88%
2.	Fisher faces [2]	AT&T	210	85%
3.	Gradient faces [3]	AT&T	150	86%
4.	Local binary patterns [1]	AR	100	89%
5.	Binary Gradient patterns [1]	AR	100	93%

IV. CONCLUSION

Through this paper, we have made an attempt to present the review of several papers on facial recognition. Present study reveals that the facial recognition algorithms such as Eigen faces, fisher faces are sensitive to variation, illumination, noise and occlusion. The binary gradient faces facial descriptor has significantly improvements over other



(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Website: <u>www.ijircce.com</u>

Vol. 5, Issue 7, July 2017

algorithms such as discrimination, robustness and complexity. So, it has higher accuracy over other algorithms. We regret to other research analysts whose vital benefactions may have been overlooked.

REFERENCES

1.W. Huang, H.Yin, Robust facial recognition with structural binary pattern, ELSEVIER, Pattern Recognition 68 (2017) 126-140.

2. P. N. Belhumeur, J. P. Hespanha, D. J. Kriegman, Eigen faces vs. fisher faces: Recognition using class specific Linear projection, IEEE Trans. Pattern Anal. Mach. Intel. 19 (1997).

3. T. Zhang, Y. Y. Tang, B. Fang, Z. Shang, X. Liu, Face recognition under varying illumination using gradient faces, IEEETrans. On Image Processing 18 (2009).

4.M. Ishikawa et al. (Eds.): ICONIP 2007, Part II, LNCS 4985, pp. 598-607, 2008.

5. Mathew Turk And Alex Pentland, "Eigen Faces For Recognition"; Journal Of Cognitive Neuroscience Vol.3, No.1, pp.71-86, 1991.

6. B. Zhang, Y. Gao, S. Zhao, J. Liu, Local derivative patternversus local binary pattern: Face recognition withhigh-Orderlocal pattern descriptor, IEEE Trans. on Image Processing 19(2010) 533.

7. H. V. Nguyen, L. Bai, L. Shen, Local Gabor binary pattern whitened pca: A novel approach for facerecognition from singleimage per person, in: Advances in Biometrics, 2009, pp. 269.

8. Rajibsaha et al. face recognition using Eigen faces, www.ijetae.com (ISSN 2250-2459, ISO 9001:2008 Certified Journal, Volume 3, Issue 5, May 2013).

9.MagaliSegal et al. Recognition Using Class Specific Linear Projection, April 2015.

10. M.Turk and A. Pentland, "Eigen faces for Recognition", Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience, vol.3, no. 1, pp. 71-86, 1991.

11.MarijetaSlavković, Face Recognition Using Eigen face Approach, SERBIAN JOURNAL OF ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING Vol. 9, No. 1, February 2012, 121-130.

12. Pentland et al. Eigen faces and Eigen modules, 1994.

13.NavneetDalal, Bill Triggs. Histograms of Oriented Gradients for Human Detection. Cordelia Schmid and Stefano Soatto and Carlo Tomasi. International Conference on Computer Vision & Pattern Recognition(CVPR '05), Jun 2005, San Diego, United States. IEEE Computer Society, 1, pp.886.

14. Chandrasekhar et al. CHoG: Compressed Histogram of Gradients - A low bit rate feature descriptor, CVPR 2009.

15. Stanley Bileschi, Lior Wolf Image representations beyond histograms of gradients: The role of Gestalt descriptors.

16. Md. Abdur Rahim, Md. Najmul Hossain, Tanzilla Wahid & Md. Shafiul Azam, Face Recognition using Local Binary Patterns (LBP), By

Global Journal of Computer Science and Technology Graphics & Vision Volume 13 Issue 4 Version 1.0 Year 2013 Type: Double Blind Peer Reviewed International Research Journal Publisher: Global Journals Inc. (USA) Online ISSN: 0975-4172 & Print ISSN: 0975-4350.

17. T. Ahonen, A. Hadid, and M. Pietikainen are with the Machine Vision Group, Infotech Oulu, Department of Electrical and Information Engineering, University of Oulu, PO Box 4500, FIN-90014, Finland.

18. TimoOjala, MattiPietikäinen and TopiMäenpää Multiresolution Gray Scale and Rotation InvariantTexture Classification with Local Binary Patterns, Ref: TPAMI.

19. Marko Heikkila, MattiPietikainen, Cordelia Schmid, Description of Interest Regions with LocalBinary Patterns, ELSEVIER, 30 June 2008.

20. Seminkim et al. Image based coin recognition using rotation invariant region binary patterns based ongradient magnitudes, journal of visual communication and image representation, volume 32, oct 2015, pages 217-223.

21. Ning jiang, jiuxu, wenxinyu, satoshigoto, gradient local binary patterns for human detection, IEEE ISCAS 2013,

Pages 978 – 981.

22. Peter N. Belhumeur, Joao P. Hespanha, and David J. Kriegman Eigenfaces vs. Fisherfaces: Recognition Using Class Specific Linear Projection, IEEE TRANSACTIONS ON PATTERN ANALYSIS AND MACHINE INTELLIGENCE, VOL. 19, NO. 7, JULY 1997.

23. Xiaofei He, Shuicheng Yan, Yuxiaou, ParthaNiyogi, Hong-Jiang Zhang Face Recognition Using Laplacianfaces, IEEE

Trans. on PATTERN ANALYSIS AND MACHINE INTELLIGENCE, MARCH 2005.

24. Alex Pentland, BabackMoghaddam, Thad Starner, View-Based and Modular Eigenspaces for Face RecognitionIEEEConference on Computer Vision & Pattern Recognition, 1994.

25. Ming – hsuanyung ,Kernel fisher faces vs Eigen faces: face recognition using kernel methods, , fifth IEEE conference on automatic face and gesture recognition.

26. Keun-ChangKwak , Face recognition using a fuzzy fisherface classifier, Pattern Recognition Volume 38, Issue 10, October 2005, Pages 1717-1732.

27. O. Déniz, G. Bueno, J. Salido, F. De la Torre, Face recognition using Histograms of Oriented Gradients, Pattern Recognition Letters 32 (2011) 1598–1603.

28. Qiang Zhu, Shai Avidan, Mei-Chen Yeh, Kwang-Ting Cheng Fast Human Detection Using a Cascade of Histograms of Oriented Gradients IEEE Computer Society Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, TR2006-068 June 2006.

29. Tomoki Watanabe, Satoshi Ito, Kentaro Yokoi,Co-occurrence Histograms of Oriented Gradients for Pedestrian Detection, PSIVT 2009: Advances in Image and Video Technology pp 37-47.

30. F. Suard, A. Rakotomamonjy, A. Bensrhair, A. Broggi, Pedestrian Detection using Infrared images and Histogramsof Oriented Gradients, Intelligent Vehicles Symposium, 2006 IEEE, Print ISSN: 1931-0587.

31. Xiaodong Yang et al. Recognizing actions using depth motion maps-based histograms of oriented gradients, Proceedings of the 20th ACM international conference on Multimedia, Pages 1057-1060.

32. Alexander Klaser et al. A Spatio-Temporal Descriptor Based on 3D-Gradients, BMVC 2008 - 19th British Machine Vision Conference, Sep 2008, Leeds, United Kingdom. British Machine Vision Association, pp.275:1-10, 2008.

33.Guoyingzhao, Dynamic Texture Recognition Using Local Binary Patterns with an Application to Facial



(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Website: <u>www.ijircce.com</u>

Vol. 5, Issue 7, July 2017

Expressions, IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence (Volume: 29, Issue: 6,June 2007), Page(s): 915 – 928. 34. Shengcai Liao, Xiangxin Zhu, Zhen LeiL, unZhangStan Z. Li, Learning Multi-scale Block Local Binary Patterns for Face Recognition, S.-W. Lee and S.Z. Li (Eds.): ICB 2007, LNCS 4642, pp. 828–837, 2007, Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg 2007. 35. Caifengshan et al. Robust facial expression recognition using local binary patterns, ICIP, IEEE International Conference on Image Processing, 2005.

BIOGRAPHY

Vishnu Ganesh Phaniharam is a M. Tech student in the department of computer science and engineering, ANITS, Visakhapatnam. His research interests are data mining, image processing, IOT etc.

Bagadi Ravikiranis a senior assistant professor in the department of computer science and engineering, ANITS, Visakhapatnam. He is life member of CSI and a member of IEEE. He published several papers in international journals. He has 12 years of experience in teaching and research. His research interest is image processing.

Dr. Tusar Kanti Mishra is an associate professor in the department of computer science and engineering, ANITS, Visakhapatnam. He has 13 years of experience in teaching and research. He published several papers in international journals. Hisresearch interests are pattern Recognition, Image processing, Computational intelligence, Machine learning, Optical character recognition etc.