



# **Delay Differentiated Services in Wireless Sensor Networks by Using Dynamic Traffic- Aware Routing Algorithm**

C.Maheswari<sup>1</sup>, D.Venkatesh<sup>2</sup>, K.Arun Kumar<sup>3</sup>

Student, Dept. of CSE, GATES Institute of Technology, Affiliated to JNTUA University, A.P, India<sup>1</sup>

Associate Professor, Dept. of CSE, GATES Institute of Technology, Affiliated to JNTUA University, A.P, India<sup>2</sup>

Assistant Professor, Dept. of CSE, GATES Institute of Technology, Affiliated to JNTUA University, A.P, India<sup>3</sup>

**ABSTRACT:** Dynamic Routing for Data Integrity and Delay Differentiated Services in Wireless Sensor Networks addressed and analyzed two basic QOS requirements of WSNs namely low delay and high data integrity. This work mainly focused on improving the data fidelity for integrity-sensitive applications as well as reduces the end-to-end delay for delay-sensitive applications when the network is bottlenecked. For this purpose, multi-path dynamic routing algorithm IDDR (integrity and delay differentiated routing) is presented with the adoption of the concept of the potential field from the discipline of physics to separate the packets of applications according to the weight assigned to each packet. The simulation results that IDDR can significantly improve the throughput of the high-integrity applications and decrease the end-to-end delay of delay sensitive applications through scattering different packets from different applications spatially and temporally.

**KEYWORDS:** Dynamic routing, information integrity, wireless sensor networks, skills subject, extend differentiated offerings.

## **I. INTRODUCTION**

With the recognition of laptops, cell phones, PDAs, GPS devices, RFID, and intelligent Electronics within the post-PC era, computing devices became cheaper, a lot of mobile, a lot of distributed, and a lot of pervasive in lifestyle. It's currently potential to construct, from industrial off-the-rack (COTS) elements, a billfold size embedded system with the equivalent capability of a 90's laptop. Such embedded systems are often supported with scaled down Windows or in operation system} operating systems. Typically, a wireless detector node (or merely detector node) consists of sensing, computing, communication, actuation, and power elements. These elements are integrated on one or multiple boards, and preplaced in an exceedingly few isometric inches. With progressive, low-power circuit and networking technologies, a detector node steam-powered by two AA batteries will last for up to 3 years with a tenth low duty cycle operating mode. A WSN typically consists of tens to thousands of such nodes that communicate through wireless channels for data sharing and cooperative process. WSNs are often deployed on a world scale for environmental observation and surround study, over a battle field for military police investigation and intelligence operation; in aborning environments for search and rescue, in factories for condition primarily based maintenance, in buildings for infrastructure health observation, in homes to appreciate sensible homes, or maybe in bodies for patient observation. When the initial preparation (typically ad hoc), detector nodes are chargeable for self-organizing Associate in Nursing acceptable network infrastructure, typically with multi-hop connections between detector nodes. The aboard sensors then begin assembling acoustic, seismic, infrared or magnetic data regarding the setting, exploitation either continuous or event driven operating modes. Location and positioning data may also be obtained through the worldwide positioning system (GPS) or native positioning algorithms. This data are often gathered from across the network and fitly processed to construct a world read of the observation phenomena or objects. The fundamental philosophy behind WSNs is that, whereas the aptitude of every individual detector node is proscribed, the mixture power of the complete network is decent for the specified mission.



# International Journal of Innovative Research in Computer and Communication Engineering

(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Vol. 4, Issue 11, November 2016

## II. RELATED WORK

### ***A.INTERFERENCE-AWARE MULTIPATH ROUTING PROTOCOL FOR QOS IMPROVEMENT IN EVENT-DRIVEN WIRELESS SENSOR NETWORKS.***

The existing multipath routing protocols for wireless device networks demonstrate the effectiveness of traffic distribution over multiple ways to meet the standard of Service (QOS) needs of various applications. However, the performance of those protocols is very plagued by the characteristics of the wireless channel and will be even inferior to the performance of single-path approaches. Specifically, once multiple adjacent ways area unit getting used at the same time, the published nature of wireless channels ends up in inter path interference that considerably degrades end-to-end turnout. During this paper, we tend to propose a Low-Interference Energy-efficient Multipath Routing protocol (LIEMRO) to enhance the QOS needs of event-driven applications. Additionally, so as to optimize resource utilization over the established ways, LIEMRO employs a quality-based load reconciliation algorithmic rule to manage the number of traffic injected into the ways. The performance gain of LIEMRO compared to the ETX-based single-path routing protocol is eighty fifth, 80%, and twenty fifth in terms of knowledge delivery quantitative relation, end-to-end turnout, and network period of time, severally. What is more, the end-to-end latency is improved quite hour.

### ***B.CODA: CONGESTION DETECTION AND AVOIDANCE IN SENSOR NETWORKS***

Event-driven sensing element networks operate below AN idle or lightweight load then suddenly become active in response to a detected or monitored event. The transport of event impulses is probably going to steer to varied degrees of congestion within the network counting on the sensing application. It's throughout these periods of event impulses that the chance of congestion is greatest and also the info in transit of most importance to users. To deal with this challenge we have a tendency to propose AN energy economical congestion management theme for sensing element networks known as finale (Congestion Detection and Avoidance) that includes 3 mechanisms: (i) receiver-based congestion detection;

- (ii) open-loop hop-by-hop backpressure; and
- (iii) closed-loop multi-source regulation.

We have a tendency to gift the careful style, implementation, and analysis of finale victimization simulation and experimentation. We have a tendency to outline 2 necessary performance metrics (i.e., energy tax and fidelity penalty) to gauges the impact of finale on the performance of sensing applications.

We have a tendency to discuss the performance advantages and sensible engineering challenges of implementing finale in an experimental sensing element network tested supported Berkeley notes victimization CSMA. Simulation results indicate that finale considerably improves the performance of knowledge dissemination applications like directed diffusion by mitigating hotspots, and reducing the energy tax with low fidelity penalty on sensing applications. We have a tendency to additionally demonstrate that finale is capable of responding to variety of congestion situations that we have a tendency to believe are going to be rife because the preparation of those networks accelerates.

## III. EXISTING SYSTEM

1. Most QOS provisioning protocols projected for ancient advert hoc networks have mammoth overhead caused by means that of end-to-end direction discovery and helpful resource reservation. For that reason, they don't seem to be compatible for helpful resource-limited WSNs. Some mechanisms were designed to produce QOS services primarily for WSNs.
2. Accommodative Forwarding theme (AFS) employs the packet priority to verify the forwarding behavior to control the dependableness
3. LIEMRO makes use of a dynamic route renovation mechanism to look at the standard of the active methods for the amount of community operation and regulates the injected web site guest's rate of the methods keep with the newest perceived methods.



# International Journal of Innovative Research in Computer and Communication Engineering

(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Vol. 4, Issue 11, November 2016

## IV. PROPOSED SYSTEM

This work aims to at the same time improve the fidelity for high-integrity applications and reduce the end-to-end delay for delay-sensitive ones, even once the network is full. We have a tendency to borrow the idea of potential field from the discipline of physics and style a unique potential based mostly routing rule, that is named integrity and delay differentiated routing (IDDR). IDDR is ready to produce the subsequent 2 functions: Improve fidelity for high-integrity applications.

The essential plan is to seek out the maximum amount buffer house as attainable from the idle and/or under-loaded methods to cache the excessive packets that may be born on the shortest path. Therefore, the primary task is to seek out these idle and/or under loaded methods, then the second task is to cache the packets with efficiency for ensuing transmission. IDDR constructs a possible field in line with the depth1 and queue length info to seek out the under-utilized methods. The packets with high integrity demand are forwarded to consecutive hop with smaller queue length. A mechanism known as Implicit Hop-by-Hop Rate management is intended to create packet caching a lot of economical. Decrease end-to-end delay for delay-sensitive applications. Every application is assigned a weight that represents the degree of sensitivity to the delay.

Through building native dynamic potential fields with completely different slopes in line with the burden values carried by packets, IDDR permits the packets with larger weight to decide on shorter methods. Additionally, IDDR conjointly employs the priority queue to more decrease the queuing delay of delay-sensitive packets.

## V. IMPLEMENTATION

### A. DESIGN OF POTENTIAL FIELDS

A potential-based routing paradigm has been designed for ancient wire line networks. However, it didn't attract widespread attention attributable to its immense management overhead. It's quite pricy to create Associate in nursing exclusive virtual field for every destination in ancient networks wherever various destinations can be distributed randomly. On the contrary, the potential-based routing rule is way appropriate for the many-to-one route in WSNs. In some special applications and environments, quite one sink could exist. However, typically the information-centric WSNs solely need nodes to transmit their sampling data to 1 of them. Therefore, during this work, we tend to build a singular virtual potential field to customize a multipath dynamic routing rule that finds correct ways to the sink for the packets with high integrity and delay necessities. Next, the potential-based routing rule for WSNs with one sink is delineating. It's easy to increase the rule to figure in WSNs with multiple sinks.

### B. HIGH-INTEGRITY SERVICES

The basic plan of IDDR is to think about the total network as an enormous buffer to cache the excessive packets before they gain the sink. There are 2 key steps: (1) Finding enough buffer areas from the idle or beneath loaded nodes, that is truly resource discovery. (2) Caching the excessive packets in these idle buffers expeditiously for resulting transmissions, this suggests associate in nursing implicit hop-by-hop rate management. In an under-utilized WSN, the queue length is extremely little; the hybrid potential field is ruled by the depth potential field. IDDR performs just like the shortest path rule, that is, a node forever chooses one neighbour with lower depth as its next hop. However, in an exceedingly over-utilized WSN, the shortest ways are to be filled with packets. Therefore, new returning packets are driven out of the shortest ways to seek out different out there resource. If a node is aware of the queue length data of its neighbours, it will forward packets to the under loaded neighbours to square against doable dropping.

### C. DELAY-DIFFERENTIATED SERVICES

There are principally four factors that have an effect on the end-to-end delay in WSNs:

- Transmission delay, it's restricted by the link bandwidth;
- Competition of the radio channel. Particularly beneath a rivalry primarily based mackintosh, a packet needs to contend for the access of the channel and sit up for transmission till the channel is idle;
- Queuing delay, an outsized queue can seriously delay packets;



# International Journal of Innovative Research in Computer and Communication Engineering

(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Vol. 4, Issue 11, November 2016

- Path length. Generally, the additional hops a packet travels, the big propagation delay it'll suffer. The physical limitation determines the transmission delay, and also the mackintosh affects the competition of the radio channel.

They are each on the far side the scope of this paper. The IDDR aims to decrease the queuing delay and shorten the trail length for delay sensitive packets. Before describing however IDDR provides the delay-differentiated services, we tend to initial observe some fascinating properties of the hybrid potential field. Then, we tend to propose 2 effective mechanisms to decrease the end-to-end delay of delay-sensitive packets

## D. DESIGN OF IDDR ALGORITHM

Consider a WSN with totally different high-integrity or delay-sensitive applications. The depth potential field is very important as a result of it provides the fundamental routing operate. It's created supported the depth worth of every node. At the start, the depth values of all the nodes are initialized to zero, except that the default depth of the sink is zero. The sink initial sends a depth update message, the nodes one hop far away from the sink obtain their own depth by adding one to the depth worth within the update message then send new update messages with their own depth values.

Similarly, all the opposite nodes will get their own depth by receiving update messages from their neighbors UN agency already apprehend the depth worth. Multiple sinks could exist in giant scale WSNs. per the procedure of the depth potential field construction; these sinks can sporadically broadcast their update messages of depth. The nodes receive these update messages, compare the various depth values from different sinks, then opt for the closest sink as its destination. If the littlest depth worth isn't distinctive, the node will opt for one among them willy-nilly. Actually, once multiple sinks exist in an exceedingly giant scale WSN, IDDR can naturally partition the total networks into sub regions managed by totally different sinks. Therefore, IDDR will add giant scale WSNs with multiple sinks.

## VI. CONCLUSION

In this project, a dynamic multipath routing rule IDDR is planned supported the conception of potential in physics to satisfy the two totally different QOS necessities, high information fidelity and low end-to-end delay, over constant WSN at the same time. The IDDR rule is verified stable victimization Lyapunov drift theory. Moreover, the experiment results on a tiny low tested and also the simulation results on TOSSIM demonstrate that IDDR will considerably improve the turnout of the high-integrity applications and reduce the end-to-end delay of delay sensitive applications through scattering completely different packets from different applications spatially and temporally. IDDR can even give smart measurability as a result of solely native data is needed, that simplifies the implementation. Additionally, IDDR has acceptable communication overhead.

## REFERENCES

- [1] P. Levis, N. Lee, M. Welsh, and D. Culler, "TOSSIM: Accurate and scalable simulation of entire Tiny OS applications," in Proc. 1st Int. Conf. Embedded Networked Sensor Syst., 2003, pp. 126–137.
- [2] T. Chen, J. Tsai, and M. Gerla, "QoS routing efficiency in multi-hop multimedia wi-fi networks," in Proc. IEEE Int. Conf. Universal individual Commun., 1997, pp. 557–561.
- [3] R. Siva kumar, P. Sinha, and V. Bharghavan, "CEDAR: Core extraction allotted ad hoc routing algorithm," IEEE J. Selected Areas Commun., vol. 17, no. Eight, pp. 1454–1465, Aug. 1999.
- [4] S. Chen and okay. Nahrstedt, "allotted exceptional-of service routing in advert hoc networks," IEEE J. Selected Areas Commun., vol. 17, no. Eight, pp. 1488–1505, Aug. 1999.
- [5] B. Hughes and V. Cahill, "attaining real-time guarantees in cellular advert hoc wireless networks," in Proc. IEEE real-Time Syst. Symp., 2003.
- [6] E. Felemban, C.-G. Lee, and E. Ekici, "MMSPEED: Multipath multi-speed protocol for QoS assurance of reliability and timeliness in wi-fi sensor networks," IEEE Trans. Cellular Comput., vol. 5, no. 6, pp. 738–754, Jun. 2003.
- [7] C. Lu, B. Blum, T. Abdelzaher, J. Stankovic, and T. He, "RAP: an actual-time communication architecture for tremendous-scale wi-fi sensor networks," in Proc. IEEE 8th actual-Time Embedded Technol. Appl. Symp., 2002, pp. Fifty five–sixty six.
- [8] M. Caccamo, L. Zhang, L. Sha, and G. Buttazzo, "An implicit prioritized access protocol for wireless sensor networks," in Proc. IEEE actual-Time Syst. Symp., 2002, pp. 39–48.
- [9] T. He, J. Stankovic, C. Lu, and T. Abdelzaher, "pace: A stateless protocol for actual-time communique in sensor networks," in Proc. IEEE 23rd Int. Conf. Distrib. Comput. Syst., 2003, pp. 46–fifty five. [10] P. T. A. Quang and D.-S. Kim, "improving actual-time delivery of gradient routing for industrial wireless sensor networks," IEEE Trans. Ind. Inform., vol. 8, no. 1, pp. 61–68, Feb. 2012. [11] S. Bhatnagar, B. Deb, and B. Nath, "Service differentiation in sensor networks," in Proc. Int. Symp. Wireless Pers. Multimedia Commun., 2001.
- [12] B. Deb, S. Bhatnagar, and B. Nath, "ReInForM: Reliable information forwarding using multiple paths in sensor networks," in Proc. IEEE Intl Conf. Local Comput. Netw., 2003, pp. 406–415.
- [13] M. Radi, B. Dezfouli, K. A. Bakar, S. A. Razak, and M. A. Nematbaksh, "Interference-aware multipath routing protocol for QoS improvement