

(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Vol. 4, Issue 2, February 2016

# Cloud Armor: Supportive and Trustworthy Cloud Services

# A. Suganya, D. Selvam

M. E Student, Department of CSE, Periyar Maniammai University, Thanjavur, Tamil Nadu, India

Assistant Professor, Department of CSE, Periyar Maniammai University, Thanjavur, Tamil Nadu, India

**ABSTRACT:** Trust management is one of the most challenging issues for the adoption and growth of cloud computing. The highly dynamic, distributed, and non-transparent nature of cloud services introduces several challenging issues such as privacy, security, and availability. Preserving consumer's privacy is not an easy task due to the sensitive information involved in the interactions between consumers and the trust management service. Protecting cloud services against their malicious users (e.g., such users might give misleading feedback to disadvantage a particular cloud service) is a difficult problem. Guaranteeing the availability of the trust management service is another significant challenge because of the dynamic nature of cloud environments. In this article, we describe the design and implementation of CloudArmor, a reputation-based trust management framework that provides a set of functionalities to deliver Trust as a Service (TaaS), which includes i) a novel protocol to prove the credibility of trust feedbacks to protect cloud services from malicious users and to compare the trustworthiness of cloud services, and iii) an availability model to manage the availability of the decentralized implementation of the trust management service. The feasibility and benefits of our approach have been validated by a prototype and experimental studies using a collection of real-world trust feedbacks on cloud services.

**KEYWORDS:** Cloud Computing, Trust Management, Security, Crypto System, Confidentiality

### I. INTRODUCTION

The highly dynamic, distributed, and nontransparent nature of cloud services make the trust management in cloud environments a significant challenge. According to researchers at Berkeley, trust and security is ranked one of the top 10 obstacles for the adoption of cloud computing. Indeed, Service-Level Agreements (SLAs) alone are inadequate to establish trust between cloud consumers and providers because of its unclear and inconsistent clauses. Consumers' feedback is a good source to assess the overall trustworthiness of cloud services. Several researchers have recognized the significance of trust management and proposed solutions to assess and manage trust based on feedbacks collected from participants. In reality, it is not unusual that a cloud service experiences malicious behaviors (e.g., collusion or Sybil attacks) from its users. This system focuses on improving trust management in cloud environments by proposing novel ways to ensure the credibility of trust feedbacks. In particular, we distinguish the following key issues of the trust management in cloud environments:

# Consumers' Privacy

The adoption of cloud computing raise privacy concerns. Consumers can have dynamic interactions with cloud providers, which may involve sensitive information. There are several cases of privacy breaches such as leaks of sensitive information (e.g., date of birth and address) or behavioral information (e.g., with whom the consumer interacted, the kind of cloud services the consumer showed interest, etc.). Undoubtedly, services which involve consumers' data (e.g., interaction histories) should preserve their privacy.

### Cloud Services Protection

It is not unusual that a cloud service experiences attacks from its users. Attackers can disadvantage a cloud service by giving multiple misleading feedbacks (i.e., collusion attacks) or by creating several accounts (i.e., Sybil attacks). Indeed, the detection of such malicious behaviors poses several challenges. Firstly, new users join the cloud



(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

### Vol. 4, Issue 2, February 2016

environment and old users leave around the clock. This consumer dynamism makes the detection of malicious behaviors (e.g., feedback collusion) a significant challenge. Secondly, users may have multiple accounts for a particular cloud service, which makes it difficult to detect Sybil attacks. Finally, it is difficult to predict when malicious behaviors occur (i.e., strategic VS. occasional behaviors).

### • Trust Management Service's Availability

A trust management service (TMS) provides an interface be tween users and cloud services for effective trust management. However, guaranteeing the availability of TMS is a difficult problem due to the unpredictable number of users and the highly dynamic nature of the cloud environment. Approaches that require understanding of users' interests and capabilities through similarity measurements or operational availability measurements (i.e., uptime to the total time) are inappropriate in cloud environments. TMS should be adaptive and highly scalable to be functional in cloud environments.

### A. Design Overview

In this system, we overview the design and the implementation of CloudArmor (CLOud consUmers creDibility Assessment & tRust manageMent of clOud seRvices): a framework for reputation-based trust management in cloud environments. In CloudArmor, trust is delivered as a service (TaaS) where TMS spans several distributed nodes to manage feedbacks in a decentralized way. CloudArmor exploits techniques to identify credible feedbacks from malicious ones. In a nutshell, the salient features of CloudArmor are:

### • Zero-Knowledge Credibility Proof Protocol (ZKC2P)

We introduce ZKC2P that not only preserves the consumers' privacy, but also enables the TMS to prove the credibility of a particular consumer's feedback. We propose that the Identity Management Service (IdM) can help TMS in measuring the credibility of trust feedbacks without breaching consumers' privacy. Anonymization techniques are exploited to protect users from privacy breaches in users' identity or interactions. • A Credibility Model. The credibility of feedbacks plays an important role in the trust management service's performance. Therefore, we propose several metrics for the feedback collusion detection including the Feedback Density and Occasional Feedback Collusion. These metrics distinguish misleading feedbacks from malicious users. It also has the ability to detect strategic and occasional behaviors of collusion attacks (i.e., attackers who intend to manipulate the trust results by giving multiple trust feedbacks to a certain cloud servicein a long or short period of time). In addition, we propose several metrics for the Sybil attacks detection including the Multi-Identity Recognition and Occasional Sybil Attacks. These metrics allow TMS to identify misleading feedbacks from Sybil attacks.

### • An Availability Model

High availability is an important requirement to the trust management service. Thus, we propose to spread several distributed nodes to manage feedbacks given by users in a decentralized way. Load balancing techniques are exploited to share the workload, thereby always maintaining a desired availability level. The number of TMS nodes is determined through an operational power metric. Replication techniques are exploited to minimize the impact of crashing TMS instances. The number of replicas for each node is determined through a replication determination metric that we introduce. This metric exploits particle filtering techniques to precisely predict the availability of each node.

### B. The CloudArmor Framework

The CloudArmor framework is based on the service oriented architecture (SOA), which delivers trust as a service. SOA and Web services are one of the most important enabling technologies for cloud computing in the sense that resources (e.g., infrastructures, platforms, and software) are exposed in clouds as services. In particular, the trust management service spans several distributed nodes that expose interfaces so that users can give their feedbacks or inquire the trust results. Figure 1 depicts the framework, which consists of three different layers, namely the Cloud Service Provider Layer, the Trust Management Service Layer, and the Cloud Service Consumer Layer. The Cloud Service Provider Layer. This layer consists of different cloud service providers who offer one or several cloud services, i.e., IaaS (Infrastructure as a Service), PaaS (Platform as a Service), and SaaS (Software as a Service), publicly on the



(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

### Vol. 4, Issue 2, February 2016

Web (more details about cloud services models and designs can be found). These cloud services are accessible through Web portals and indexed on Web search engines such as Google, Yahoo, and Baidu. Interactions for this layer are considered as cloud service interaction with users and TMS, and cloud services advertisements where providers are able to advertise their services on the Web. The Trust Management Service Layer. This layer consists of several distributed TMS nodes which are hosted in multiple cloud environments in different geographical areas.

These TMS nodes expose interfaces so that users can give their feedback or inquire the trust results in a decentralized way. Interactions for this layer include: i) cloud service interaction with cloud service providers, ii) service advertisement to advertise the trust as a service to users through the Internet, iii) cloud service discovery through the Internet to allow users to assess the trust of new cloud services, and iv) Zero-Knowledge Credibility Proof Protocol (ZKC2P) interactions enabling TMS to prove the credibility of a particular consumer's feedback. The Cloud Service Consumer Layer. Finally, this layer consists of different users who use cloud services. For example, a new startup that has limited funding can consume cloud services (e.g., hosting their services in Amazon S3).

Interactions for this layer include: i) service discovery where users are able to discover new cloud services and other services through the Internet, ii) trust and service interactions where users are able to give their feedback or retrieve the trust results of a particular cloud service, and iii) registration where users establish their identity through registering their credentials in IdM before using TMS. Our framework also exploits a Web crawling approach for automatic cloud services discovery, where cloud services are automatically discovered on the Internet and stored in a cloud services repository. Moreover, our framework contains an Identity Management Service (see Figure 1) which is responsible for the registration where users register their credentials before using TMS and proving the credibility of a particular consumer's feedback through ZKC2P.

#### **II. RELATED WORK**

According to Hatman: Intra-Cloud Trust Management for Hadoop - S. M. Khan and K. W. Hamlen, the authors quoted on Data and computation integrity and security are major concerns for users of cloud computing facilities. Many production-level clouds optimistically assume that all cloud nodes are equally trustworthy when dispatching jobs; jobs are dispatched based on node load, not reputation. This increases their vulnerability to attack, since compromising even one node suffices to corrupt the integrity of many distributed computations. This paper presents and evaluates Hatman: the first full-scale, data-centric, reputation-based trust management system for Hadoop clouds. Hatman dynamically assesses node integrity by comparing job replica outputs for consistency. This yields agreement feedback for a trust manager based on EigenTrust. Low overhead and high scalability is achieved by formulating both consistency-checking and trust management as secure cloud computations; thus, the cloud's distributed computing power is leveraged to strengthen its security. Experiments demonstrate that with feedback from only 100 jobs, Hatman attains over 90% accuracy when 25% of the Hadoop cloud is malicious.

According to Privacy, Security and Trust in Cloud Computing - S. Pearson, the authors quoted on, Cloud computing refers to the underlying infrastructure for an emerging model of service provision that has the advantage of reducing cost by sharing computing and storage resources, combined with an on-demand provisioning mechanism relying on a pay-per-use business model. These new features have a direct impact on information technology (IT) budgeting but also affect traditional security, trust and privacy mechanisms. The advantages of cloud computing—its ability to scale rapidly, store data remotely and share services in a dynamic environment—can become disadvantages in maintaining a level of assurance sufficient to sustain confidence in potential customers. Some core traditional mechanisms for addressing privacy (such as model contracts) are no longer flexible or dynamic enough, so new approaches need to be developed to fit this new paradigm. In this chapter, we assess how security, trust and privacy issues occur in the context of cloud computing and discuss ways in which they may be addressed.

According to Trust Mechanisms for Cloud Computing - J. Huang and D. M. Nicol, the authors quoted on, Trust is a critical factor in cloud computing; in present practice it depends largely on perception of reputation, and self assessment by providers of cloud services. We begin this paper with a survey of existing mechanisms for establishing trust, and comment on their limitations. We then address those limitations by proposing more rigorous mechanisms based on evidence, attribute certification, and validation, and conclude by suggesting a framework for integrating



(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

### Vol. 4, Issue 2, February 2016

various trust mechanisms together to reveal chains of trust in the cloud.

According to Trusted Cloud Computing with Secure Resources and Data Coloring - K. Hwang and D. Li, the authors quoted on, Trust and security have prevented businesses from fully accepting cloud platforms. To protect clouds, providers must first secure virtualized data center resources, uphold user privacy, and preserve data integrity. The authors suggest using a trust-overlay network over multiple data centers to implement a reputation system for establishing trust between service providers and data owners. Data coloring and software watermarking techniques protect shared data objects and massively distributed software modules. These techniques safeguard multi-way authentications, enable single sign-on in the cloud, and tighten access control for sensitive data in both public and private clouds.

According to A View of Cloud Computing - M. Armbrust, A. Fox, R. Griffith, A. Joseph, R. Katz, the authors quoted on, Cloud computing, the long-held dream of computing as a utility, has the potential to transform a large part of the IT industry, making software even more attractive as a service and shaping the way IT hardware is designed and purchased. Developers with innovative ideas for new Internet services no longer require the large capital outlays in hardware to deploy their service or the human expense to operate it. They need not be concerned about overprovisioning for a service whose popularity does not meet their predictions, thus wasting costly resources, or underprovisioning for one that becomes wildly popular, thus missing potential customers and revenue. Moreover, companies with large batch-oriented tasks can get results as quickly as their programs can scale, since using 1,000 servers for one hour costs no more than using one server for 1,000 hours. This elasticity of resources, without paying a premium for large scale, is unprecedented in the history of IT. As a result, cloud computing is a popular topic for blogging and white papers and has been featured in the title of workshops, conferences, and even magazines. Nevertheless, confusion remains about exactly what it is and when it's useful, causing Oracle's CEO Larry Ellison to vent his frustration: "The interesting thing about cloud computing is that we've redefined cloud computing to include everything that we already do.... I don't understand what we would do differently in the light of cloud computing other than change the wording of some of our ads."

According to Towards a Trust Management System for Cloud Computing - S. Habib, S. Ries, and M. Muhlhauser, the authors quoted on, Cloud computing provides cost-efficient opportunities for enterprises by offering a variety of dynamic, scalable, and shared services. Usually, cloud providers provide assurances by specifying technical and functional descriptions in Service Level Agreements (SLAs) for the services they offer. The descriptions in SLAs are not consistent among the cloud providers even though they offer services with similar functionality. Therefore, customers are not sure whether they can identify a trustworthy cloud provider only based on its SLA. To support the customers in reliably identifying trustworthy cloud providers, we propose a multi-faceted Trust Management (TM) system architecture for a cloud computing marketplace. This system provides means to identify the trustworthy cloud providers in terms of different attributes (e.g., security, performance, compliance) assessed by multiple sources and roots of trust information.

#### III. PROPOSED APPROACH

The CloudArmor framework is based on the service oriented architecture (SOA), which delivers trust as a service. SOA and Web services are one of the most important enabling technologies for cloud computing in the sense that resources (e.g., infrastructures, platforms, and software) are exposed in clouds as services. In particular, the trust management service spans several distributed nodes that expose interfaces so that users can give their feedbacks or inquire the trust results. This proposed system depicts the framework, which consists of three different layers, namely the Cloud Service Provider Layer, the Trust Management Service Layer, and the Cloud Service Consumer Layer. The Cloud Service Provider Layer.



(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Vol. 4, Issue 2, February 2016



**Fig.1. System Architecture** 

This layer consists of different cloud service providers who offer one or several cloud services, i.e., IaaS (Infrastructure as a Service), PaaS (Platform as a Service), and SaaS (Software as a Service), publicly on the Web (more details about cloud services models and designs can be found). These cloud services are accessible through Web portals and indexed on Web search engines such as Google, Yahoo, and Baidu. Interactions for this layer are considered as cloud service interaction with users and TMS, and cloud services advertisements where providers are able to advertise their services on the Web. The Trust Management Service Layer consists of several distributed TMS nodes which are hosted in multiple cloud environments in different geographical areas. These TMS nodes expose interfaces so that users can give their feedback or inquire the trust results in a decentralized way. Interactions for this layer include: i) cloud service interaction with cloud service providers, ii) service advertisement to advertise the trust as a service to users through the Internet, iii) cloud service discovery through the Internet to allow users to assess the trust of new cloud services, and iv) Zero-Knowledge Credibility Proof Protocol (ZKC2P) interactions enabling TMS to prove the credibility of a particular consumer's feedback.

The Cloud Service Consumer Layer. Finally, this layer consists of different users who use cloud services. For example, a new startup that has limited funding can consume cloud services (e.g., hosting their services in Amazon S3). Interactions for this layer include: i) service discovery where users are able to discover new cloud services and other services through the Internet, ii) trust and service interactions where users are able to give their feedback or retrieve the trust results of a particular cloud service, and iii) registration where users establish their identity through registering their credentials in IdM before using TMS. Our framework also exploits a Web crawling approach for automatic cloud services repository. Moreover, our framework contains an Identity Management Service which is responsible for the registration where users register their credentials before using TMS and proving the credibility of a particular consumer's feedback through ZKC2P.



(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

# Vol. 4, Issue 2, February 2016

## **IV. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS**

Supporting Re Managemen	Coupporting Reputation Based Trust Management for Cloud Services				
	New User Registration				
Home	Name R Raghul				
<u>Administrator</u>	Mail-ID jagul@gmail.com				
User	Mobile 8347837483 I				
Registration	City Pudukkottai				
	County Indexed				

# **Fig.2 User Registration**



# Fig.3 Administrator Login



(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Vol. 4, Issue 2, February 2016

Supporting	Reputation Bo	used Trust	Н	6
Managem		Services 1	TH	
		Domain Master		
Home	Domain-ID	6		
Domain Master	Product Domain	Submit		
Brand Master	DOMAIN	CREATED ON		
Product Domains	COMPUTERS	01-Jun-2015 03:19:25 PM	Select	
Priority Master	MOBILES	01-Jun-2015 03:19:29 PM	Select	
	BAGS	01-Jun-2015 03:19:33 PM	Select	
Attribute Master	PEN	01-Jun-2015 03:19:38 PM	Select	
View Reviews	SHOES	01-Jun-2015 03:19:53 PM	Select	
Saraut				

**Fig.4 Domain Master** 

_	Product Domain Master				
Home	Product-ID	3			
Domain Master	Product	τv			
	Brand	ONIDA •			
Brand Master	Category	TELEVISION .			
Product Domains	Price	25000			
Priority Master		32 Inch Big Screen Flatron Model			
Attribute Master	Overview				
View Reviews					
Sense		32 X 8 Display SWI Thickness			
	Specifications	40 Inch Flatron Color Display			
	Product Image	Choose file 5 jpg			
		Subr Reset			

**Fig.5 Product Domains** 

V. MODULES

The overall modules are:

- 1) Consumers' Privacy
- 2) Cloud Services Protection
- 3) Trust Management Service's Availability
- 4) High Availability
- 5) Feedback Density

## 1) Consumers' Privacy

The adoption of cloud computing raise privacy concerns. Consumers can have dynamic interactions with cloud providers, which may involve sensitive information. There are several cases of privacy breaches such as leaks of sensitive information (e.g., date of birth and address) or behavioral information (e.g., with whom the consumer



(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

### Vol. 4, Issue 2, February 2016

interacted, the kind of cloud services the consumer showed interest, etc.). Undoubtedly, services which involve consumers' data (e.g., interaction histories) should preserve their privacy.

#### 2) Cloud Services Protection

It is not unusual that a cloud service experiences attacks from its users. Attackers can disadvantage a cloud service by giving multiple misleading feedbacks (i.e., collusion attacks) or by creating several accounts (i.e., Sybil attacks). Indeed, the detection of such malicious behaviors poses several challenges. Firstly, new users join the cloud environment and old users leave around the clock. This consumer dynamism makes the detection of malicious behaviors (e.g., feedback collusion) a significant challenge. Secondly, users may have multiple accounts for a particular cloud service, which makes it difficult to detect Sybil attacks. Finally, it is difficult to predict when malicious behaviors occur (i.e., strategic VS. occasional behaviors).

#### 3) Trust Management Service's Availability

A trust management service (TMS) provides an interface between users and cloud services for effective trust management. However, guaranteeing the availability of TMS is a difficult problem due to the unpredictable number of users and the highly dynamic nature of the cloud environment. Approaches that require understanding of users' interests and capabilities through similarity measurements or operational availability measurements (i.e., uptime to the total time) are inappropriate in cloud environments. TMS should be adaptive and highly scalable to be functional in cloud environments.

### 4) High Availability

High availability is an important requirement to the trust management service. Thus, we propose to spread several distributed nodes to manage feedbacks given by users in a decentralized way. Load balancing techniques are exploited to share the workload, thereby always maintaining a desired availability level. The number of TMS nodes is determined through an operational power metric. Replication techniques are exploited to minimize the impact of crashing TMS instances. The number of replicas for each node is determined through a replication determination metric that we introduce. This metric exploits particle filtering techniques to precisely predict the availability of each node.

#### 5) Feedback Density

Malicious users may give numerous fake feedbacks to manipulate trust results for cloud services (i.e., Self promoting and Slandering attacks). Some researchers suggest that the number of trusted feedbacks can help users to overcome such manipulation where the number of trusted feedbacks gives the evaluator a hint in determining the feedback credibility. However, the number of feedbacks is not enough in determining the credibility of trust feedbacks. For instance, suppose there are two different cloud services sx and sy and the aggregated trust feedbacks of both cloud services are high (i.e., sx has 89% positive feedbacks from 150 feedbacks, sy has 92% positive feedbacks from 150 feedbacks). Intuitively, users should proceed with the cloud service that has the higher aggregated trust feedbacks (e.g., sy in our case). However, a Self-promoting attack might have been performed on cloud service sy , which means sx should have been selected instead. To overcome this problem, we introduce the concept of feedback density to support the determination of credible trust feedbacks. Specifically, we consider the total number of users who give trust feedbacks to a particular cloud service as the feedback mass, the total number of trust feedbacks given to the cloud service as the feedback volume. The feedback volume is influenced by the feedback volume collusion factor which is controlled by a specified volume collusion threshold. This factor regulates the multiple trust feedbacks extent that could collude the overall trusted feedback volume. For instance, if the volume collusion threshold is set to 15 feedbacks, any user c who gives more than 15 feedbacks is considered to be suspicious of involving in feedback volume collusion.

### VI. CONCLUSION

Given the highly dynamic, distributed, and nontransparent nature of cloud services, managing and establishing trust between cloud service users and cloud services remains a significant challenge. Cloud service users' feedback is a good



(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

### Vol. 4, Issue 2, February 2016

source to assess the overall trustworthiness of cloud services. However, malicious users may collaborate together to i) disadvantage a cloud service by giving multiple misleading trust feedbacks (i.e., collusion attacks) or ii) trick users into trusting cloud services that are not trustworthy by creating several accounts and giving misleading trust feedbacks (i.e., Sybil attacks). In this system, we have presented novel techniques that help in detecting reputation based attacks and allowing users to effectively identify trustworthy cloud services. In particular, we introduce a credibility model that not only identifies misleading trust feedbacks from collusion attacks but also detects Sybil attacks no matter these attacks take place in a long or short period of time (i.e., strategic or occasional attacks respectively). We also develop an availability model that maintains the trust management service at a desired level. We have collected a large number of consumer's trust feedbacks given on real-world cloud services (i.e., over 10,000 records) to evaluate our proposed techniques. The experimental results demonstrate the applicability of our approach and show the capability of detecting such malicious behaviors. There are a few directions for our future work. We plan to combine different trust management techniques such as reputation and recommendation to increase the trust results accuracy. Performance optimization of the trust management service is another focus of our future research work.

#### References

[1] S. M. Khan and K. W. Hamlen, "Hatman: Intra-Cloud Trust Management for Hadoop," in Proc. CLOUD'12, 2012.

[2] S. Pearson, "Privacy, Security and Trust in Cloud Computing," in Privacy and Security for Cloud Computing, ser. Computer Communications and Networks, 2013, pp. 3–42.

[3] J. Huang and D. M. Nicol, "Trust Mechanisms for Cloud Computing," Journal of Cloud Computing, vol. 2, no. 1, pp. 1–14, 2013.

[4] K. Hwang and D. Li, "Trusted Cloud Computing with Secure Resources and Data Coloring," IEEE Internet Computing, vol. 14, no. 5, pp. 14–22, 2010.

[5] M. Armbrust, A. Fox, R. Griffith, A. Joseph, R. Katz, A. Konwinski, G. Lee, D. Patterson, A. Rabkin, I. Stoica, and M. Zaharia, "A View of Cloud Computing," Communications of the ACM, vol. 53, no. 4, pp. 50–58, 2010.

[6] S. Habib, S. Ries, and M. Muhlhauser, "Towards a Trust Management System for Cloud Computing," in Proc. of TrustCom'11, 2011.

[7] I. Brandic, S. Dustdar, T. Anstett, D. Schumm, F. Leymann, and R. Konrad, "Compliant Cloud Computing (C3): Architecture and Language Support for User-Driven Compliance Management in Clouds," in Proc. of CLOUD'10, 2010.

[8] W. Conner, A. Iyengar, T. Mikalsen, I. Rouvellou, and K. Nahrstedt, "A Trust Management Framework for Service-Oriented Environments," in Proc. of WWW'09, 2009.