

ISSN(O): 2320-9801 ISSN(P): 2320-9798



International Journal of Innovative Research in Computer and Communication Engineering

(A Monthly, Peer Reviewed, Refereed, Scholarly Indexed, Open Access Journal)



Impact Factor: 8.771

Volume 13, Issue 4, April 2025

⊕ www.ijircce.com 🖂 ijircce@gmail.com 🖄 +91-9940572462 🕓 +91 63819 07438

DOI: 10.15680/IJIRCCE.2025.1304055

www.ijircce.com | e-ISSN: 2320-9801, p-ISSN: 2320-9798| Impact Factor: 8.771| ESTD Year: 2013|



International Journal of Innovative Research in Computer and Communication Engineering (IJIRCCE)

(A Monthly, Peer Reviewed, Refereed, Scholarly Indexed, Open Access Journal)

Chronic Kidney Diseases Prediction using Machine Learning Techniques

Priyanka K, Dr. C. Anbarasa

III- B.Sc., Department of Computer Science with Data Analytics, Dr. N.G.P. Arts and Science College,

Coimbatore, India

Professor, Department of Computer Science with Data Analytics, Dr. N.G.P Arts and Science College,

Coimbatore, India

ABSTRACT: Chronic Kidney Disease (CKD) is a growing global health concern, leading to high mortality rates and significant healthcare costs. Early detection and prediction of CKD can improve patient outcomes and reduce healthcare burdens. This study explores the use of machine learning (ML) techniques to predict the onset and progression of CKD. A variety of ML algorithms, including decision trees, support vector machines, random forests, and neural networks, were evaluated using patient data such as demographic information, medical history, and laboratory test results. The dataset was pre-processed to handle missing values, normalize features, and perform feature selection. The models were trained and tested on a dataset of CKD patients, and their predictive performance was evaluated using metrics such as accuracy, precision, recall, and F1-score. Results demonstrate that machine learning approaches can offer a reliable and efficient method for predicting CKD, with certain models outperforming others in terms of prediction accuracy. This study emphasizes the potential of ML in clinical decision-making and highlights the importance of early diagnosis for better management of CKD, ultimately improving patient care and reducing the burden on healthcare systems.

KEYWORDS: Chronic Kidney Disease (CKD), Machine Learning (ML), Early Detection, Prediction Models, Decision Trees, Support Vector Machines (SVM), Random Forest.

I. INTRODUCTION

Chronic kidney disease (CKD) is a global public health problem affecting approximately 10% of the world's population. The percentage of prevalence of CKD in China is 10.8%, and the range of prevalence is 10%-15% in the United States. This disease is characterized by a slow deterioration in renal function, which eventually causes a complete loss of renal function. CKD does not show obvious symptoms in its early stages. Therefore, the disease may not be detected until the kidney loses about 25% of its function. In addition, CKD has high morbidity and mortality, with a global impact on the human body. It can induce the occurrence of cardiovascular disease. CKD is a progressive and irreversible pathologic syndrome. Hence, the prediction and diagnosis of CKD in its early stages is quite essential, it may be able to enable patients to receive timely treatment to ameliorate the progression of the disease.Kidney failure treatment targets to control the causes and decelerate the advance of therenal failure. If treatments are not enough, patient will be in the end-stage of renal failure and the last treatment is dialysis or renal transplant. At present, 4 out of every 1000 person in the United Kingdom are suffering from renal failure and more than 300,000 American patients in the end-stage of kidney disease survive with dialysis. Moreover, according to the National Health Service kidney disease is more frequent in South Asia, Africa, than in the other countries. Due to detecting the chronic kidney failure is not feasible until the kidney failure is completely progressed; thus, realizing the kidney failure in the first stage is extremely important. Through early diagnosis, the act of each kidney can be taken under control, which leads to decreasing the risk of irreversible consequences. For this reason, routine check-up and early diagnosis are crucial to the patients, for they can prevent vital risks of renal failure and related diseases. Blood test is one of the steps to detect CKD.

II. RELATED WORK

This study explored the application of machine learning algorithms, such as decision trees, support vector machines (SVM), and random forests, to predict CKD from a dataset containing clinical attributes like age, blood pressure,



specific gravity, and albumin levels. The authors found that SVM outperformed other models in terms of prediction accuracy, showing its potential for early CKD detection. The study highlighted the importance of data preprocessing and feature selection in improving model performance. This work underscores the utility of machine learning in clinical settings to identify at-risk patients earlier than traditional methods.

This paper compared several classification algorithms, including logistic regression, SVM, and artificial neural networks, to predict CKD. The researchers used a dataset containing demographic information, medical history, and laboratory data. The study showed that neural networks yielded the highest accuracy and provided insights into the relationships between different factors contributing to CKD. The paper also emphasized the need for handling imbalanced datasets through techniques like oversampling to improve the performance of machine learning models.

The reviewed studies demonstrate that machine learning techniques, particularly ensemble methods, neural networks, and support vector machines, show promise for predicting chronic kidney disease. Feature selection and data preprocessing are critical in enhancing the accuracy and reliability of these models. The combination of clinical data with demographic and medical history is often emphasized, as it can provide a more holistic view of a patient's health, leading to better prediction outcomes. Moreover, the integration of advanced deep learning models and hybrid systems is paving the way for more sophisticated and accurate CKD prediction systems. These approaches hold great potential for assisting clinicians in early diagnosis and treatment planning for CKD patients, ultimately improving patient outcomes.

III. METHODOLOGY

In this study, the K-Nearest Neighbour classification algorithm and Naïve Bayes classifier algorithm were used to diagnose Chronic Kidney Disease. To diagnose Chronic Kidney Disease, two essential types of feature selection methods namely, wrapper and filter approaches were chosen to reduce the dimension of the Chronic Kidney Disease dataset. After selecting features from our dataset, we used a variety of machine learning models to determine the best classification models.

This paper investigates how CKD can be diagnosed by using machine learning (ML)techniques. ML algorithms have been a driving force in detection of abnormalities in different physiological data, and are, with a great success, employed in different classification tasks. In the present study, a number of different ML classifiers are experimentally validated to a real data set, taken from the Machine Learning Repository, and our findings are compared with the findings reported in the recent literature.

The results are quantitatively and qualitatively discussed and our findings reveal that the Hybrid algorithm Named as Bagged tree classifier and Random Forest classifier achieves the near-optimal performances on the identification of CKD subjects.

For this project, our primary packages are going to be Pandas to work with data, NumPy to work with arrays, scikitlearn for data split, building and evaluating the classification models. Let's import all of our primary packages into our python environment.

IV. EXPERIMENTAL RESULT

This testing involves evaluating whether the model's predictions align with medical standards and whether healthcare professionals can interpret and trust the results. It includes functional validation, ensuring that the model correctly classifies CKD and non-CKD cases, and usability testing to confirm that the interface and outputs are clear and actionable for doctors and clinicians. Performance benchmarks such as accuracy, precision, and recall are assessed to verify the model's reliability. Additionally, real patient data or expert reviews may be used to validate the system's effectiveness in clinical scenarios.

www.ijircce.com | e-ISSN: 2320-9801, p-ISSN: 2320-9798| Impact Factor: 8.771| ESTD Year: 2013|



International Journal of Innovative Research in Computer and Communication Engineering (IJIRCCE)

(A Monthly, Peer Reviewed, Refereed, Scholarly Indexed, Open Access Journal)

C (G 127.0.0.1:5000/login	× +					P	<u>क</u> व व	- • •
			-					
				Lo	gin			
			Use	name				
			adr	nin				
			Pass	word				
				Lo	gin			
A Description Descripte								
Prediction Results	× +							~ •
Prediction Results O 127.0.0.1:5000/upload	× +					A*	<u>के</u> 0 ।	 \$= 💭
Prediction Results ① 127.0.0.1-5000/upload	× +					A,	<u>के</u> द ।	 \$= 😱
	* +					A ^s	☆) G	- 0 12 -
	x +		P	redictio	n Results	٨٩	छ े छ ।	- 0 (= 0
	x +		P	Prediction	n Results	A ^N	छे ।	- 0 \$= 0
	× +		P	Prediction	n Results	A	\$ 6	- 0 \$= 😱
Prediction Results O 127.00.15000/uplose	x +		P	Prediction	n Results oad CSV	Α,	☆ (3)	- 0 \$= ()
	x + d ageblood_pre	essure specific_grav	F	Prediction	n Results oad CSV	∧^ ∧	\$ G	- 0 ¢= 0
	x + d ageblood.pro 0.71 173 4.74 173	essure specific_grav 1.006662	P vity albun 3	Prediction	an Results oad CSV lucose_random blood_urea.serum_creati 64970206_10819991 35.261400_1037584	nine hemoglobin packed 7.659736 4		- o \$2 ()
	x + d 0 71 173 1 34 151 2 80 117	essure specific_grav 1.006662 1.023528 1.01362	vity albun 3 4 4		Decomposition of the second se	nine hemoglobin packed 7.669736 48 15.773505 24 10.894049 28	<u>क</u> े 3 ।	- c
	 * + d ageblood pre 0 71 173 1 34 151 2 80 117 3 40 79 	essure specific_grav 1.006662 1.023528 1.019362 1.026046	vity albun 3 4 4 4	Prediction Downly nin sugar blood. g 1 288 2 76 1 139 3 196	n Results oad CSV lucose_random blood_urea_serum_creati 64.970206 10.819981 35.261489 14.726584 28.629332 8.758327 56.491537 4.263356	nine hemoglobin packed 7.669736 48 15.773505 24 10.894049 28 12.417610 21	ŵ) C	- c
	x + d ageblood.pr 0 71 173 1 34 151 2 80 117 3 40 79 4 43 151	essure specific_grav 1.006662 1.023528 1.019362 1.026046 1.008494	vity album 3 4 4 4 2	Prediction (2) Downly bin sugar blood, g 1 288 2 76 1 139 3 196 1 212	A CSV ad CSV lucose_random blood_urea.serum_creati 64.970206 10.819981 35.261489 14.726584 28.629332 8.758327 56.491537 4.263356 10.495522 6.385440	nine hemoglobin packed 7.669736 48 15.773505 24 10.894049 28 12.417610 21 16.680058 20	û) C	- c
Predktion Results O 127.0.0.1.5000/uplcos	 * + d ageblood pre 0 71 173 1 34 151 2 80 117 3 40 79 4 43 151 5 22 131 	essure specific_grav 1.006662 1.023528 1.019362 1.026046 1.008494 1.024882	vity album 3 4 4 4 2 3	Image: block gradient strugger Down 1 288 2 2 76 1 3 196 1 1 212 0	Decision of the second	nine hemoglobin packed 7.659736 48 15.773505 24 10.894049 28 12.417610 21 16.680658 20 7.655647 49	\$\$\ C	- o ta n
	* + d ageblood_pre 0 71 173 1 34 151 2 80 117 3 40 79 4 43 151 5 22 131 6 41 120	essure specific_grav 1.006662 1.023528 1.019362 1.026046 1.008494 1.024882 1.010041	vity album 3 4 4 2 3 2	Prediction 2 Down 1 288 2 76 1 139 3 106 1 212 0 279 2 229	Nucose_random blood_urea serum_creati 64.970206 10.819981 35.261489 14.726584 28.62932 8.758327 56.491537 4.263356 10.495522 6.836440 10.689763 9.106634 29.716193 1.559683	nine hemoglobin packed 7.669736 48 15.773505 24 10.894049 28 12.417610 21 16.680658 20 7.665647 49 13.483180 30	ф) с н	- °
	 * + d ageblood_pre 0 71 173 1 34 151 2 80 117 3 40 79 4 43 151 5 22 131 6 41 120 7 72 164 	essure specific_grav 1.006662 1.023528 1.019362 1.026046 1.006494 1.024882 1.010641 1.006991	vity album 3 4 4 2 3 2 0	Prediction C Down 1 288 2 76 1 139 3 196 1 212 0 279 1 222 1 272 1 272	A Results out CSV hucose_random blood_urea_serum_creati 64.970206 10.819981 35.261489 14.726584 28.629332 8.758327 56.491537 4.263356 10.495522 6.385440 10.689763 9.106634 29.716193 1.559683 13.304923 9.523977	nine hemoglobin packed 7.669736 48 15.773505 24 10.894049 28 12.417610 21 16.680058 20 7.6655647 49 13.483180 30 7.741054 47	û) C	- °
Prediction Results 127.00.1.5000/uplcas	x + d ageblood.pre 0 71 173 1 34 151 2 80 117 3 40 79 4 43 151 5 22 131 6 41 120 7 72 164 8 21 98 2 19 69	essure specific grad 1.006662 1.023528 1.023528 1.02646 1.02646 1.024882 1.01044 1.024882 1.01044 1.009091 1.009091 1.009107	vity album 3 4 4 2 3 2 0 4 2	Prediction L2 Downl 12 268 1 276 1 139 1 196 1 212 0 279 2 229 1 272 3 277	A constraints back csv back csv b	nine hemoglobin packed 7.669736 48 15.773505 24 10.894049 28 12.417610 21 16.680658 20 7.665547 49 13.483180 30 7.741054 47 10.754689 31	12) C	- °
Prediction Results 127.0.0.1.5.0000/up/coas	* + d ageblood_pre 0 71 173 1 34 151 2 80 117 3 40 79 4 43 151 5 22 131 6 41 120 7 72 164 8 21 98 9 49 60 10 57 62	essure specific_grav 1.006662 1.023528 1.019362 1.026046 1.008494 1.024882 1.010041 1.009091 1.009107 1.02364 1.02362	vity album 3 4 4 2 3 2 0 4 2 2	Prediction L Down 1 288 2 76 1 139 3 196 1 212 0 279 2 229 1 222 3 217 3 285 1 212	Aucose_random blood_urea_serum_creati 64.970206 10.819981 35.261489 14.726584 28.62932 8.758327 56.491537 4.263356 10.495522 6.836440 10.689763 9.106634 2.9716193 1.559683 13.304923 9.523977 19.722318 14.727079 40.497458 3.256561 8.232371 11.902629	nine hemoglobin packed 7.669736 48 15.773505 24 10.894049 28 12.417610 21 16.680658 20 7.665647 49 13.483180 30 7.741054 47 10.754689 31 15.038145 45 11.334722 32	<u>ф</u> ен	- °
Prediction Results O 127.0.01.50000/uploae	x + d ageblood.pro 0 71 173 1 34 151 2 80 117 3 40 79 4 43 151 5 22 131 6 41 120 7 72 164 8 21 98 9 49 60 10 57 62 11 21 136	essure specific_grav 1.006662 1.023528 1.023528 1.026046 1.006494 1.024882 1.010641 1.009091 1.009107 1.023544 1.021630 1.018077	vity album 3 4 4 2 3 2 0 4 2 2 0 4 2 2 0	Prediction 1 288 2 76 1 139 3 196 1 212 0 279 1 272 3 217 3 217 3 285 1 212 3 245	A Results out CSV hucose_random blood_urea serum_creati 64.970206 10.819981 35.261489 14.726584 28.629332 8.758327 56.491537 4.263356 10.495522 6.386440 10.689763 9.106634 29.716193 1.559683 13.304923 9.523977 19.722318 14.727079 40.499745 3.255651 82.232711 11.992629 61.4649478 1.6664533	nine hemoglobin packed 7.669736 48 15.773505 24 10.894049 28 12.417610 21 16.680058 20 7.6655647 49 13.483180 30 7.741054 47 10.754689 31 15.038145 45 11.334722 32 16.971224 27	û) C	- 0 \$2 @
Prediction Results O 127.00.1.5000/uplcas	ageblood.pre 0 71 173 1 34 151 2 80 117 3 40 79 4 43 151 5 22 131 6 41 120 7 72 164 8 21 98 9 49 60 10 57 62 11 21 136 128 151	essure specific_grav 1.006662 1.023528 1.019362 1.026466 1.008494 1.024882 1.010641 1.009091 1.009091 1.009107 1.023564 1.021630 1.018077	vity album 3 4 4 2 3 2 0 4 2 2 0 1	Prediction L2 Downline 1 288 2 76 1 139 1 212 0 279 2 229 1 212 3 217 3 217 3 245 1 212 3 245 4 268	A contraction blood, urea serum, creati 64.970/206 10.819981 35.261489 14.726584 28.629332 8.758327 56.491537 4.263356 10.495522 6.836440 10.689763 9.106634 29.716193 1.559683 13.304923 9.252397 19.722318 14.727079 40.497458 3.256561 8.2232711 11.992629 61.494378 13.664533 56.140095 14.183673	nine hemoglobin packed 7.669736 48 15.773505 24 10.894049 28 12.417610 21 16.680658 20 7.665647 49 13.483180 30 7.741054 47 10.754689 31 15.038145 45 11.334722 32 16.971824 27 12.590593 47	ф) с	- o \$1 •
Prediction Results 127.0.0.1.5.0000/up/cos	* + d ageblood_pre 0 71 173 1 34 151 2 80 117 3 40 79 4 43 151 5 22 131 6 41 120 7 72 164 8 21 98 9 49 60 10 57 62 11 21 136 12 63 151 13 79 121	essure specific_grav 1.006662 1.023528 1.019362 1.026046 1.00494 1.024882 1.010041 1.009091 1.022364 1.021630 1.018077 1.013971 1.026930	vity album 3 4 4 2 3 2 0 4 2 2 0 1 3	Prediction 2 Down 1 288 2 76 1 39 3 106 1 212 0 279 1 212 3 245 1 212 3 245 4 218	A CSV add CSV bucose_random blood_urea serum_creati 64.970206 10.819981 35.261480 14.726584 28.62932 8.758327 56.491537 4.263356 10.495522 6.836440 10.689763 9.106634 29.716193 1.559683 13.304923 9.523977 19.722318 14.727079 40.497458 3.256561 82.232711 11.992629 61.484372 13.664533 56.140095 14.183673 36.413994 14.421966	nine hemoglobin packed 7.669736 48 15.773505 24 10.894049 28 12.417610 21 16.680658 20 7.665647 49 13.48180 30 7.741054 47 10.754689 31 15.038145 45 11.334722 32 16.971824 27 12.590593 47 10.211622 49	<u>ф</u> ен	- °
Prediction Results 127.00.1.5000/uplcos	* + d ageblood.pro 0 71 173 1 34 151 2 80 117 3 40 79 4 43 151 5 22 131 6 41 120 7 72 164 8 21 98 9 49 60 10 57 62 11 21 136 12 83 151 13 79 121 14 40 122	essure specific grat 1.006662 1.023528 1.019362 1.026046 1.004494 1.024882 1.010641 1.009017 1.025364 1.021630 1.018077 1.013971 1.026930 1.014811	vity album 3 4 4 2 3 2 0 4 2 2 0 1 3 3 1	Prediction Linsugarblood, g 1 288 2 76 1 199 3 196 1 229 2 279 2 229 1 212 3 245 4 268 4 285 1 245 4 268 4 289	Results oad C5V kcose_random blood_urea_serum_creati 64.970206 10.819981 35.261489 14.726584 28.629328 8.758327 56.491537 4.263356 10.689763 9.16634 29.716193 1.559683 13.304229 9.529977 19.722318 14.727079 40.4097458 3.265651 61.404378 13.664533 56.140095 14.183673 36.13994 14.421966 9.857835 8.061165	nine hemoglobin packed 7.669736 48 15.773505 24 10.894049 28 12.417610 21 16.680658 20 7.66547 49 13.483180 30 7.741054 47 10.754689 31 15.038145 45 11.334722 32 16.971824 27 12.550593 47 10.211622 49 9.201113 28	12) C	- ° Ø @
Prediction Results	* + d ageblood.pre 0 71 173 1 34 151 2 80 117 3 40 79 4 43 151 5 22 131 6 41 120 7 72 164 8 21 98 9 49 60 10 57 62 11 21 136 12 8 151 13 79 121 14 40 122 15 52 172	essure specific_grav 1.006662 1.023528 1.019362 1.026046 1.008494 1.024882 1.010041 1.009017 1.025364 1.021630 1.018077 1.018971 1.026930 1.014811 1.02415	vity album 3 4 4 2 3 2 0 4 2 2 0 4 2 2 0 1 3 1 3 1 4	Prediction (2) Down in sugar blood, g 1 288 2 76 1 139 3 196 1 212 0 279 2 229 1 272 3 217 3 285 1 212 3 245 1 212 3 245 4 2268 4 239 0 223	A CSU Autorse random blood, urea serum, creati 64.970206 10.819981 35.261489 14.726584 28.62932 8.758327 56.491537 4.263356 10.495522 6.836440 10.689763 9.1066374 29.716193 1.559683 13.30423 9.523977 19.722318 14.727079 40.497458 3.256561 8.2232711 11.992629 61.404378 13.664533 56.140095 14.183673 36.413994 14.421966 93.857835 8.061165 4.5731354 14.670965	nine hemoglobin packed 7.669736 48 15.773505 24 10.894049 28 12.4716710 21 16.680658 20 7.665547 49 13.483180 30 7.741054 47 10.754689 31 15.038145 45 11.334722 32 16.971824 27 12.590539 47 10.211622 49 9.201113 28 10.505205 50	ф) с н	- o \$1 @
Prediction Results 1272.0.01.5.0000/up/cos	* + d age blood pro 0 71 173 1 34 151 2 80 117 3 40 79 4 43 151 5 22 131 6 41 120 7 72 164 8 21 98 9 49 60 10 57 62 11 21 136 12 63 151 13 79 121 14 40 122 15 52 172 16 77 84	essure specific_grav 1.006662 1.023528 1.019362 1.026046 1.00494 1.024882 1.010641 1.009091 1.025364 1.021630 1.018077 1.013971 1.02530 1.014811 1.025415 1.015978	vity album 3 4 4 2 3 2 0 4 2 0 1 3 1 1 3 1 4 2	Prediction (2) Down 1 288 2 76 1 39 3 106 1 212 0 279 1 212 0 279 1 212 3 245 1 212 3 245 4 218 4 228 0 223 1 189	A CSV bucose_random blood_urea serum_creati 64970206 10.819981 35.261480 14.726584 28.62932 8.758327 56.491537 4.263356 10.495522 6.836440 10.689763 9.106634 29.716193 1.559683 13.304923 9.523977 19.722318 14.72977 19.722318 14.72977 19.722318 14.72977 19.722318 14.72977 19.722318 14.72977 19.722318 14.72977 19.722318 14.72975 32.55611 82.232711 11.992629 61.484373 13.664533 35.614095 14.183673 35.6143994 14.421966 93.857835 8.061165 45.731354 14.67995	nine hemoglobin packed 7.669736 48 15.773505 54 15.773505 24 10.894049 28 12.417610 21 16.680658 20 7.665647 49 13.483180 30 7.741054 47 10.754689 31 15.038145 45 11.334722 32 16.971824 27 12.590593 47 10.211622 49 9.201113 28 10.505205 50 10.725333 40	12) C	- 0 ©
Prediction Results 27.00.1.5000/uplcos	ageblood.pre 0 71 173 1 34 151 2 80 117 3 40 79 4 43 151 5 22 131 6 41 120 7 72 164 8 21 98 9 49 60 10 57 62 11 21 136 12 83 151 13 79 121 13 79 121 13 79 121 13 79 121 13 79 121 13 52 172 15 52 172 16 77 84 17 41 115	essure specific grad 1.006662 1.023528 1.023528 1.026046 1.024882 1.010641 1.024882 1.010641 1.025364 1.025364 1.025364 1.025364 1.025364 1.025364 1.02630 1.018077 1.013971 1.026930 1.014871 1.025978 1.014274	vity album 3 4 4 2 3 2 0 4 2 2 0 4 2 2 0 1 3 1 4 2 0 0 1 3 1 4 2 0 0 1 3 3 1 4 4 2 0 0 4 3 1 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	Prediction La Down 1 228 2 76 1 139 3 196 1 212 0 279 2 229 1 212 3 245 1 212 3 245 1 212 3 245 4 268 4 218 4 218 4 218 0 223 1 199 0 223 1 199 0 223 1 199 0 201 1 212 1 112 1 1	Accession back 64.970206 64.970206 76.9722 76.9722 76.9722 76.9722 76.9722 76.9722 76.9722 76.9722 76.9723 76.9723 76.9724	A nine hemoglobin packed 7.659736 48 15.773505 24 10.894049 28 12.417610 21 16.680658 20 7.65547 49 13.483180 30 7.741054 47 10.754689 31 15.038145 45 11.34722 32 16.971824 27 10.211622 49 9.201113 28 10.505205 50 10.75333 40 7.687137 53	12) C	- o \$1 • ···
Prediction Results 127.0.0.1.50000/uplcas	* + d ageblood_pre 0 71 173 1 34 151 2 80 117 3 40 79 4 43 151 5 22 131 6 41 120 7 72 164 8 21 98 9 49 60 10 57 62 11 21 136 12 83 151 13 79 121 14 40 122 15 52 172 16 77 84 17 41 115 18 66 92 19 77	essure specific_grav 1.006662 1.023528 1.019362 1.022046 1.008494 1.024882 1.010041 1.009097 1.023364 1.0109107 1.023364 1.013971 1.02530 1.014811 1.025415 1.015978 1.014524	P 	Prediction (2) Down binsugar blood, g 1 288 2 76 1 139 3 196 1 212 0 279 2 229 1 272 3 245 1 212 3 245 4 218 4 218 4 239 0 223 1 189 0 223 1 189 0 223 1 189 0 23 1 189 0 197 3 211 0 197 3 211 0 197 1 197 1 199 1 197 1 199 1 197 1 197 1 199 1 196 1 197 1 212 1 218 1 212 1 218 1 212 1 218 1 212 1 218 1 212 1 218 1 212 1 218 1 199 1 197 1 197	Accession and the series of th	nine hemoglobin packed 7.669736 48 15.773505 24 10.894049 28 12.417610 21 16.680658 20 7.665547 49 13.483180 30 7.741054 47 10.754689 31 15.038145 45 11.334722 32 16.971824 27 12.590593 47 10.211622 49 9.201113 28 10.505205 50 10.725333 40 7.687137 53 10.695742 50 10.695742 50	12) C	- °

V. CONCLUSION

The CKD diagnosis is a difficult challenge. In our literature, we have presented a predictive model using different machine learning algorithms, including NN, RF, SVM, RT and BTM, to predict CKD earlier. We mainly focus on the empirical comparisons of those mentioned ML algorithms. Base on the empirical results of the applied algorithm, the NN, RF and SVM have given the highest accuracy on the full dataset, and Random Forest Classifier has given the highest performance on the dataset.

REFERENCES

- M. M. Hossain, R. K. Detwiler, E. H. Chang, M. C. Caughey, M. W. Fisher, T. C. Nichols, E. P. Merricks, R. A. Raymer, M. Whitford, D. A. Bellinger, L. E. Wimsey, and C. M. Gallippi, "Mechanical anisotropy assessment in kidney cortex using ARFI peak displacement: Preclinical validation and pilot *in vivo* clinical results in kidney allografts," *IEEE Trans. Ultrason., Ferroelectr., Freq. Control*, vol. 66, no. 3, pp. 551–562, Mar. 2019
- 2. H. Polat, H. D. Mehr, and A. Cetin, "Diagnosis of chronic kidney disease based on supportvector machine by feature selection methods," *J. Med. Syst.*, vol. 41, no. 4, p. 55, Apr. 2017.
- 3. N. R. Hill, "Global prevalence of chronic kidney disease A systematic review and metaanalysis," *PLoS ONE*, vol. 11, no. 7, Jul. 2016, Art. no. e0158765.
- K. M. Z. Hasan and M. Z. Hasan, "Performance evaluation of ensemble based machinelearning techniques for prediction of chronic kidney disease," in Emerging Research in Computing, Information, Communication and Applications. Singapore: Springer, 2019.



INTERNATIONAL STANDARD SERIAL NUMBER INDIA







INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF INNOVATIVE RESEARCH

IN COMPUTER & COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING

🚺 9940 572 462 应 6381 907 438 🖂 ijircce@gmail.com



www.ijircce.com