

ISSN(O): 2320-9801 ISSN(P): 2320-9798



## International Journal of Innovative Research in Computer and Communication Engineering

(A Monthly, Peer Reviewed, Refereed, Scholarly Indexed, Open Access Journal)



Impact Factor: 8.771

Volume 13, Issue 4, April 2025

⊕ www.ijircce.com 🖂 ijircce@gmail.com 🖄 +91-9940572462 🕓 +91 63819 07438

DOI: 10.15680/IJIRCCE.2025.1304181

www.ijircce.com | e-ISSN: 2320-9801, p-ISSN: 2320-9798| Impact Factor: 8.771| ESTD Year: 2013|



International Journal of Innovative Research in Computer and Communication Engineering (IJIRCCE)

(A Monthly, Peer Reviewed, Refereed, Scholarly Indexed, Open Access Journal)

## Performance Evaluation of 6T,7T,8T & 9T SRAM Cell Topologies at 22nm Technology Node

#### J.Jyothi Swaroop

Assistant Professor, Department of ECE, Sri Vasavi Institute of Engineering & Technology (Autonomous),

Nandamuru, Pedana, A.P, India

#### P.M.N.Radhakrishna, R.Nagamani, V.Venu Madhav, N.S.Vamsikrishna

Department of ECE, Sri Vasavi Institute of Engineering & Technology (Autonomous), Nandamuru, Pedana, A.P., India

**ABSTRACT**: SRAM (Static Random Access Memory) has become a major key component in many VLSI Chips, due to its high storage density and quick access time, it has become a popular data storage device. SRAM has played a significant role in the global VLSI sector. Due to the significant increase of low power and low voltage memory systems in recent times, SRAM has been prioritised in the research sector. This is owing to the increased demand for high-end devices, IC memory cards, and other communication devices, as well as the advancement of portable battery-operated devices. The power and area of a low power 6T,7T,8T&9T cell design are assessed in this research. This work describes the design and implementation of a 6T,7T,8T&9T SRAM cell in standard CMOS process technology at 22nm node. In the Tanner EDA Software, this simulation was run. An improvement has been obtained both in terms of Power, Area and Delay.

KEYWORDS: SRAM, Low Power Design, 22nm Technology, Tanner EDA, Power and Area Optimization

#### I. INTRODUCTION

The continuous scaling of CMOS technology, as predicted by Moore's Law, has driven significant advancements in Very Large Scale Integration (VLSI) design. As feature sizes shrink to the 22nm node and beyond, new challenges such as increased leakage currents, reduced device reliability, and process variations have become critical, especially in memory circuits like Static Random Access Memory (SRAM).SRAM is widely used in modern processors and System-on-Chip (SoC) architectures due to its high speed and low power consumption. However, conventional 6T SRAM cells suffer from reduced read stability, limited write margins, and higher susceptibility to noise at lower supply voltages and advanced nodes. These limitations hinder their performance in ultra-low power and high-speed applications.Advanced SRAM topologies such as 7T, 8T, and 9T have been proposed to address these issues. These designs improve robustness by modifying transistor arrangements and separating read and write paths, enhancing stability under aggressive scaling conditions. Despite occupying larger areas, these cells offer improved performance in terms of read stability, noise margins, and overall reliability. This project aims to simulate and analyze 6T, 7T, 8T, and 9T SRAM cell topologies at the 22nm technology node using Tanner EDA tools. Key performance parameters such as read and write delay, static noise margin (SNM), power consumption, and cell area will be evaluated. The comparative study provides insights into the suitability of each topology for low-power, high-performance VLSI applications, particularly in embedded systems and SoCs.

#### **II. PROPOSED SYSTEM**

The This work focuses on the design and performance analysis of 6T, 7T, 8T, and 9T SRAM cells using 22nm CMOS technology. Each topology offers different trade-offs in terms of stability, power, and performance.

#### 6T SRAM Cell:

The 6T cell consists of two cross-coupled inverters and two access transistors. During read and write operations, the word line enables the pass transistors, allowing data transfer through the bit lines. However, simultaneous switching can cause short-circuit currents, leading to static power loss and reduced stability.

www.ijircce.com



### International Journal of Innovative Research in Computer and Communication Engineering (IJIRCCE)

| e-ISSN: 2320-9801, p-ISSN: 2320-9798| Impact Factor: 8.771| ESTD Year: 2013|

(A Monthly, Peer Reviewed, Refereed, Scholarly Indexed, Open Access Journal)



Fig.1: Schematic Diagram of 6T SRAM Cell

#### 7T SRAM Cell:

An additional NMOS transistor is added to control feedback between the inverters, reducing power loss and improving read stability. During writing, feedback is cut off to ease data insertion, and during reading, the feedback path is reconnected for stable operation.



Fig.2: Schematic Diagram 7T SRAM Cell

#### **8T SRAM Cell:**

The 8T cell isolates the read and write operations by introducing separate access paths. This enhances read stability and prevents disturbance during reads. It uses precharged read bit lines and read word lines to sense data without altering storage nodes.



Fig.3: Schematic Diagram 8T SRAM Cell

An ISO 9001:2008 Certified Journal



#### 9T SRAM Cell:

The 9T architecture enhances data stability by decoupling read and write paths even further. It uses differential sensing and separate word lines (RWL and WWL), which significantly improves noise margins and read reliability, especially under low-voltage and variation-prone conditions. The read operation is performed through a dedicated read path that minimizes the disturbance to internal storage nodes, ensuring better robustness against bit flipping and improved sensing accuracy. Additionally, by isolating the write path, the cell becomes more tolerant to process variations and aging effects.

These designs are simulated and compared in terms of key performance metrics such as read/write delay, static noise margin (SNM), power consumption, and cell area using Tanner EDA tools. The comparative analysis under various voltage levels and process corners aims to determine the most suitable SRAM topology for modern low-power, high-performance applications.



Fig.4: Schematic Diagram of 9T SRAM Cell

#### **III. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS**

#### **6T SRAM Cell Results:**

T-Spice - (6TSRAM.out)		- 0 X
🗧 File Edit View Simulation Ta	le Setup Window Help	
0 📽 🖬 🕸 🖪 📾 I 🖻 🚳 🕫 🖻	5 8 0	
<b>*</b> ▶ = =		
* BEGIN NON-GRAPHICAL DATA	8	
Fower Results		
vdd gnd from time 0 to le-	306	
Average power consumed ->	2.215419e-007 watts	
Max power 3.832061e-005 at	time 4.05e-007	
Min power 3.119881e-008 at	time 3.02881e-010	
* END NON-GRAPHICAL DATA		
* BEGIN NON-GRAPHICAL DATA		
MEASUREMENT RESULTS		
TRAN_Measure_Delay_1 = 2.0	189e-007	
TRAN_Measure_Delay_2 = 8.3	445e-010	
* END NON-GRAPHICAL DATA		
*		
* Parsing	0.01 seconds	
* Setup	0.01 seconds	
* DC operating point	0.00 seconds	
<ul> <li>Transient Analysis</li> </ul>	0.03 seconds	
* Overhead	2.39 seconds	
1		
* Total	2.45 seconds	
* Simulation completed		
* End of T-Spice output fi	le	

#### Fig.5: Power Consumption results of 6T SRAM Cell

© 2025 IJIRCCE | Volume 13, Issue 4, April 2025|

DOI: 10.15680/IJIRCCE.2025.1304181

www.ijircce.com



## International Journal of Innovative Research in Computer and Communication Engineering (IJIRCCE)

| e-ISSN: 2320-9801, p-ISSN: 2320-9798| Impact Factor: 8.771| ESTD Year: 2013|

(A Monthly, Peer Reviewed, Refereed, Scholarly Indexed, Open Access Journal)



Fig.6: Simulation results of 6T SRAM Cell

#### **7T SRAM Cell Results**

T-Spice - [7tsram.out]		- 0 >
File Edit View Simulation Ta	ible Setup Window Help	
# # # A A # # # # #	5 8 .	
H II		
7.403571e-007 9.9998e-0 8.000000e-007 9.9998e-0	01 2.0612e-001 0.0000e+000 0.0000e+000 7.0000e-001 01 2.1954e-001 0.0000e+000 0.0000e+000 7.0000e-001	
BEGIN NON-GRAPHICAL DAT	A	
Power Results edd gnd from time 0 to 1e Werage power consumed -> fax power 1.868420e-005 a fin power 2.159632e-012 a	-006 1.142058-007 Watts 1.1420540-009 t time 0	
END NON-GRAPHICAL DATA		
BEGIN NON-GRAPHICAL DAT	A	
EASUREMENT RESULTS		
RAN_Measure_Delay_1 = 1.	3335e-007	
RAN_Measure_Delay_2 = 2.	3908e-010	
END NON-GRAPHICAL DATA		
Parsing Setup DC operating point Transient Analysis Overhead	0.02 seconds 0.03 seconds 0.03 seconds 0.04 seconds 2.38 seconds	
* Total	2.46 seconds	
Simulation completed		





Fig.8: Simulation Results of a 7T SRAM Cell

www.ijircce.com | e-ISSN: 2320-9801, p-ISSN: 2320-9798| Impact Factor: 8.771| ESTD Year: 2013|



## International Journal of Innovative Research in Computer and Communication Engineering (IJIRCCE)

(A Monthly, Peer Reviewed, Refereed, Scholarly Indexed, Open Access Journal)

#### **8T SRAM Cell Results**

🕯 File Edit View Simulation	n Table Setup Window Help
D 📽 🖬 🎒 🖪 🗛 🖇 🖻 🖻	이 여 글 🔯 🖉
ጵ ▶ ■	
* DECIN NON CRADUICAL	13.03
" DEGIN NON-GRAFFICAL	DRIK
Power Results	
vdd and from time 0 to	12-006
Auerade pouer concumed	-> 1 0012010_007 totte
Average power consumed	A - A 1.0512512-007 Walds
max power 3.202321e-00	Jo at time 4.046128-00/
Min power 4.618168e-00	8 at time 3.075e-007
* END NON-GRAPHICAL DA	1TA
	Eig 0. Dower Concurration Deputs of a ST SDAM Call
	Fig.9: Power Consumption Results of a 8T SRAM Cell
MEASUREMENT RESULTS	Fig.9: Power Consumption Results of a 8T SRAM Cell
MEASUREMENT RESULTS TRAN_Measure_Delay_1 = 2.	Fig.9: Power Consumption Results of a 8T SRAM Cell
MEASUREMENT RESULTS TRAN_Measure_Delay_1 = 2.4 TRAN_Measure_Delay_2 = 1.4	Fig.9: Power Consumption Results of a 8T SRAM Cell
MEASUREMENT RESULTS TRAN_Measure_Delay_1 = 2. TRAN_Measure_Delay_2 = 1. * END NON-GRAPHICAL DATA	Fig.9: Power Consumption Results of a 8T SRAM Cell
MEASUREMENT RESULTS TRAN_Measure_Delay_1 = 2. TRAN_Measure_Delay_2 = 1.; * END NON-GRAPHICAL DATA *	Fig.9: Power Consumption Results of a 8T SRAM Cell
MEASUREMENT RESULTS TRAN_Measure_Delay_1 = 2. TRAN_Measure_Delay_2 = 1. * END NON-GRAPHICAL DATA * * Parsing	Fig.9: Power Consumption Results of a 8T SRAM Cell 0091e-007 9086e-010 0.02 seconds
MEASUREMENT RESULTS TRAN_Measure_Delay_1 = 2. TRAN_Measure_Delay_2 = 1. * END NON-GRAPHICAL DATA * Parsing * Setup	Fig.9: Power Consumption Results of a 8T SRAM Cell 0091e-007 9086e-010 0.02 seconds 0.03 seconds
MEASUREMENT RESULTS TRAN_Measure_Delay_1 = 2. TRAN_Measure_Delay_2 = 1. * END NON-GRAPHICAL DATA * * * Setup * Setup * DC operating point	Fig.9: Power Consumption Results of a 8T SRAM Cell <sup>0091e-007</sup> <sup>0.02 seconds</sup> <sup>0.03 seconds</sup> <sup>0.01 seconds</sup>
MEASUREMENT RESULTS TRAN_Measure_Delay_1 = 2.1 * END NON-GRAPHICAL DATA * * Parsing * Setup * DC operating point * Transient Analysis	Fig.9: Power Consumption Results of a 8T SRAM Cell 0091e-007 9086e-010 0.02 seconds 0.03 seconds 0.03 seconds 0.03 seconds 0.03 seconds
MEASUREMENT RESULTS TRAN_Measure_Delay_1 = 2. TRAN_Measure_Delay_2 = 1. * END NON-GRAPHICAL DATA * Parsing * Setup * DC operating point * Transient Analysis * Overhead	Fig.9: Power Consumption Results of a 8T SRAM Cell 0091e-007 9086e-010 0.02 seconds 0.03 seconds 0.03 seconds 0.03 seconds 0.03 seconds 0.04 seconds 0.05 seconds

### \* Simulation completed with 1 Warning

\* End of T-Spice output file

```
Status Input _ Out... Start Date... Elap.
```





Fig.11: Simulation Results of a 8T SRAM Cell



www.ijircce.com | e-ISSN: 2320-9801, p-ISSN: 2320-9798| Impact Factor: 8.771| ESTD Year: 2013|



## International Journal of Innovative Research in Computer and Communication Engineering (IJIRCCE)

(A Monthly, Peer Reviewed, Refereed, Scholarly Indexed, Open Access Journal)

#### 9T SRAM Cell Results

■ Rie Edit View	nulation Status]	
2 G → M → D → A → D → D → D → D → D → D → D → D	View Simulation Table	Setup Window Help
Popt Str. Roomen Programs Stream of the Stream of the Programs Stream of the Stream of the Provide Stream of the Stream of the Provide Stream of the	ANBBOOK	E 🚨 🗬
hpatfin Brannig Magers Smither Co Taningkes I Transmission Voltage sc V-control 1 Macro de BAL de Subci Transmission Valage sc V-control 1 Macro de Subci Transmission Valage sc V-control 1 Macro de Subci Transmission Valage sc Valage sc		
Pages Smaller or Telefade: 4 Telefade: 14 Transmission: 14 Transmission: 14 Marco 14	am.so	Output: Regram.out
Taminder 4 Taminder 4 Taminision Voltage sc V-control 1 Metro 4 Metro 4 Taminision Tamin	ulation completed	
Takinaka 46 Transmission Voltage sc V-control 1 Matro de Matto Matto Matto Total Parsing Setup O operating facaure Parsing Setup O operating Transmission Analo O operating Transmission Analo Total		
Transmission Voltage sc V-control : V-control : V-control : V-control : V-control : V-control : Nacro de BLL de BLL de BLL de BLL de Subci Independent Trans Measu TRAN Measu	46 Active devices:	9 Independent sources: 5
Transmission Voltage sc V-control : Macro dt Macro dt Macro dt Subuc Setup DC operating Setup DC operating Transient Anal Overhead	14 Passive devices:	0 Controlled sources: 0
Voltage sc V-control : Nacto de BUL de Subcit Independent TRAN Measur TRAN Measur TRAN Measur Parsing Setup DC operating 1 Transient Anal Overhead	ssion lines - 0	Coupled transmission lines -
V-control : Nacro de BL de Subci Independent Total Measurement re TRAN_Measur TRAN_Measur Parsing Setup DC operating 1 Transient Anal Overhead	age sources - 5	Current sources -
V-control : Nacro de HEL de Subcit Independent TRAN_Measur TRAN_Measur Parsing Setup DC operating p Transient Anal Overhead	VCVS - 0	VCCS -
V-control + Nacro de HDL de Subbin Independent Total Measurement re TRAN_Measur TRAN_MEASUR TRAN TRAN TRAN TRAN TRAN TRAN TRAN TRA	CCVS - 0	CCCS -
Macro of BLD de Subcir Independent Total Measurement rr TRAN_Measur TRAN_Measur Parsing Setup DC operating ; Transient Anal Overhead	trol switch - 0	I-control switch -
AnL of Subci Subci Independent Total Measurement re TRAN Measur TRAN Measur TRAN Measur TRAN Measur TRAN Measur TRAN Measur DC operating I Transient Anal Overhead Total	cro devices - 0	External C model instances -
Buchin Independent Total Measurement re TRAN_Measur TRAN_Measur Setup DC operating F Transient Anal Overhead Total	HDL devices - 0	
Independent Total Measurement re TRAN Measur TRAN_Measur Parsing Setup DC operating 1 DC operating 1 Overhead Total	Subcircuits - 0	Subcircuit instances -
Measurement re TRAN_Measur TRAN_Measur Parsing Setup DC operating j Transient Anal Overhead Total	Total modes = 40	Boundary nodes -
Measurement re TRAN_Measur TRAN_Measur Parsing Setup DC operating ; Transient Anal Overhead Total	rotar nodes - to	
TRAN_Measus TRAN_Measus Parsing Setup DC operating ; Transient Anal Overhead Total	ent result summary	
TRAN Measur Parsing Setup DC operating p Transient Anal Overhead Total	Measure Delay 1 =	1.1543e-007
Parsing Setup DC operating p Transient Anal Overhead 	Measure Delay 2 =	9.1777e-010
Parsing Setup DC operating p Transient Anal Overhead 		
Faising Setup DC operating p Transient Anal Overhead Total		0.04 seconds
DC operating p Transient Anal Overhead Total		0.01 seconds
Transient Anal Overhead Total	ting point	0.06 seconds
Overhead Total	t Analysis	0.05 seconds
Total	o movelory	1.63 seconds
Total		
		1.80 seconds
Simulation com	on completed	
1		
4		





Fig.13: Simulation Results of 9T SRAM Cell

#### **IV. CONCLUSION**

The conclusion of the performance evaluation and comparison of 4T, 6T, 7T, 8T, and 9T SRAM at the 22nm technology node provides valuable insights into the characteristics and suitability of each SRAM cell design. the choice of SRAM cell design should be based on a thorough understanding of the application's requirements and trade-offs. While lower-transistor-count designs like the 4T and 6T SRAM cells may offer advantages in terms of area and speed, higher-transistor-count designs like the 9T SRAM cell provide enhanced stability and reliability, albeit at the cost of increased power consumption and area. Future research may focus on further optimizing SRAM cell designs to achieve a balance between performance, power efficiency, and area occupancy in advanced technology nodes.

www.ijircce.com



International Journal of Innovative Research in Computer and Communication Engineering (IJIRCCE)

| e-ISSN: 2320-9801, p-ISSN: 2320-9798| Impact Factor: 8.771| ESTD Year: 2013|

(A Monthly, Peer Reviewed, Refereed, Scholarly Indexed, Open Access Journal)

#### REFERENCES

- [1] J. Ashwani, K. Deepa, K. Charan Kumar, and B. Karthick presented a paper titled "Performance Analysis of Different SRAM Cells and Proposed 9T SRAM Cell" at the 2023 8th IEEE International Conference on Communication and Electronics Systems (ICCES 2023), published in IEEE Xplore with Part Number CFP23AWO-ART and ISBN 979-8-3503-9663-8.
- [2] Debasis Mukherje, Hemanta Kr. Mondal, and B. V. R. Reddy discussed "Static Noise Margin Analysis of SRAM Cell for High Speed Application" in the IJCSI International Journal of Computer Science Issues, Volume 7, Issue 5, published in September 2020, with ISSN (Online): 1694-0814.
- [3] Prashant Upadhyay, S. K. Chhotray, R. Kar, D. Mandal, and S. P. Ghoshal analyzed "Write Stability Analysis of 8-T Novel SRAM Cell for High Speed Application" in the proceedings of the 2019 3rd IEEE International Advance Computing Conference (IACC).
- [4] Hansraj, A. Chaudhary, and A. Rana presented "Ultra Low Power SRAM Cell for High Speed Applications using 90nm CMOS Technology" at the 2020 8th International Conference on Reliability, Infocom Technologies and Optimization (ICRITO), published on pages 1107–1109 with DOI: 10.1109/ICRITO48877.2020.9197869.
- [5] K. Khare, N. Kulhade, and P. Deshpande contributed the paper "VLSI Design and Analysis of Low Power 6T SRAM Cell using Cadence Tool" at the IEEE International Conference on Semiconductor Electronics (ICSE-2008), published on pages 117–121 in 2008.
- [6] S. Akashe, N. Tiwari, and R. Sharma presented "Simulation and Stability Analysis of 6T and 9T SRAM Cell in 45 nm" at the 2nd International Conference on Power, Control and Embedded Systems (ICPCES-2012), published on pages 1–6 in 2012.[7] S. Chopade and D. Padole studied "Stability Analysis of 6T SRAM Cell for Nanoscale FD-SOI Technology" and presented their findings at the Annual IEEE India Conference (INDICON-2014), with the paper published on pages 1–6



INTERNATIONAL STANDARD SERIAL NUMBER INDIA







# **INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF INNOVATIVE RESEARCH**

IN COMPUTER & COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING

🚺 9940 572 462 应 6381 907 438 🖂 ijircce@gmail.com



www.ijircce.com