

(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Vol. 4, Issue 11, November 2016

# Data Hiding With Contrast Enhancement by Using RDH Algorithm

Ashwini R. Gaykar, Prof. S.M.Rokade,

M.E. Student, Dept. of Computer Engineering, SVIT, Chincholi, Nasik, India

H.O.D. Dept. of Computer Engineering, S.V.I.T. Chincholi, Nasik, India

**ABSTRACT:** Now a days more and more attention is paid to reversible data hiding (RDH) for encrypted images, since it gives the benefit that the original cover can be losslessly recovered after embedded data is extracted and as well as protects the image content's confidentiality. Instead of trying to keep the PSNR value high, the proposed algorithm enhances the contrast of a host image to improve its visual quality. the algorithm achieves image contrast enhancement by RDH. The highest two bins in the histogram are selected for data embedding so that histogram equalization can be performed by repeating the process. The side information is embedded along with the message bits into the host image so that the original image is completely recoverable .Reversible data hiding (RDH) algorithm is proposed for digital images.

KEYWORDS: Reversible data hiding, Histogram data modification, Image Encryption, Contrast enhancement

#### I. INTRODUCTION

REVERSIBLE DATA HIDING (RDH) has been intensivelystudied in the community of signal processing. Also referred as invertible or lossless data hiding, RDH is to embed a piece of information into a host signal to generate the marked one, from which the original signal can be exactly recovered after extracting the embedded data. The hiding rate and the marked image quality are important metrics while evaluating the performance of a RDH algorithm. To measure the distortion, the peak signal-to-noise ratio (PSNR) value of the marked image is often calculated. we aim at inventing a new RDH algorithm to achieve the property of contrast enhancement instead of just keeping the PSNR value high. Firstly, the two peaks (i.e. the highest two bins) in the histogram are found out. The bins between the peaks are unchanged while the outer bins are shifted outward so that each of the two peaks can be split into two adjacent bins. To increase the embedding capacity, the highest two bins in the modified histogram can be further chosen to be split, and so on until satisfactory contrast enhancement effect is achieved. To avoid the overflows and underflows due to histogram modification, the bounding pixel values are pre-processed and a location map is generated to memorize their locations

#### II. RELATED WORK

In theoretical aspect, Kalker and Willems [1] established a rate-distortion model for RDH, through which they proved the rate-distortion bounds of RDH for memory less covers and proposed a recursive code construction which, however, does no approach the bound. Zhang *et al* [2] improved the recursive code construction for binary covers and proved that this construction can achieve the rate-distortion bound as long as the compression algorithm reaches entropy, which establishes the equivalence between data compression and RDH for binary covers.

In practical aspect, many RDH techniques have emerged inrecent years. A more popular method is based on difference expansion (DE) [3] in which the difference of each pixel group is expanded, e.g., multiplied by 2, and thus the least significant bits (LSBs) of the difference are all-zero and can be used for embedding messages. Another promising strategy for RDH is histogram shift (HS) [4], in which space is saved for data embedding by shifting the bins of histogram of gray values. With regard to providing confidentiality for images, encryption is an effective and popular means as it converts the original and meaningful content to incomprehensible one. Although few RDH techniques in encrypted images have been published yet, there are some promising applications if RDH can be applied to encrypted images. In [9], Hwang *et al.* advocated a reputation-based trust-management scheme enhanced with data coloring (a way of embedding data into covers) and software watermarking, in which data encryption and coloring offer possibilities for



(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

#### Vol. 4, Issue 11, November 2016

upholding the content owner's privacy and data integrity. Obviously, the cloud service provider has no right to introduce permanent distortion during data coloring into encrypted data. Thus, a reversible data coloring technique based on encrypted data is preferred. Suppose a medical image database stored in a data center, and a server in the data center can embed notations into an encrypted version of a medical image through a RDH technique. With the notations, the server can manage the image or verify its integrity without having the knowledge of the original content, and thus the patient's privacy is protected. On the other hand, a doctor, having the cryptographic key, can decrypt and restore the image in a reversible manner for the purpose of further diagnosing. Hong *et al.* [11] reduced the error rate of Zhang'smethod by fully exploiting the pixels in calculating the smoothness of each block and using side match. The extraction and recovery of blocks are performed according to the descending order of the absolute smoothness difference between two candidate blocks and recovered blocks can further be used to evaluate the smoothness of unrecovered blocks, which is referred to as side match.

The methods proposed previously can be summarized as the framework, "vacating room after encryption (VRAE)", as illustrated in Fig. 1(a).

In this framework, a content owner encrypts the original image using a standard cipher with an encryption key. After producing the encrypted image, the content owner hands over it to a data hider (e.g., a database manager) and the data hider an embed some auxiliary data into the encrypted image by losslessly vacating some room according to a data hiding key. Then a receiver, maybe the content owner himself or an authorized third party can extract the embedded data with the data hiding key and further recover the original image from the encrypted version according to the encryption key.

As shown in Fig. 1(b), the content owner first reserves enoughspace on original image and then converts the image into its en crypted version with the encryption key. Now, the data embedding process in encrypted images is inherently reversible for thed ata hider only needs to accommodate data into the spare spaceprevious emptied out. The data extraction and image recoveryar e identical to that of Framework VRAE. Obviously, standardRDH algorithms are the ideal operator for reserving room befor e encryption and can be easily applied to Framework RRBEto achieve better performance compared with techniques fromFra mework VRAE. This is because in this new framework, wefollow the customary idea that first losslessly compresses the redun dant image content (e.g., using excellent RDH techniques) and then encrypts it with respect to protecting privacy.



Fig. 1. Framework: "vacating room after encryption (VRAE)" versus framework: "reserving room before encryption (RRBE)." (Dashed line in (a) states that the need of data hiding key in image recovery varies in different practical methods). (a) Framework VRAE. (b) Framework RRBE.



(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

### Vol. 4, Issue 11, November 2016

we elaborate a practical method based on the Framework "RRBE", which primarily consists of four stages: gener tion of encrypted image, data hiding in encrypted image, dataextraction and image recovery.

#### A. GENERATION OF ENCRYPTED IMAGE

Actually, to construct the encrypted image, the first stage can be divided into three steps: image partition, self reversible embedding followed by image encryption. At the beginning, image partition step divides original image into two parts A and B.



Fig. 2.Illustration of image partition and embedding process.

- 1) *Image Partition:* The operator here for reserving room before encryption is a standard RDH technique, so the goal of image partition is to construct a smoother area on B, on which standard RDH algorithms can achieve better performance
- 2) *Self-Reversible Embedding:* The goal of self-reversible embedding is to embed the LSB-planes of A and B. By bidirectional histogram shift, some messages can be embedded on each error sequence. That is, first divide the histogram of estimating errors into two parts, i.e., the left part and the right part, and search for the highest point in each part,
- 3) *Image Encryption:* After rearranged self-embedded image, denoted by , is generated, we can encrypts to construct the encrypted image.

### B. DATA HIDING IN ENCRYPTED IMAGE

Once the data hider acquires the encrypted image, he can embed some data into it, although he does not get access to theoriginal image.

#### C. DATA EXTRACTION AND IMAGE RECOVERY

Since data extraction is completely independent from imagedecryption, the order of them implies two different practi cal ap-plications.

1) Case 1: Extracting Data From Encrypted Images: Tomanage and update personal information of images wh ich areencrypted for protecting clients' privacy, an inferior databasemanager may only get access to the data hiding ke y and have tomanipulate data in encrypted domain. The order of data extraction before image decryption guarantees th e feasibility of ourwork in this case.

When the database manager gets the data hiding key, he candecrypt the LSB-planes

and extract the additional databy directly reading the decrypted version. When requesting forupdating information of en crypted images, the database manager, then, updates information through LSB replacement and encrypts updated infor mation according to the data hiding keyall over again. As the whole process is entirely operated on encrypted domain, i t avoids the leakage of original content.

2) Case 2: Extracting Data From Decrypted Images: In Case 1, both embedding and extraction of the data are manipula ted inencrypted domain. On the other hand, there is a different situation that the user wants to decrypt the image first and extracts thedata from the decrypted image when it is needed. The followingexample is an application for such scenario. Assume Alice outsourced her images to a cloud server, and the images are encrypted to protect their contents. Into th e encrypted images, thecloud server marks the images by embedding some notation, including the identity of the image



(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

### Vol. 4, Issue 11, November 2016

ges' owner, the identity of the cloud server and time stamps, to manage the encrypted images. The order of image decryp tion before/without data extraction is perfectly suitable for this case.

#### III. PROPOSED ALGORITHM

#### A. DESIGN CONSIDERATIONS:"

#### Data Embedding by Histogram Modification:-

The original LSBs of 16 excluded pixels are obtained from the extracted binary values. The excluded pixels can be restored by writing them back so as to recover the original image.

#### Pre-Process for Complete Recovery:-

To memorize the pre-processed pixels, a location map with thesame size as the original image is generated by assigning 1 to thelocation of a modified pixel, and 0 to that of an unchanged one (including the 16 excluded pixels). The locationmap can be precomputed and included into the binary values to be hidden. In the extraction and recovery process, it can be obtained from the data extracted from the marked image so that the pixels modified in the pre-process can be identified. By restoring the original values of those pixels accordingly, the original image can be completely recovered.

Contrast Enhancement:-

each of the two peaks in the histogram is split into two adjacent bins with the similar or same heights because the numbers of 0s and 1s in the message bits are required to be almost equal. To increase the hiding rate, the highest twobins in the *modified* histogram are further chosen to be split. The same process can be repeated by splitting each of the two peaks into two adjacent bins with the similar heights to achieve the *histogram equalization* effect. In this way, data embedding and contrast enhancement are simultaneously performed.

#### B. DESCRIPTION OF THE PROPOSED ALGORITHM:-

The procedure of the proposed algorithm is illustrated in Fig. 3. Given that totally pairs of histogram bins are to be split for data embedding, the **embedding** procedure includes the following steps:

1) Pre-process: A location map is generated to record the locations of those pixels and compressed by the JBIG2 standard [11] to reduce its length.

2) The image histogram is calculated without counting the first 16 pixels in the bottom row.

3) Embedding: The two peaks (i.e. the highest two bins) in the histogram are split for data embedding is applied to every pixel counted in the histogram. Then the two peaks in the *modified* histogram are chosen to be split, and so on until pairs are split. The bit stream of the compressed location map is embedded before the message bits (binary values). The value of , the length of the compressed location map, the LSBs collected from the 16 excluded pixels and the previous peak values are embedded with the last two peaks to be split.

4) The lastly split peak values are used to replace the LSBs of the 16 excluded pixels to form the marked image.

The **extraction** and **recovery** process include the following steps:

1) The LSBs of the 16 excluded pixels are retrieved so that the values of the last two split peaks are known.

2) The data embedded with the last two split peaks are extracted so that the value of , the length of the compressed location map, the original LSBs of 16 excluded pixels, and the previously split peak values are known. Then the recovery operations are carried out by processing all pixels except the 16 excluded . The process of extraction and recovery is repeated until all of the split peaks are restored and the data embedded with them are extracted.

3) The compressed location map is obtained from the extracted binary values and decompressed to the original size.

4) With the decompressed map, those pixels modified in preprocess are identified. Among them, a pixel value is subtracted by if it is less than 128, or increased by otherwise.

To comply with this rule, the maximum value of is 64 to avoid ambiguity. At last, the original image is recovered by writing back the original LSBs of 16 excluded pixels.



(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

### Vol. 4, Issue 11, November 2016



Fig. 3.Procedure of the proposed RDH algorithm.

#### IV. SIMULATION RESULTS

The original and marked images of "Lena" is shown in Fig.The marked images were obtained by splitting 10, 15 and 20 pairs of histogram peaks for data embedding, respectively. It can be seen that the embedded data were invisible in the contrast-enhanced images. The more histogram peaks were split for data embedding, the more contrast enhancement effect was obtained. Although the PSNR value of the contrast-enhanced images decrease with the data hiding rate, the visual quality has been preserved, as shownin Fig. 4.

Besides the PSNR value, the relative contrast error (RCE), relative entropy error (REE), relative mean brightness error (RMBE) and relative structural similarity (RSS) used were calculated between the original and contrast-enhanced images to evaluate the enhancement effect and image quality. The RCE and REE values greater than 0.5 indicate the enhanced contrast and increased image data, respectively. The less difference in mean brightness from the original image, the closer RMBE is to 1. The greater the structural similarity between them, the closer RSS is to 1. We further compare the proposed algorithm with three MATLAB functions used for image contrast enhancement, i.e. *imadjust, histeq*, and *adapthisteq*. The MATLAB routines were applied on each test image with the default settings. For each of the contrast-enhanced images, the five evaluation values were calculated, including RCE, REE, RMBE, RSS and PSNR.



Fig. 4.The original and contrast-enhanced images of "Lena" by splitting 10, 15 and 20 pairs of histogram peaks in the proposed algorithm. (a) Original imageof "Lena". (b) 10 pairs: 0.185 bpp, 29.10 dB. (c) 15 pairs: 0.268 bpp, 25.97 dB. (d) 20 pairs: 0.345 bpp, 24.91 dB.

The result is shown in the fig 5 are original image and watermark image of the sample image. Embedded image is having sample data set within it. The PSNR table is calculated for each image after data embedding.



(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Vol. 4, Issue 11, November 2016



Fig. 5.a)Watermark Image



b)Original Image

#### V. CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORK

In this letter, a new reversible data hiding algorithm has been proposed with the property of contrast enhancement.Previous methods implement RDH in encrypted images by vacating room afterandbefore encryption,. Thu s the data hider can benefit from the extra spaceemptied out in previous stage to make data hiding process effortless. The proposed method can take advantage of all traditionalRDH techniques for plain images and achieve excellent performan ce without loss of perfect secrecy. Improving the algorithm robustness, and applying it to the medical and satellite images for the better visibility, will be our future work.

#### REFERENCES

- 1. T. Kalker and F.M.Willems, "Capacity bounds and code constructions for reversible data-hiding," in Proc. 14th Int. Conf. Digital Signal Processing (DSP2002), 2002, pp. 71–76.
- 2. W. Zhang, B. Chen, and N. Yu, "Improving various reversible data hiding schemes via optimal codes for binary covers," IEEE Trans. Image Process., vol. 21, no. 6, pp. 2991–3003, Jun. 2012.
- 3. J. Tian, "Reversible data embedding using a difference expansion," IEEE Trans. Circuits Syst. Video Technol., vol. 13, no. 8, pp. 890–896, Aug. 2003.
- 4. Z. Ni, Y. Shi, N. Ansari, and S. Wei, "Reversible data hiding," IEEE Trans. Circuits Syst. Video Technol., vol. 16, no. 3, pp. 354–362, Mar. 2006.
- 5. D.M. Thodi and J. J. Rodriguez, "Expansion embedding techniques for reversible watermarking," IEEE Trans. Image Process., vol. 16, no. 3, pp. 721–730, Mar. 2007.
- X. L. Li, B. Yang, and T. Y. Zeng, "Efficient reversible watermarking based on adaptive prediction-error expansion and pixel selection," IEEE Trans. Image Process., vol. 20, no. 12, pp. 3524–3533, Dec. 2011.
- L. Luo et al., "Reversible imagewatermarking using interpolation technique," IEEE Trans. Inf. Forensics Security, vol. 5, no. 1, pp. 187–193, Mar. 2010.
- 8. V. Sachnev, H. J. Kim, J. Nam, S. Suresh, and Y.-Q. Shi, "Reversible watermarking algorithm using sorting and prediction," IEEE Trans. Circuits Syst. Video Technol., vol. 19, no. 7, pp. 989–999, Jul. 2009.
- 9. K. Hwang and D. Li, "Trusted cloud computing with secure resources and data coloring," IEEE Internet Comput., vol. 14, no. 5, pp. 14–22, Sep./Oct. 2010.
- 10. M. Johnson, P. Ishwar, V. M. Prabhakaran, D. Schonberg, and K. Ramchandran, "On compressing encrypted data," IEEE Trans. Signal Process., vol. 52, no. 10, pp. 2992–3006, Oct. 2004.
- 11. W. Hong, T. Chen, and H.Wu, "An improved reversible data hiding in encrypted images using side match," IEEE Signal Process. Lett., vol. 19, no. 4, pp. 199–202, Apr. 2012.
- 12. X. Zhang, "Separable reversible data hiding in encrypted image," IEEE Trans. Inf. Forensics Security, vol. 7, no. 2, pp. 826–832, Apr. 2012.

#### BIOGRAPHY

Ashwini R. Gaykar is Student of SVIT, Chincholi, Nashik. She is perusing master of engineering degree in computer engineering.

Prof. S.M.Rokade H.O.D. Dept. of Computer Engineering, S.V.I.T. Chincholi, Nashik, India.