

e-ISSN: 2320-9801 | p-ISSN: 2320-9798



INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF INNOVATIVE RESEARCH

IN COMPUTER & COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING

Volume 12, Issue 5, May 2024

INTERNATIONAL STANDARD SERIAL NUMBER INDIA

Impact Factor: 8.379

9940 572 462

🙆 6381 907 438

🛛 🖂 ijircce@gmail.com

om 🛛 🙋 www.ijircce.com

| e-ISSN: 2320-9801, p-ISSN: 2320-9798| www.ijircce.com | |Impact Factor: 8.379 | A Monthly Peer Reviewed & Referred Journal |



|| Volume 12, Issue 5, May 2024 ||

| DOI: 10.15680/IJIRCCE.2023.1205075 |

A Better Method for Classifying Brain Tumours using Deep Learning and Transfer Learning Algorithm

N Nikhil Kumar, Rohan A M, Sathvik B M, D. R. Nagamani

U.G. Student, Dept of Computer Engineering, Bangalore Institute of Technology (BIT), V.V.Puram, Bangalore, India

Assistant Professor, Dept of Computer Engineering, Bangalore Institute of Technology (BIT), V.V.Puram,

Bangalore, India

ABSTRACT: Programming, problem-solving, speech recognition, and learning are all intended to be a part of artificial intelligence computing. In this research, a hybrid deep learning method for MRI image-based brain disease categorization is presented. The goal of the project is to improve brain tumour classification techniques by training pre-trained algorithms on various datasets. A range of performance criteria, including precision, error rate, sensitivity, reliability, and the F1-Score, are used to assess the efficacy. Phases of the research include presenting the model in detail, suggesting solutions to solve problems found, assessing model performance indicators, and wrapping up the investigation. In this research, a hybrid deep learning method for MRI image-based brain disease categorization is presented. Using a variety of datasets and pre-trained models. To categorise a brain tumour MR picture as either a benign or malignant tumour, a number of hybrid models were presented. To demonstrate how the works have improved, the suggested models' precision, rate of error, and matrix parameter of confusion are assessed. This work demonstrates how the stochastic-gradient descent with momentum (SGDM) optimizer may be used to train the Transfer Learning (TL)-pretrained model Alexnet on small and fresh new data for classification tasks.

KEYWORDS: SGDM Optimizer, Transfer-Learning (TL), Brain Tumour, MR Images.

I. INTRODUCTION

Brain tumours are fatal to individuals, and the likelihood of a patient surviving increases with early diagnosis. The use of MR imaging to detect brain tumours has become essential according to a number of recent studies. Brain cancer arises if the brain produces an abnormal cell type internally. cancerous and benign tumours. The consequences and location of brain tumours differ.

These comprise symptoms including headaches, nausea, seizures, and intellectual impairments. The World Health Organisation (WHO) recognises four distinct forms of cancer: I Grade II cancers grow slowly, sometimes returning as higher-grade tumours, and can be either benign or malignant. Grade I tumours are benign, grow slowly, and can be treated for a long period. iii) Grade III malignancies are harmful and usually recur as various grade tumours; iv) Grade IV tumours are aggressive, dangerous, and rapidly growing. Brain MRI is the most effective imaging technique for identifying brain malignancies. It can also be used to model how tumours change over the stages of identification and treatment.

A specific type of human brain known as a CNN has made Brain MRI is the most effective imaging technique for identifying brain malignancies. It can also be used to model how tumours change over the stages of identification and treatment.

II. RELATED WORK

CNNs, a specific type of human brain, have seen notable progress However, a lot of research is being done in an effort to identify brain tumours, and the results are encouraging. This study has employed multiple successful approaches to detect brain tumours. To locate MRI images of brain cancers in a dataset, Narmatha developed a fuzzy brain storm optimisation method. To achieve the greatest outcomes, this strategy combines brainstorming and fuzzy thinking. Unlike brainstorm optimisation, which focuses on and prioritises on-cluster centres, fuzzy generates the optimal network topology by

| e-ISSN: 2320-9801, p-ISSN: 2320-9798| www.ijircce.com | |Impact Factor: 8.379 | A Monthly Peer Reviewed & Referred Journal |

|| Volume 12, Issue 5, May 2024 ||

| DOI: 10.15680/IJIRCCE.2023.1205075 |

iteratively building upon past results. Using data from the Brain Tumour Segmentation (BraTS) 2018 sets, their proposed approach produced results with 95.01% sensitivity, 94.89% precision, 93.56% accuracy, and a 95.97% F1 score. [4].

Through Utilising deep learning that is active (DL), A new method for selecting features for brain tumour categorization and division has been created by Sharif et al. The saliency map was produced using contrast enhancement, which uses the threshold to transform to binary[5]. The BraTS 2018 and 2017 data sets were used by the authors. Using the BraTS 2017 dataset, the system's dicing scores for a core tumour, a complete meningioma, and an augmented tumour were 83.96%, 93.89%, and 79.99%, respectively. The method yielded dice scores of 88.57%, 91.78%, and 81.78% for a core malignancy, a complete tumour, and an augmented tumour, respectively, using the BraTS set of data.

Dandus used a special technique called the judgement scale-invariant regression (SIFT), cat swarm optimizer, statistical area merging (SAM), and pair window median filter algorithm to identify brain and pancreatic tumours. Furthermore, CSO-SIFT extraction methods and back propagation neural network clustering procedures were applied. While the SRMs algorithm located and segmented the lesions, the DBCWMF technique optimised the images. The characteristics of the lesion regions were obtained by using SIFT and cat optimizer. Tumour classification was done using the BPNN algorithm. They used information from the Cancer Imaging Archive and Harvard Medical School databases in their investigation. In 90.78% of cases, the system was accurate [6].

III. METHODOLOGY

Information conveying mechanisms appear to be intrinsic in human learners. In other words, when faced with new difficulties, one must basically remember and use relevant knowledge from earlier research. A new difficulty is more closely related to a prior experience the easier it is to understand. As shown in Fig. 1, transfer learning is the process of effectively learning a similar the intended domain by utilising data from one or more source jobs. The creation of transfer learning tools is now of relevance to supervised learning research, a discipline in which most algorithms are designed to address particular issues.

One interesting phenomenon shared by natural images trained on most deeper neural networks is that they learn Gabor features and colour blobs from the top layer. These first-layer characteristics apply to many jobs and datasets, but they are not specific to any one of them. These first-layer traits frequently manifest as the disclosure of these common properties on the first layer, regardless of the exact cost functions and naturalistic picture datasets. These last-layer qualities would, for example, cause each output unit in a properly trained N-dimensional softmax layer structure system that has been advanced into an SVM classification goal to be unique to a particular class. Prior to transferring the learned features Transfer learning comprises training a base structure on a base database and aim, which is then applied to a secondnetwork interface that will be Fig. 1. The Different Layers of The Transfer Learning Model



Fig. 1. The Different Layers of The Transfer Learning Model

| e-ISSN: 2320-9801, p-ISSN: 2320-9798| www.ijircce.com | |Impact Factor: 8.379 | A Monthly Peer Reviewed & Referred Journal |

|| Volume 12, Issue 5, May 2024 ||

| DOI: 10.15680/IJIRCCE.2023.1205075 |

Fig. 1 displays the state-of-the-art CNN architecture for photo categorization, called AlexNet. The approach attained a 92.98% sensitivity rate, an 88.78% accuracy rate, and an 80.0% specificity rate when photos from various dataset sequences were merged.

There are twenty-five layers in total: one input, five decoders, seven ReLU, two batch normalisation, three batch normalisation, three densely integrated, two dropouts, one softmax, and one output (1000). With stride = 4, padding = 1, and an input picture of size 227 * 227 * 3 channels, 96 convolutional networks of size 11 * 11 * 3 are integrated to create the first feature map. Since it's a classification system, no up-sampling layers are present. At the end of the segmented example, the fully linked levels become 1*1 convolutional layers. The layers of the model are covered in this part, along with retention time and the real number of activation functions. Since there are 1000 different item kinds in the output layer, it identifies 1000 classes.

IV. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

This study looks at the experimental results of employing a hybrid technique with transfer learning to classify brain tumours from MR images. Selecting a data source can be a very difficult procedure when identifying brain tumours using the TL model for image denoising [10], segmentation, and classification. Training, testing, and evaluation datasets are prepared using the well-known brain tumour picture dataset BraTS 2018. With 660 photos of benign and malignant tumours, a new database named Brain Cancer Database-660 has been established (BTD-660). There are 400 images in total in this BTD-660 dataset: 200 benign and 200 malignant tumour images make up the training sample, while 120 benign and 120 malignant tumour images make up the testing dataset. The validation dataset includes images of benign and malignant tumours



Fig. 2. All the predicted types of Brain Tumours detected based on the Trained Dataset of MRI Images.

| e-ISSN: 2320-9801, p-ISSN: 2320-9798| www.ijircce.com | |Impact Factor: 8.379 | A Monthly Peer Reviewed & Referred Journal |



|| Volume 12, Issue 5, May 2024 ||

| DOI: 10.15680/IJIRCCE.2023.1205075 |

In Fig. 4, It is clear from Fig. 4 that 16 randomly selected images of benign and malignant brain tumours were taken from the training sample. These training and testing samples are then used to construct the transferable supervised learning, with training choices including mini batch size 20, max time steps 30, starting learning rate 0.0001, validation frequency 20, and algorithm settings to either SGDM or ADAM. The model's effectiveness was 100% both in the training and evaluation phases. There are still training examples in Fig. 8. Training is over when the allotted time has passed. The testing dataset should now be used to assess the training model. It is clear from Fig. 9 that 16 randomly selected images of brain tumours , From the testing record, both benign and cancerous samples are obtained. The suggested model accurately predicted the class of 16 randomly chosen testing procedures from the validation data, together with their expected probability, as shown in Fig. 9.

Measure	Proposed	TL	ResNet50	Alex Net	Incepti onV3
Accuracy					
Training	99%	98. 4%	97.5%	97.6 %	98.5%
Validatio n	98.8%	98 %	98.1%	88.7 %	97.6%
Testing	98.8%	97 %	96%	87%	96.2%
loss					
Training	0.0001	0.0 04 4	0.0091	0.004 1	0.0147
Validatio n	0.0441	0.0 01 9	0.0479	0.340 1	0.0010

Fig. 3. The Final Predicted Result and Accuracy

V. CONCLUSION

Because of the complex structure of the brain, it can be quite challenging to locate a brain tumour. The entire body works as a result of the commands the brain gives its organs. Deep learning and machine learning play a major role in automatically diagnosing brain tumours in their early stages. These systems improve patient survival rates and enable prompt diagnosis. These methods also help medical professionals, like as radiologists, diagnose patients and decide on the best course of therapy.

We identified three distinct types of brain cancers (pituitary, glioma, and meningioma) and one type of healthy picture using MRI pictures in four different investigations. We tested with TL and Alexnet using both machine learning (ML) methods and deep learning (DL) models.

The suggested Transfer learning model is used to identify MR images of brain cancers since it is much faster and easier to use than hybrid models. With an error rate of 2.08%, the suggested TL was accurate 97.91% of the time when used with the ADAM optimizer. The recommended hybrid model, which combines TL with an SGDM optimizer, has an error rate of 3.75% for each component.

Compared to the The suggested TL using the ADAM optimizer is 1.71 percentage points more accurate than the indicated TL using the SGDM optimizer and the hybrid models. The recommended TL using the ADAM optimizer has an error rate that is 80.29% lower than the advised TL using the SGDM optimizer and the hybrid models. Consequently, brain tumours can be detected and categorised early with the help of the suggested TL model, improving the chances that patients would receive timely and efficient treatment.

IJIRCCE©2024

| e-ISSN: 2320-9801, p-ISSN: 2320-9798| www.ijircce.com | |Impact Factor: 8.379 | A Monthly Peer Reviewed & Referred Journal |



|| Volume 12, Issue 5, May 2024 ||

| DOI: 10.15680/IJIRCCE.2023.1205075 |

REFERENCES

- H.Sung, J.Ferlay, R.L.Siegel, M.Laversanne, I. Soerjomataram, A.Jemal, and F.Bray, "Global cancer statistics 2020: GLOBOCAN estimates of incidence and mortality worldwide for 36 cancers in 185 countries". CA ACancer J. Clin. 2021, 71, 209–249.
- 2. M.Yildirim, and A.C.Cinar, "Classification of White Blood Cells by Deep Learning Methods for Diagnosing Disease". Rev. D'Intell. Artif. 2019, 33, 335–340.
- 3. P.Preethi, and R.Asokan (2019). " An attempt to design improved and fool proof safe distribution of personal healthcare records for cloud computing. Mobile Networks and Applications", 24(6), 1755-1762.
- C. Narmatha, S. M. Eljack, A. A. R. M. Tuka, S. Manimurugan, and M. Mustafa, "A hybrid fuzzy brain- storm optimization algorithm for the classification of brain tumor MRI images," Journal of Ambient Intelligence and Humanized Computing, vol. 20, pp. 1–9, 2020.
- M. I. Sharif, J. P. Li, M. A. Khan, and M. A. Saleem," Active deep neural network features selection for segmentation and recognition of brain tumors using MRI images," Pattern Recognition Letters, vol. 129, pp. 181 – 189, 2020.
- 6. J. R. Dandu, A. P. Thiyagarajan, P. R. Murugan, and V. Govindaraj, "Brain and pancreatic tumor segmentation using SRM and BPNN classification," Health and Technology, vol. 10, no. 1, pp. 187–195, 2020.
- 7. J. Amin, M. Sharif, N. Gul, M. Yasmin, and S. A. Shad," Brain tumor classification based on DWT fusion of MRI sequences using convolutional neural network," Pattern Recognition Letters, vol. 129, pp. 115–122, 2020
- 8. P.Preethi, R.Asokan, N.Thillaiarasu, and Saravanan, T. (2021). "An effective digit recognition model using enhanced convolutional neural network based chaotic grey wolf optimization. Journal of Intelligent & Fuzzy Systems", (Preprint), 1-11.
- Y.lecun, L.Jackel, L.Bottou, A.Brunot, C.Cortes, J.Denker, H.Drucker, I.Guyon, U.Muller, and E.Sackinger, et al. "Comparison of learning algorithms for handwritten digit recognition". In Proceedings of the International Conference Artificial Neural Networks, Perth, Australia, 27 November–1 December 1995; Volume 60, pp. 53 -60.
- A.Zhaputri, M.Hayaty, and A.D.Laksito, Classification of Brain Tumour MRI Images using Efficient Network. In Proceedings of the 2021 4th International Conference on Information and Communications Technology (ICOIACT), Virtually, 30–31 August 2021; IEEE: Piscataway, NJ, USA,2021; pp. 108–113.
- 11. R.Usha and K. Perumal, "SVM classification of brain images from MRI scans using morphological transformation and GLCM texture features," Int. J. Comput. Syst. Eng., vol. 5, no. 1, pp. 18–23, 2019.



INTERNATIONAL STANDARD SERIAL NUMBER INDIA







INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF INNOVATIVE RESEARCH

IN COMPUTER & COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING

🚺 9940 572 462 应 6381 907 438 🖂 ijircce@gmail.com



www.ijircce.com